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## CHAPTER ONE

## Introduction and the Italian Four Knights



I will assume that the reader has already made up his own mind on two of the most common opening moves in the last 500 years of chess history，and jump straight into the third move with．．．

Grandmaster Paul Keres wrote about this move：＇too calm to give White advan－ tage．＇This can，of course，be discussed， but more importantly we should remem－ ber that the opening is not played in or－ der to gain an advantage，but in order build the foundation for a later（or occa－ sionally immediate）victory．A theoretical plus is just one of many ways to gain a practical advantage in a game of chess． Another is familiarity with the different typical positions．Yet another is simply knowing the essential theory，or playing a line with which your opponent is unfamil－ iar．

Now let us not get lost in talk，and in－ stead allow Black to execute his move． Now what about $3 .$. ．${ }^{\text {St }} 5$ here？Does it not have the same defects as 3 兽c4－？ Instead 3．．． 0 f6 looks optically better，as it
is attacking the pawn on e4；but surely it is more a matter of taste than of beauty contests at such an early stage in the game．3．．．Df6 would take us into the past and my previous book on the Two Knights Defence，while 3．．．⿱⿱亠⿴囗口⿱日一⿱一土儿，c5 leads to the future and the following pages on the Italian Game，one of the oldest chess openings．
3．．．． C 5


In the diagram position White has many ideas and possibilities，but only two give interesting play： 4 c 3 with all the main lines of the Italian Game，and the

19th century favourite 4 b4，the Evans Gambit．

In this chapter we shall look at White＇s less ambitious option，the Four Knights Italian Game．


1 e4 e5 2 Qf3 Qc6 3 㑒c4 会c5 4 Qc3

In this position White has also tried some other moves：

The 4 d 4 ？gambit was refuted a long time ago with $4 \ldots$ ．．．xd4！ $5 \sum_{\mathrm{ed} 4}$ थxd4 $6^{2}$
 10 id d 3 e4 and Black was much better， H．Fahmi－R．Spielmann，Baden Baden 1914.
$40-0$ is completely toothless，and Black can do as he pleases．Here we will look at two options：
a） $4 \ldots \mathrm{~S} 6$ can be met with another silly gambit： 5 d 4 ？！，but after this risky move White is likely to have to fight for equal－

 We7 11 c3 客xc4 120 xc4 包 13 客xf6 gxf6 14 hith with compensation for the pawn） 10 Qc3 c6 11 数d3 盒e6 12 是xe6 Qxe6 13 客xf6 gxf6，and now Black is better because the white knight has diffi－ culties finding its way to $f 5$ ．
b） $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 65 \mathrm{c} 3$ 飠f6（5．．．©g4 is a sound option given by Paul Keres；play might very well continue 6 d 4 exd 47 館b3 ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~d} 7$
食xf3 11 gxf3 Qe5 12 थd2 $0 x f 3+13$
 check） 6 d 3 h 67 是e3 Ege78 b4 \＆xe39

 equality，R．Rabiega－A．Yusupov，German Championship 2001.
4．．．Qf65 d3 d6


In positions like this you can beat even grandmasters．Obviously before this can happen，they will have to die from bore－ dom．．．

## 6 金g5

$60-0$ is another example of the non－ event we have before us，and then：
a） $6 \ldots$ ．．．g4？！ 7 h 3 h 5 ？is a distinctively bad line（though 7．．．．宣xf3 8 曹xf3 and White is slightly better was not the idea） 8 hxg4 hxg4 9 gg and it is hard for Black to prove compensation for the piece．
是e6 10 齿d3 Qh5 11 Dd5？（instead 11 End with equality） $11 \ldots \mathrm{c} 612$ ©c3 b5 13
 slightly better．T．Luther－F．Borkowski， Naleczow 1987.
6．．．h67莫xf6
 2dd4 10 娄 d 1 c 6 with equality．

## 

8．．．䇾go？is refuted by 9 h 4 ！（this is better then 9 类e2 as recommended in

 15 b4 惫a7 16 a 4 and White wins．

## 9 c 3 a 6

Black can easily drift into a worse posi－ tion here，e．g．9．．．Qe7 10 d 4 exd4（or
 cxd6 cxd6 14 䊦a4＋歺f8 15 传b4 and White is slightly better） 11 cxd4 官b6 12匆x6 axb6 13 0－0 d5 14 exd5 $0^{2 x d 5} 15$ Ee1＋垔e6 16 De5 0－0 17 眷f3 gives White some plus，A．Horvath－ A．Aleksandrov，Izmir 2004.

## 10 d 4 全 a 7

Better perhaps was 10 ．．．exd4！ 11 cxd4

 in B．Macieja－M．Adams，Calvia Olympiad 2004.

11 dxe5 0xxe5 12 0．xe5 dxe5 13
 16 歯xd6 苞xe4＋17 气e3 cxd6


According to ECO this position is equal．

## 18 －d5！

An unpleasant idea to have to face． 18．．．e．e5？
Once out of theory Black makes a mis－ take．Instead 18．．．＂e7！190－0－0 ※．exe3＋ 20
 would have kept equality．
19 0－0－0！
This is the surprise Black had most likely underestimated．White sacrifices a pawn and now Black has problems com－ pleting his development．
全e6 22 余xb7 $\mathrm{ma7} 23$ 余d5 a5 24



This ending is probably lost for Black． He has two weaknesses（the d6－and a5－ pawns）and no sensible counterplay．
25．．．a4 26 © 6 c2 g5？！
In my opinion this just creates another weakness．The passive $26 \ldots .$. ．df8 27 f5 die7 etc．looks slightly better．

## 

White＇s wants to eat the a4－pawn．

【af5
 41 h4＋皃xh4？

The position is lost and Aleksandrov commits suicide．Basic life functions would have been kept operational with 41．．．tg6．


## Game 2

B．Larsen－T．Ochsner
Danish Championship，Estjjerg 1997
全c4 全c5 5 d 3 d 66 金 95

I know，I know，why do we have to look at this boring line once again？Well， although this is all rather harmless and toothless，White still managed to win our main games；and I also want to find space to include a little more theory：
a） 6 金e． 3 金 $\mathrm{b} 6(6 \ldots 2 \mathrm{~d} 4$ ？ ？gives interest－ ing play，as after 7 穴xd4 exd4 8 an 4

 9 良xc6 bxc6 $100-0$ d 7711 d 4 f6 12 h 3数e8 with equality．

荲h4 賭c8！．Black shrewdly avoids prob－ lems on the h4－e8 diagonal．Now after 13 h3 ${ }^{W}$ e6 he had equality in Y．Rantanen－ Y．Razuvaev，Helsinki 1984.
c） 6 h 3 鼻 67 （dd5 h6 with equality． 6．．．$\circlearrowright a 5$


This is also good for Black．And more importantly，it changes the nature of the
position，so it becomes a little more inter－ esting．
7 金b3
Another practical example： 7 Q d 5每xc4 8 dxc4 c6 9 分xf6＋gxf6 10 宴e3
 W：Wb2 $130-0$ with compensation） 12 $0-0-0 \quad 0-0-0 \quad$ with unclear play， V．Korchnoi－D．Bronstein，USSR Cham－ pionship 1952.

## 7．．．c6 $80-0$

White can also strike immediately in the centre with 8 d 4 ．Then after $8 . . .0 \mathrm{xb} 3$ 9 axb3 exd4 10 包xd4 h6 11 㝠h4 0－0 12
 16 米d3，as in A．Morozevich－ Kir．Georgiev，Tilburg 1994，Black should play 16．．．年xg3！ 17 hxg 3 皆c7 with the advantage，instead of 16．．．惫xd4！ 17
 20 娄xa7 自xc2 21 类xb7 when it would be White who is better．

## 8．．．0－0 9 包2 $0 \times 13$

 equality．
10 axb3 h6 11 苗e3 余b6 12 Dg全6


Black is slowly getting into trouble against his legendary opponent．Here

12．．．Ee8！guaranteed equality，thanks to the pressure against the e4－pawn．

Black is apparently afraid of a sacrifice on h6，but I cannot see how that would ever work，Black can always play ．．．$\ell \mathrm{g} 4$ in the end．Therefore 13．．．${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{e} 8$ ？ 14 是xb6
会xf3 18 幽xf3 䊦b4 with equality was better．
14 主xb6 ${ }^{2} x b 615 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 16 0xd4


## 16．．．2fe8？！

Black is apparently too complacent， while it was time to do something to stay in the game；e．g．16．．．d5！？ 17 幽d3 ch 18 e5 $\% \mathrm{~d} 719 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{f} 6$ ！with unclear play．

## 17 臨fe1 g6？

This completely unnecessarily creates a weakness． $17 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ was better，when White can reply 18 e5 0 d 719 当f4 with the initiative．
18 Ead1 \＆g7 19 h4！
A typical move，using the g－pawn as a
hook．
19．．． Be7 $_{20} 20$ df5 +


20．．．昷xf5
The tactical justification for the knight sacrifice was 20．．．gxf5？ 21 exf5 wiw 22 b4
宸b5 26 荘f4 and White wins．
21 exf5 Еae8 22 Еxe7 Ёxe7 23 fxg6 fxg6 24 h5！Qxh5 25 富c3＋夢h7 26 ©xh5 gxh5 27 Exd6 雪b5 28 बैxh6＋
 31 幽e3

In the end material superiority decides．








 1－0

## Summary

We have seen in the notes to the two games above that the Italian Four Knights is theoretically completely harmless. At the same time we have also seen that stronger players can outplay their opponents by simple means, if these opponents have little to show on the day. But then we can lose against the London System as well. To battle these lines it is more important to be in good form, than to know theoretical ideas and moves.

4 d 4 - see Game 1
$40-0$ - see Game 1

6...h6 - Game 1
6... $\triangle$ a5 - Game 2


## CHAPTER TWO

## First Steps in the Italian Game



In this chapter we will take a first glance at the position after 1 e4 e5 2 分f3 ©c6


This is the most interesting and strong－ est move；White is building up to enforce 5 d 4 ，which will give him the superiority in the centre．This is a strategically more aggressive strategy than the symmetry of the previous chapter，and the source from which the need for real opening theory on the Italian Game stems．

Black can meet 4 c 3 is a variety of ways，where $4 . . .0 \mathrm{ff}$ is the strongest．Ac－ cording to current theory Black can also equalise with 4 ．．． W e e ，but I think this is less than obviously certain．Actually，in the games below，I will go as far as to claim an advantage for White in all lines．
In this chapter we shall also have a quick look at a line which，in grandmaster play，achieves only equality，but is suc－ cessful lower down．After 4 c3 0 f6 5 d 4 exd4 6 e5 many games have continued with moves other than the absolutely es－ sential 6．．．d5！，which equalises at once．

But first let us examine 4．．．䊦e7．

Game 3

## A．Alekhine－S．Tarrasch <br> Mannbeim 1914

1 e4e52 分f娄e7


According to standard theory this move leads to equality．In my opinion White is at least slightly better．Black has also tried some alternative methods of solving his opening problems at this early stage，other than the sane development of his knight．Though they have little theo－
retical importance，it would be wrong not to have a glance at them．
a） $4 \ldots$ fig？was once a frequent visitor to international tournaments，but these days we know that White can more or less refute it brutally with 5 d 4 ！\＄ b 6 （if
 and White is much better as the g2－pawn is untouchable，i．e． $8 \ldots$ ．．． Eg 2 ？ 9 吕g1 曹h 3 10 兔 $\times 7+$ ！and Black is in real trouble） 6 $0-0$ h6 7 a4 a6 8 dxe5 有xe5 9 Dxe5
 White has a clear advantage according to Max Euwe．
b）4．．．f5？！looks very dubious．White surely has a lot of sound options here，but instead of looking for an refutation，I will recommend the simple 5 d 3 ，when play can continue $5 . . .0^{5} 66 \mathrm{~b} 4$ 悬b6 7 a 4 a 6 （7．．．fxe4？ 8 dxe4 Qxe4 $90-0$ a5 10 Ud5 Qd6 11 xxe5 with a terrible attack）80－0 d6 9 bd2 and White is much better，as Black has problems with his king．
c） $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 65 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd 4 has been played once in a while as well．Now after 6 cxd4
 10 h 3 睍h5 11 崰d4 念xc3 12 宸xc3 White is slightly better according to ECO． 5 d4


## 5．．．${ }^{\text {of }} \mathbf{b 6}$

Black cannot give up the centre with 5．．．exd4？！．Strategically it is a catastrophe， and it does not work out tactically either， after the energetic $60-0$ ！when we should look at the following lines：
a） $6 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 37 \triangleq \mathrm{xc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 68 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 5$ 崰 d 89
 White is much better．
b） $6 . .2$ e5 $7 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \sum \mathrm{xc} 4$（or $7 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{xf} 3+$ 8 gxf3 㑒b6 9 c 3 c6 10 总e1 with a clear
 Ec3 and White is better．

## $60-0$

6 Qg $5!$ ？is quite a tricky move，which should probably be met with the anti－ structural 6．．．f6l？．I have doubts about Black＇s prospects after 6．．． 2 f 6 ，when I would be quite tempted to go for the fol－ lowing pawn sacrifice，in order to get su－ preme control over the light squares in the centre： 7 d5 0 d 88 d 6 cxd6（Black cannot stand the exchange of queens，as his pawn structure is a total ruin after 8．．．對xd6？ 9 雪xd6 cxd6 10 宽xf6 gxf6， when 11 Dh4 d5 12 念xd5 gives White a clear advantage） $9 \triangleq a 3$

and here we should probably look at the two lines separately：
a） $9 \ldots \mathrm{a} 10$ 念d5 $\operatorname{De} 611$ ©c4 \＆c 712 Qe 3 and White is just much better．
 might be forced；after $10 \ldots$ ．．．c5 11 hh4 De6 12 気5 崰f8 13 全xf6 gxf6 14 b4 Q $\mathrm{f} 4+15$ 晲f3 White is much better） 11

 White has very good compensation for the pawn．
6．．．d6
As I said，I think this position is slightly better for White．

## 7 a4

This is not too testing of course．In－ stead I will here risk my neck and dubious reputation on the underestimated 7 d 5 ！， claiming it will guarantee White a small advantage after $7 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{~d} 88$ 宴d3 ©f6 9 Qbd2 c6（Black should of course avoid
 wins） 10 ©c4 \＆c7

and now White has two interesting possibilities to consider：
a） 11 夏c2！h h 12 De3 0－0 13 h 3 cxd 5

 20 乌h2f5 21 営d1 ©f6 22 乌f1 乌f7 23 c4 and White was slightly better in

A．Tzermiadianos－M．Lazic，Kavala 1996.
b） 11 dxc6 bxc6 12 b3 宽e6 13 啙e2 $0-014$ 全 3 and White is slightly better， A．Becker－C．Ahues，Munich Olympiad 1936.

So I have some confidence that White is seriously fighting for an advantage here， or let us say that Black is struggling to equalise，and will probably have to come up with something else on move 9 ，but I am not really aware of what it would be． 7．．．a6 8 童 $e 3$


## 8．．．esg4？

I do not see a great future for the bishop on g4．Instead I would recom－ mend leaving the square vacant for the knight．After 8．．． Qff $^{2} 9 \mathrm{Dbd} 2 \Delta \mathrm{~g} 410$
 tion is more or less equal．
9 d5 2bb 10 a5 0 exe3 11 fxe3 06
数 1 ！

White is not ashamed of regretting the placement of the queen，as Black＇s knight will shortly be driven back to the stables with a stick．After something stupid like 14 㮰 3 ？！h5！Black is better because of the weakness of the e4－pawn．One line

b4 ※xg5 19 bxc5 dxc5 and Black is clearly better．


## 14．．．童 8 ？

Black obviously has trouble getting something useful out of his bishop．This total retreat，however，is not the best way to deal with the issue．After the more re－ spectful $14 . . .0-0$ it is true that 15 b 40 cd 7 16 荲d3 胃fe8 17 c 4 gives White better play，but Black can still hold the position． 15 b4 ©cd7 16 ©h4！g6
This weakness is hard to avoid．After 16．．．Dg4 17 气f5 ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~g} 518$ Df3 White is better．



## 20 2g5！？

The knight begins a long journey，
eventually ending up at d 4 ．Though there is nothing wrong with this，it seems quite logical also to consider going there di－ rectly．After 20 见d4 © 521 \＃af 4 ！White is much better（but after 21 定b3 空d7 22曾e 2 吾 $c 8$ Black would be able to keep the position together），e．g．21．．．${ }^{(1)} \mathrm{d} 8$（ $21 \ldots 0-0$ ？ 22 斯 h 4 and White wins） 22 粠f2 包eg4


## 20．．．h6 21 宛 3

Here White should not fall for 21期h4？？ D 7 7 and Black wins．
 h3 台gf6 25 包2

The white knight is getting to the end of its long journey，and will land on d4 and exploit the recent weakening of the f5－square．Now Black should have util－ ised the weaknesses he has created on the kingside to obtain counterplay．Instead he fell pray to materialism．
25．．． Uxd5？$^{2}$
Better was 25 ．．．g4，though after 26 显 3

 clear advantage．
26 鈴xd5 曾xd5 27 气d4


## 27．．．${ }^{\text {U }}$ e5？

This is nothing but a stupid blunder．

Black should have played $27 . . .2 \mathrm{Qf8} 28$
 Exf5 and White is much better．



## 30 Efxd6

Now White wins．
 axb6 贾b7 34 乌a5 1－0

| Game 4 |
| :---: |
| D．Tyomkin－I．Zugic |
| Montreal 2004 |

The following game shows another way to battle for the advantage against 4．．．We W ，and seems very convincing．With simple play White breaks through on the queenside before Black can create any kind of counterplay on the kingside．
1 e4 e5 2 ゆf3 ©c6 3 寞c4 置c540－0 d6 5 c3 雪e7 6 d4 妥b6 7 h3
Please note that $40-0$ is principally harm－ less，and that 4 c 3 崖e7 5 d 4 宣b6 $60-0$ d6 7 h 3 is the more critical move order， with which we would reach this position．

## 7．．． 0 f6 8 気 1 h 6

This is the beginning of an overopti－ mistic plan．Black apparently is in a very aggressive mood，but his taste for vio－
lence was probably not meant to end in the way it did．Sounder was something like $8 . . .0-09 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{a} 6100^{0} \mathrm{a} 3$ ，and here we should take a short look at the position with Black and try to be reasonable．
a） 10 ．．． 5 h 8 ？ $11 \mathrm{Ac}_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathrm{Dg} 8$ ？ 12 b 4 f 6 13 De3 left White much better in W．Heidenfeld－M．Euwe，Johannesburg 1955．Black can improve with 11 ．．．exd4 12 cxd4 h6，but after 13 e 5 White still has the advantage．
b） $10 \ldots$ ．．exd4 $11 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \quad$ 崰d7 does not look too appetising if we consider it as a position to reach when we chose our 4th move，but here it is appropriate．After simple moves like 12 要a2 嶌e8 13 鼻b1 White is slightly better．


## 9 b4！

White is playing very fast on the queenside and his initiative goes as smoothly as a warm knife through butter． This means that Black will have to defend and does not have time to attack himself with ．．．g7－g5．

Another option here was 9 a 4 a 610旡e3，but then Black has some time on his hands and can continue with $10 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ 11 dxe5 dxe5 12 是xb6 cxb6 13 ©h2 \＆e6 with equality according to Unzicker．

## 9．．．a6 10 a 4 g 5 ？！

Black is not really attuned into the finer details of the position．His position was still more or less sound if he had played more calmly．After the sounder $10 \ldots 0-0$
凿d3 造8 15 Qbd2 White was only slightly better in E．Torre－R．Ekstroem， Lugano 1989.

## 11 a5 余a7 12 b5 0d8

It was probably around here that Black started to come to his senses；but it is already too late to find a decent position． After the apparently logical $12 \ldots$ axb5 13要xb5 㑒d7，White can break through on the queenside with $14 \mathrm{a} 6!$ ，and on
 17 Whate has a brilliant initiative on the queenside，while Black＇s attack still has to develop beyond biting his finger at White．

## 13 迫 a ！



The breakthrough on the queenside in this game is very instructive．White could have gained a good position with simple moves like 13 bxa6！？bxa6 14 粕d3，but this would give Black time to execute his own plan，and after $14 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 415 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{mg} 4$

somewhat better．

## 13．．． © d7 $^{\text {d }}$

The idea behind White＇s last（prophy－ lactic）move is seen when Black tries to carry out his desired 13．．g4．Now the initiative explodes with 14 0xe5！gxh3 15

 much better．

## 14 dxe5

I really enjoy watching the simple，yet strong exploitation of White＇s advantage in this game．I find it quite logical that White should open the position for his pieces here，where he is ahead in devel－ opment．Nevertheless，after something like 14 b6 晏b8 15 当a 4 c6 16 Qbd2 White is also better．
14．．．包xe5 15 分xe5 相xe5 16 b6 金b8


White has managed to reduce the black pieces to chickens pushed against a wall， and now only needs to activate his queen－ side to convert his advantage．With his next six moves White manages to finish his development and target the key weak－ nesses in the black position．To many amateurs these moves might seem simple， but to replicate these simple moves in practice would be quite difficult，even for
experienced players．

## 17 bxc7！

This is better then the artistic 17 寊c1
 21 畳d5 even though this also leaves White with a big advantage．
17．．．宣xc7 18 ©d2！0－0
Black finally decides to do something about his king．Although it would not have been out of style to end the game with something silly like 18．．．．鼻xa5？ 19 （4） 3 峟xc3 20 膤xd6 and White wins．


This is stronger than 22 数 h 5 ，although after the following piece of analysis，


 31 罸d4，we can conclude that White is much better too．

## 22．．．鳆g6

Also after 22．．．断xf3 23 甾xf3 will Black lose the d6－pawn．


## 23 斯g3 4 c6 24 定xd6

And that＇s all folks！
24．．．㑒d8 25 包6 是xb6 26 axb6



 hxg4 Zg 638 余 f 金e6 39 g 5 Eg 840 Egg 3 ©c4 1－0

## Game 5

## E．Sveshnikov－R．Dautov

Pinsk 1986
 Qf6 5 d 4 exd4 6 e5


As mentioned above，this is hardly dangerous for Black if he knows what he＇s doing．The important point here is that Black is forced to counter－strike in the centre without hesitation．

## 6．．．d5！ 7 全b5（2）4 8 cxd4

Now Black has three possibilities．
 while $8 . .$. 害b4＋is investigated in the next game．

## 8．．．．${ }^{\text {eb }}$ b

8．．．．e 7 might look a little passive at first sight，but it is a completely viable possibility．Then 9 Ec3 0－0 10 全e3！？ （this appears to be better than 10 曾d3 f5
 with counterplay，W．Steinitz－Em．Lasker， New York match 1894）10．．．f5 11 exf6

Qxf6 12 厷 5 was seen in the recent game B．Macieja－C．Garcia Moreno，Span－ ish League 2004．Now，instead of $12 \ldots.)^{2} 8$ as played，I would suggest 12．．．㟶d6！ 13 安f4 Qd8 $140-0$ Ee6 15宽e3 a6 16 寍e2 c5 with counterplay as an improvement．

## 90 c 3

9 a4？！a5 10 宽e3 0－0 11 寞xc6 bxc6 12 $0-0$ was strategically dubious，and after 12．．．f5 13 exf6 霝f6 Black is at least equal，A．Biro－P．Lukacs，Budapest 1985.
9．．．0－0


## 10 荲xc6

This exchange appears quite risky．It is easy to end in a position where White is under attack from the dynamic duo，aka Black＇s bishop pair．

There is little need to exchange on c6 immediately．White would be better off playing 10 是e3t？when play is likely to
 Og5 13 臬xc6 bxc6 14 0－0－0 0xf3，and now GM Sveshnikov continues his analy－
 f5 18 exf6 糟xf6 19 h5 ©f4 20 【゙h4 where he claims that White has full com－ pensation for the pawn．Actually I fear that White is fighting for a draw，and is
not guaranteed to succeed．A possible

楼 77 etc ．

However，White＇s play can be greatly improved．After 15 幽e2！©h4 16 栺hg1 White has real threats coming up on the kingside，and Black will not be able to free himself as easily as in the other line． It is hard to make a final conclusion，but ＇with compensation＇is not an unfair evaluation．

## 10．．．bxc6 11 童e3？！

White is trying to play against the bishop on b6，but it was better simply to continue $110-0$ 最g4 12 党 e 1 with equal－ ity．


## 11．．．会g4？

This does not really achieve anything． Here Black had the chance to annoy the bishop on e3，or if White wants to avoid this，he will have to give up a lot of his presence in the centre．After 11．．．f5！ 12 exf6 楢f6，Black is just better．Strong grandmasters have tried this out in two recent games：




 a dangerous initiative，J．Rowson－ I．Sokolov，Selfoss 2003.
b） 13 Qxe4 dxe4 14 列2 1 ab！ 15
 and again Black had a deadly initiative in B．Macieja－G．Vescovi，Bermuda 2004.

## 12 曹a4 c5？

Black is entering a quagmire of bad tac－ tics．12．．．${ }^{\text {exf3 }}$ was better，although after
 －g1 घae8 17 䊦c2 宸h3 18 0－0－0！White has some initiative，because of the weak black bishop on b6．

## 13 dxc5 是xf3

 and White wins．

## 14 gxf3 ©xc5 15 㑒xc5 全xc5



## 16 0－0－0！

Now Black has some problems with the d－pawn and also，less obviously，with his king，as the open g －file can become an engine for a dangerous white attack．

## 16．．．噛e8

Black has an unpleasant choice here． He can play the text move，or $16 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 417$
 White has an unpleasant attack，or
 19 f 4 where White is also better．

## 

White would，of course，not mind en－ tering the endgame．The black pieces have difficulties working together；his bishop especially is lacking a useful di－ agonal．Maybe Black has more chances in the endgame，but it is understandable that he chooses to avoid it，even though this is probably mistaken．


 25 Efd 3 Exd 26 Exd f 6 would allow Black to gain counterplay） $24 \ldots$ ．．．axb6 25喔d6 White still has some winning chances．His advantage is not necessarily that great，but it is a firm and stable supe－ riority，that in practice will cost Black a very tough defence in return for the draw．



White is just much better here．


 32 新 2 当e3 33 数h

33 Exc6！is also possible，as after

 35．．．歯g5 36 胞ch2 完e3 37 E4．In both cases White wins．

Simpler was 35 易xd8！黑xd8 36 e6 fxe6 37 幽d1 and White wins．
35．．．h5 36 e6 名h6 37 鳥c3 岲e2 38

崽d4 44 g 5 ＋1－0

## Game 6

## E．Sveshnikov－H．Stefansson

Liepaya（rapid） 2004
If you compare the dates of this game with the previous one，you will see that Grandmaster Sveshnikov has had a last－ ing passion for this rather harmless line．
 Qf6 5d4 exd4 6 e5 d5 7 塭b5 0 e4 8 cxd4 © ${ }^{\text {eb }} 4+$ ！？


This simple move（patzer sees a check， patzer plays a check）gives Black a sound and rather solid game．
9 莫d2
White has no road leading to an advan－ tage．Sveshnikov has had to realise this in his practical games，where he also tried 9

Ebd2 是d7 10 0－0 0－0 and now at least two moves in his games：
a） 11 Dxe4 dxe4 12 Dg5 Dxe5 13
 16 f 3 c 617 w w 4 b 5 ！and Black is at least equal，E．Sveshnikov－M．Krasenkow，Vil－ nius 1997.

 White a slightly advantage in E．Sveshnikov－S．Azarov，Minsk 2000，but surely he realised that it was easy for Black to improve，as he deviated in the current game．The improvement could be 13．．．\＆g4！ 14 \＆xe4 dxe4 15 莯xe4 \＆xf3
 ity．
9．．．थxd2 10 定xc6＋bxc6 11 亚bxd2 c5


## 12 a3

12 dxc5 has been played，but I prefer not to go into the games and instead pre－ sent $12 \ldots 0-0$ ！，which is a new idea．（ Ok ， ok，here is some old stuff，but then you have to eat your greens！12．．．8xc5 13


 according to Macieja，or $14 \ldots$ 婁d7 15
 some compensation） 13 罾a4 5ib8 $140-0$
 see it Black is slightly better．

## 



## 13．．．c4！

This move might seem surprising，but it gives Black easy equality．Optically it looks as if the pawn is placed on a wrong col－ oured square，given Black＇s light－squared bishop，but if we look slightly further than automatic dogmatism，we will see that the pawns will actually support the bishop rather than restrict it．Also，the f3－knight was probably hoping to occupy one of the dark squares in the centre，and this is now nothing but a dream．

One practical example saw the reason－ able alternative 13．．．今g4 14 dxc5 念xf3 15
gxf3 0－0．Here White went wrong with 16 c6？，as after $16 \ldots$ ．．．巴e8 $17 \mathrm{f4}$ ，Black should deviate from B．Macieja－A．Aleksandrov， European Team Championship 2003，
笪ab8 and be slightly better．If instead 16
 and Black has counterplay．

## $140-0$ 苴 b 8 ！

Black keeps an eye on the b2－pawn， which gives him good counterplay．
15 异fe1 0－0 16 棵c2 g6 17 Qd2
 cxd4 21 Qxd4 Е゙b6


## 22 ゴae1

Or 22 b4 cxb3 23 公xb3 寞e6 24 公d4䈓fb8 with equality．
22．．．定e6 23 h3 Wh4 24 』d1 登fb8 25 ［e2 ${ }^{\text {¹ }} 8 \mathrm{bb} 71 / 2-1 / 2$

## Summary

To conclude quickly on the material in this chapter：after 4 c 3 then 4 ．．．${ }^{4}$ e 7 has a good reputation，but probably unjustly．Games 3 and 4 contain some ideas leading to an ad－ vantage for White，which should be of practical importance to anyone playing the Ital－ ian Game．

In the last two games of the chapter we investigated Sveshnikov＇s pet line with 6 e5， which is theoretically quite harmless．Obviously the Russian grandmaster plays this be－ cause he feels that he gets good practical chances，but against a well－prepared opponent this is probably not the case．But then again，how many people are prepared for every obscure line after 1 e 4 e 5 －？Not many I suppose．．．

4...数e75d4 宔b660-0 d6 (D)
7 a4-Game 3
7 h3-Game 4
5 d 4 exd4 6 e5 d5 7 寧b5 0e4 8 cxd4 (D)
8....宣b6 - Game 5
8...宣b4+-Game 6


4 c3


6．．．d6

$8 c x d 4$

## CHAPTER THREE

## The Möller Attack and the Classical Italian Game


 © 65 d 4 exd4

In this chapter we will look at the posi－ tions arising after 1 e 4 e 52 \＆f3 3 c 3惫 c 4 蛔 c 54 c 3 ff6 5 d 4 exd4．In the first two games we examine the Möller Attack 6 cxd 4 定b4＋7 ©c3？！．This gambit is over a hundred years old and is one of those lovely antiques which are fragile and break into pieces if you treat them a little bit harshly．In this chapter we shall see that Black equalises easily in Game 7， where White afterwards fail to prove equality；and in Game 8 we shall see the official refutation $13 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！（but also $13 . . .0-0$ ，which seems to lead to a draw by force）．Surely the Möller Attack is having tough times in this computer age．

In Games 9 and 10 we shall examine 7 응ㄹ 2 ，which is every bit as harmless as it looks．We will see that Black can force equality，but then will have to allow White the chance of a draw by repetition；or Black can accept a slightly worse position， but play for a win．For tactical reasons such a line can at times prove reasonable
for White．Van der Doel＇s weak play in Game 9 failed to exploit the pay－offs of this tactic，but the idea still works．

In Game 11 we shall look at another dubious gambit， $60-0$ ，which can be met either by $6 .$. ． ．xe4 with simple equality（or a little more），and the greedy $6 \ldots . . \mathrm{dxc} 3$ ？？， which in many sources is referred to as bad，but actually gives Black reasonable chances．

## Game 7

## Comp．Fritz 6－V．Anand

Man us．Machine，Frankefurt（rapid） 1999

## 1 e4e52 ©f3 ©c6 3 d4

This game has a slightly unusual move order．Normally we reach the position at move 5 by 3 配 4 是c5 4 c 3 死 5 d 4 ．By the way， 5 d 4 is the most logical move here，since $50-0$ leaves White struggling to make sense of his position after the equalising 5．．．0xe4．And 5 b4 does not look right either，as it leads to a position from the Evans Gambit，which is not particularly good for White．This leaves
only 5 d 3 as a serious alternative，which is of practical value，though not really dan－ gerous for Black．We will examine this move in the next chapter．

## 3．．．exd4 4 念c4 㑒c5 5 c3 06

These lines might look very sharp and dangerous，but the reality is that the forc－ ing nature of the position quite often leads them into a draw．．．

## 6 cxd4 婁b4＋

This check is highly logical，and other moves are simply bad．For example： 6．．．今b6？ 7 d5 Qe7 8 e5 0 g 49 d 6 cxd 6 10 exd6 ©c6 11 宴g5 $0 \mathrm{f} 6120-0$ and White is much better．


The main position．White has two sen－ sible moves here．

## 7 © 3 ？

Objectively speaking，this move is weak；but then objectivity has little to do with the ways of the world，who is elected president in the US，who is selected for junior tournaments，and how an egg should be prepared．．． 7 c 3 is dangerous in practice，if Black is not well prepared for it．

White＇s third option， 7 dgfl？！is known as the Krakow Variation．In 1909，chess players from Krakow played a thematic
tournament in this line，investigating White＇s attacking chances．Now we know－ that 7 d．f1 is somewhat dubious，and that with logical play Black should be able to get a good opening．Let＇s look at two typical options：
a） 7 ．．． $0 x e 4$ ？！might seem tempting，but all White＇s play is based on this over－ optimistic move．Taking the pawn is un－ necessarily risky，and probably even plain bad．White can now seize the initiative by simple means： 8 d5 \＆e7 9 数d4 Ef6 10
娄d3 会e7？（though 13．．．念xd2 14 各xd2 with a clear advantage to White was not particularly attractive either） 14 真xg6！ hxg5 15 e5 and White was winning in F．Marshall－A．Burn，Ostend 1905.
b）The logical reaction so often in these classical positions is to strike in the centre．Here 7．．．d5！ 8 exd5 ${ }^{2}$ xd5

is at least slightly better for Black．e．g． 9

 the two bishops are insufficient compensation for the pawn，Bartmansky－ Batik，correspondence 1910.
7．．．年xe4 $80-0$ 全xc3
Black needs to go directly for a refuta－
tion of the Möller，or he will quickly end up in trouble．

Here 8．．．0－0？is weak because of 9 d 5
 and White is much better．
$8 . . .0 \times \mathrm{xc} 3$ is possible though，and then 9 bxc 3 leaves us with a branching：

a）9．．．． e ．xc3 10 \＆a3！d5！（but not

登xd6 and White wins according to Keres；
 Qxb4 13 管 $\mathrm{e} 1+$ 宽d8 14 䊦 d 2 and White is just better） 11 念b5 \＆ A a1 12 登e1＋
 easily go wrong here，e．g． 14 䓪xe6＋？fxe6 15 2e5 曹d6！ 16 食xc6＋bxc6 17 是xd6
 after 14 登xa1？！f6！White has problems to prove compensation）14．．．幽f6 15 曾xc6＋

是xc6 宴xc6 21 䊓xc6 and White must now fight for a draw） $15 \ldots$ bxc6 $16 \triangleq \mathrm{xc} 6$
 petual check．
b） 9 ．．．d5！is even simpler．After 10 cxb4



16 xxc4 gives White compensation for the pawn） 14 㝠xe7 穴xe7 15 崰c2 f6 16 Qg5！（White must play energetically to keep the balance） $16 \ldots . . \mathrm{fxg} 517$ 总 $\mathrm{e} 5{ }_{4} \mathrm{xd} 4$


 26 畨f4＋点g6 with a draw in O．Gadia－ J．De Souza Mendes，Brazilian Champion－ ship 1961.
9 d 5 ！？


This is Möller＇s idea；invented in 1898. After the rather pointless 9 bxc 3 ？！ d 5 Black has a perfect game．Against Lasker， in their 1896 return match，Steinitz tried to play without pieces．He also played without any hint of success or indication that he was a World Champion．Of course he was also in the later part of his life and surely without the energy of his younger years．The game continued 10
 Oxe4 fxe4 14 戓xe4 粕ff and White does not have any form of compensation for the piece，W．Steinitz Em．Lasker，Moscow match 1896.
9．．． 2 e5
This variation is not as well known in the West as 9．．．．．．f6（as seen in the next
game）．Nevertheless，it is quite safe and gives Black a very slight edge without any risk at all．For those happy with a superior position as Black within the first ten moves，and who does not necessarily have to refute their opponent＇s madness， this is a very safe choice．
a） $9 . .$. De7？looks safe as well，but it only takes a few moves to shatter the illu－ sion．After 10 bxc3 0－0 11 鳥 1 告6 12 d 6 cxd6 13 Q Q 3 White＇s attack is very strong．
b） 9 ．．．宣a5 is also playable，though not as good as the text move．Now 10 dxc6 bxc6 11 Qe5 ©d6 gives us two interest－ ing options：

b1） 12 幽g4！？当f6 13 b 4 是xb4

宸2 2 and White wins） 14 主b2 Dxc4 15 Qxc4 崰g6 16 気e1＋and，according to Möller，White has a promising attack．The


 White has compensation enough for draw，but hardly anything more．
b2）The simple exchange 12 ©xf7？


嵝xa5 is more interesting．In my opinion， White has some advantage here．Black has a pawn more，but also problems with his king＇s position，while the opposite－ coloured bishops should help facilitate an attack．

## 10 bxc3 0 xc4 11 崰d4



11．．．0－0
Anand probably felt little doubt in the practicality of this move．Black is safely developed and White＇s initiative is already stalling．

After 11．．．Dcd6？Black would be made suffer for his greed with the surprising sequence 12 當xg7 䊦f6 13 糟xf6！（Black＇s extra piece is doing little in the defence） 13．．．Dxf6 14 能1＋Dfe4（14．．． 15
 White wins） 15 Dd2 f5 16 f3 0－0 17 fxe4匂e4 18 Dxe4 fxe4 19 皆xe4 and White is at least slightly better here．

However，Black can choose which knight White can take by protecting the better placed of the two．I firmly believe that $11 \ldots . . \mathrm{f5!}$ is the best way forward．Now play could continue 12 精xc4 d6 13 量d 4 $0-014 \mathrm{f} 3$ ©c5 15 金a3 b6 16 金xc5 bxc5


for preference．

## 12 票xe4 2 d 6

This is stronger than $12 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ？when White can play 13 a4 c6 14 dxc 6 d 515潧d3 bxa4 16 gg with the initiative．

## 13 娄d3 b6 14 里a3 数f6 15 当d4



White has probably enough compensa－ tion to make a draw，but he（it！）will also have to prove it in practice，something computers can have great difficulties do－ ing in this kind of position．

自xd6 cxd6 20 气 43 Eac8 Black is slightly better．
15．．．管xd4 16 分xd4 皿b7 17 是xd6 cxd6 18 勾5 g6 19 代xd6 是xd5 20
 Eeb1

White is unable to build a fortress．But even if he was，this is a dark spot for computers，which do not understand the concept of fortresses at all，as their hori－ zon are too short．They cannot under－ stand that no improvements can be made to the position，ever，as they cling to what

 Ed4 the conquest of the fortress is easy：
 cxd5 $\dot{d}_{6} x d 6$ and Black will win this ending with the passed pawn and good position of his rook．
23．．．Eb8


## 24 a5？

The computer can see that he will win back his pawn in the short term；but the grandmaster understands that，in the long term，Black will activate his rook，when the white position is beyond salvation． After the stronger 24 c 4 䛼 c 725 a 5 m c 6
 29 White would have kept good drawing chances．
$24 . . . b 525$ c4 b4 26 Ёa4 b3 27 Еa3
 Qb7？！

Now the white pieces will be lost in the far corner of the board．Instead 30 畨f2 would have offered more resistance． 30．．．Exa5 should be enough to win for Black，but only after a hard fight．
 33 Exa6

The a－pawn is nothing but a dissident under state control．


as the a－pawn cannot escape．
 39 §xd7＋食xd7 40 気xd7 皆a3 41』a7 44 苛a8＋党g7 45 胡h2 h5 0－1

 Qf6 5 d4 exd4 6 cxd4 $\mathbf{~ \& ~ b 4 + ~} 7$



Just as in the previous variation，White has chances for equality．But this is as far as it goes if Black plays correctly． 9 ．．． $\mathrm{ef6}$ has been the main line of the Möller At－ tack for more than a hundred years，and despite short－lived resurrections of the White initiative，Black has always been able to solve his problems more than sat－ isfactorily．

## 10 － 1 © 7

$10 \ldots 000$ ？ 11 思xe4 包 $712 \mathrm{~d} 6!$ is a the－ matic trick，when after $12 \ldots$ cxd 13 全g5包g6 14 Ud5 White is slighty better ac－ cording to grandmaster Unzicker．

## 11 感 e 4 d 612 会g5

White is trying to＇launch＇the knight
into the enemy position like an avant－ garde soldier，who will clear the way for the remains of the army．This is probably the soundest strategy here．

A little sideline that sometimes is seen at amateur level，and which can lead to inspiring victories，is 12 g 4 ？，but I do not believe in it．This＇bayonet attack＇is remi－ niscent of an infantry assault on a bunker in which everyone has a machine gun．．． After normal moves for Black like 12．．．0－0 13 g 5 宣 e 514 分xe5 全f5 15 管e3 dxe5 16 登xe5 显d7 we can conclude that the white king will have to surrender quite soon．

## 12．．．室xg5

Black has no choice but to go into this forcing line．On $12 \ldots$ ．．．f5？！White has an annoying check in 13 鼻 $65+$ ，and after 13．．．． Of3 the initiative looks truly dangerous．

## 13 oxg5



## 13．．．h6！

This was the improvement for Black that shifted the variation from＇not too dangerous＇to＇downright dubious＇．

The other main line starts with $13 \ldots 0-0$ ， when $14 \sum_{x h 7}$ is the only chance for a real attack．So far it has been believed to
force a draw，though as we shall see this is not completely clear． $14 \ldots . .{ }^{( } \times \mathrm{xh} 7$ ？？is the sharpest reply and feels best（though
 with equality is also possible）．Now White
 and here Black has the choice between 16．．．f6 17 害d3 f5 18 全e2 苞e8 19 管e1
 with equality according to Perez，or to enter a much larger maze with 16．．．f5！

when we have the following options：
a） 17 登e1？！has the idea of $17 \ldots$ 覴e8？ 18



新 827 h 4 and White wins） 23 Wh8＋

 29 宴h5 mate．

However，Black can play more strongly with $17 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{~g} 6$ ！ 18 Ёh3
幽h8 显xe6 23 dxe6＋昌xe6 24 莫xe6 +声xe6 and Black wins）19．．．2e5 20 f 4 Qf7 21 芭e6，and now after $21 \ldots$ ©d7！ Black retains his material advantage，in－ stead of 21．．．宽xe6？ 22 dxe6 㴔e7 23

 A．Nogueira－M．Valverde Lopez，corre－ spondence 1977．So this line does not seem to be playable for White．
b） 17 胃h3？is Paul Keres＇idea，but it does not stand the test of our time：computer analysis，e．g．17．．．f4 18 曹h7＋客f7 19断h5＋g6！（if 19．．．dgg 20 楼h7＋with equality） 20 莌 $\mathrm{h} 7+$ 家f6 21 U $\mathrm{U} 4+$ g5 22

 28 官xg6t Exg6 29 是xg6 宴xg6 and Black should win．
c）The best option by far is 17 窭 $\mathrm{h} 7+$


when Black has：
c1） $19 \ldots$ ．．． d 7 ？ loses to the fabulous 20

 홉d8 26 全b5！？（or 26 Weg8＋管e7 27


 Wexb5 and White wins．
 Ehe3 Ec8 22 是d3 g6 23 h 4 芭g7 24断h8＋登g8 with equality according to Sozin．
c3） $19 \ldots . .{ }^{4} \mathrm{f} 8$ ！is the best chance accord－ ing to my analysis．Here White can try to reorganise his troops with 20 and then：
c31）20．．．${ }^{\text {e } d 7 ?!}$（complicated but infe－ rior） 21 绐he 3 Ig6！（necessary if Black is to play for a win；certainly not 21 ．．．量e8？ 22 总e2！！when Black has no decent de－ fence against 23 愠h5） 22 遏e6！（appar－
曹xe7 24 登xe7＋志f6！！and Black wins，or
 25 wivg $\mathrm{wg}^{2}$ g and Black＇s advantage is close to decisive） $22 \ldots$ ．．．xe6 23 dxe6 +
 White retains some compensation．
c32） $20 \ldots . .5 \mathrm{~d} 6!$ is one of those truly stunning moves which a computer can sometimes find．The idea is very simple： White is not allowed a check on e7 in the most forcing lines，e．g．if 21 馬he3？馬 8 ！ and Black wins instantly．Instead White

送 8 览f7 and here Black will win because of $27 . . .2 \mathrm{f} 6$ and White has no counterplay for the piece．I am not too eager to risk my reputation by giving a clear evaluation of this line，since maybe White has a way to strengthen his attack earlier on？I be－ lieve in Black＇s position，but one unpre－ dictable tactic could turn everything up－ side down．

And anyway，White can possibly im－ prove earlier with 20 宽b5！\＃h8 21 需xh8
䒼xh6＋and equality according to Keres． It looks as if the simple 20．．．a6！？questions this，but here White can play 21 Eee 6 ！



 32 w 娄 l ？and there is seemingly no way to escape the perpetual check．

So maybe $13 . .0-0$ does give White a draw after all．


## 14 数e2

Alternatives：

 and White has no compensation．
b） 14 嶫h5 0－0 15 』ae1 $£ \mathrm{f5}$（simpler is
 when a pawn is a pawn） 16 ff3（or 16 $\Delta \mathrm{h} 3$ ？是d7 $17 \mathrm{Df}_{4}$ with the initiative，
 20 dxe6 莫e8 $21 \mathrm{e} 7+$ Ef7 $22 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{etc}$ ） 16．．．柴f6？（and here 16．．．g6！ 17 幽g4 显d7， though White has some compensation for the material after 18 登 4 e 2 曹f6 19


 wins，J．Majewski－P．Bielak，correspon－ dence 1992.
c） 14 थxf7？${ }^{\circ} \times \mathrm{xf} 715$ 䊑f3＋ 2 ） 5 （not 15．．．dg8？ 16 気ae1 or $15 \ldots$ 点g6？？ 16登xe7 and White wins，while if $15 \ldots$ ．．．f5？ 16 登 ae 1 g 617 g 4 with a strong attack） 16


鳕 c 2 and White has the initiative） $17 \mathrm{gxf5}$ $\dot{8} 8$ and Black is better．

## 

16．．．f5？ 17 d d 6 gives equality ac－ cording to ECO，but after the not too
 Eh 4 0－0－0 21 会d d White is better．

## 

If 18 显d3 䊅c7 19 h 3 d 520 b 4 a 521 b 5 c 5 and Black is much better．
18．．．${ }^{20} \times \mathrm{xh} 319 \mathrm{gxh} 3 \mathrm{~g} 620$ 数d2







Black is close to winning here too．

## 24 雪a3 畨f4！

Stronger than $24 \ldots$ ．．． b b $1+$ ？！which could lead to 25 回g2 ©f5 26 f 3 （2h4＋？（here 26．．．数c1 with an attack is still OK ， though not as good as the game move） 27
 play．
 28 a4


Now everything is over and done with． 29 a5 玉xh3 30 坒e1 b5 31 断c2

楼xc2 tact 37 b4 tad 0 0－1

## Game 9

## E．Van den Doel－I．Sokolov

Dutch Championship，Leeuwarden 2004




Natural and sound．
7．．．全xd2＋
Though very logical，this is not Black＇s only option in this position．He has also tried：
 （otherwise White has nothing，e．g． 9 断b3
 $0-013$ c 3 with equality，but even this is
 （Black can also try 10．．．def8 11 室xb4＋
 ity） 11 e5＋Ge6！（but not 11．．．8f6？ 12 f3 气d6 13 曹xb4 客f5 $140-0$ and White is better） 12 娄xb4 c5 13 粪a3 cxd4 14


 Qf3 复xa2 and Black is close to winning， G．Lee－G．Flear，British Championship 2002）16．．．2e6（again 16．．．is strongly met by $17 \mathrm{f} 3!$ dex d e5 18 fxe4 dxe4 19 窭 $\mathrm{e} 7+$ ¢ cisk 22 燐xe4 and Black has a hard life，

 wins）

and now White can choose between 17 Ef3 with equality，and 17 d 3 ，which gives compensation is the following way：


b） $7 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？？is a little known，but decent alternative．After 8 exd5 會xd2＋White
can vary from the standard $9 \triangleq$ bxd2 with 9 Wxd2，though after 9．．．2）xd5 $100-00-0$ 11 先3 3 ce7 12 Efe1 c6 13 包e4 h6 14 h3 安f5 Black has equality，A．Schwenk－ I．Krasenkova，Baden Baden 1993.
8 分bxd2d5
8 ．．．©xe4 looks tempting，but White re－ acts energetically with 9 d5！©xd2 10
 12．．．Of5 13 斯 $5+$ 曾e7 $140-0-0$ with an attack according to Makarychev） 13 \＆b3
要xg6 hxg6 18 飘g3 and White had com－ pensation in A．Tzermiadianos－ V．Kotronias，Athens 1998．Also after the even more tempting 18 甾e7l？』ab 19
 \＃d8 23 邑ae1 White has compensation for the pawn．
9 exd5 $0 \mathrm{xd5}$


## 10 数 3

Some players are afraid of $100-00-0$ 11 De5！？，but Black has two sensible ways of ensuring himself an equal game：
a） $11 \ldots$ Qxe5 12 dxc 5 Qf4 13 Qe4 峔e7
 17 等ad1 金5 18 气a6 c5 19 包 7 当ab8 with equality，T．Lavholt－R．Monner Sans， correspondence 1995.

 is a famous attack that even defeated the great Capablanca） 14 全xf7＋（not 14 Qxf7 ©xa1！and White has nothing） 14．．．Exf 15 世类xb3 楼xe5！and Black had equalised in P．Figueiredo－A．Pereira，Vila Nova de Gaia 2004，because of 16 Eae1置e6！．

## 10．．．5）ce7

This is a little bit passive，after which White manages to organise some small pressure．The stronger $10 . .$. Qa5！is inves－ tigated in the next game．However，the text move does has the advantage of de－ nying White the possibility of repeating the position，as he can after $10 . . .0$ a5．

13．．． $\begin{gathered}\text { whb } \\ \mathrm{b} \\ \text { is best met by } 140 \mathrm{c} 3 \text {（not } 14\end{gathered}$
 0xb7 Qg6 with equality，E．Sveshnikov－$^{\text {and }}$ V．Chekhov，Sochi 1983）14．．．糟xb3 15
 cxd5 18 岂 7 and White has a slight ad－ vantage according to Makarychev． 14 童d3


White is slightly better here as the b6－ knight is passive．


This allows Black to become active a bit too easily．I suggest 16 喽c2！？as an improvement．Then after $16 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 617$ a3
 Ed 821 玉ac1 White keeps some pressure． 16．．．$勹$ f4 17 全b1



## 17．．．数f6 18 分e5 宸g5 19 暑f3 f6

Black is seemingly not completely fo－ cused on the need to secure counterplay immediately．Best was $19 \ldots$ 全． h 3 ！ 20 g 3 Efd5 and Black equalises．For example， after the aggressive 21 g 4 Df4 22 De4


20 亿ed3 分bd5 21 xt4 0 xf4 22 h 4




## 27 鋢3？

A strange mistake．After 27 鳁f3！ White is much better，preparing the inva－ sion of the seventh rank，and keeping all the black pieces tied down．
27．．．唒xe3 28 एxe3 Ee8 29 Eice1



Now White is looking for a draw．In－

has counterplay．
33．．．b6 34 全b3＋6f8 35 包 $6+$




You really need to try hard to lose an ending like this．The inactive placement of the rook is a good place to start．




## 47 f4？

This merely creates a lot of weaknesses in his own camp．Instead after 47 hxg5


嘸e4 White is safe．

的h 53 Ec6？

White could do much better with 53



 ish up in the endgame with f －and h － pawns，one that offers excellent drawing chances．
53．．．．＂b4＋54

This makes it easier，but it was already too late to save the game．If 54 爱d5

⿷匚





Game 10 J．Marsden－J．Sutton

Correspondence 2001
 りf65d4 exd4 6 cxd4 官b4＋7虫d2
挡b3


10．．． 2 a5！
This move secures Black equality，but also allows White to repeat the position．
11 档a4＋2c6
 13 Elc ！ ！Black is in trouble，e．g．13．．．数b5
 9 xc 4 bxc4 18 Exc4 菑d6 $19 \quad 0-0$ and White has a clear advantage．
12. ． b 5

12 数 3 ？would repeat the position，
but here White is looking for more． 12．．．全d7

After the anti－positional 12．．0－0？！ 13
潄xc6 White is much better，J．Bosch－ D．Pirrot，German Bundesliga 1997. $130-0$

White needs to get his king into safety before it is too late．After 13 粕 b 3 ？霓 $7+$ 14 tifl 宴e6！White does not have com－ pensation for the bad position of his king， E．Sveshnikov－E．Mortensen，Leningrad 1984.

13．．．0－0 14 Effe1 a6 15 是f1 最f5
This is better than $15 . . .2 \mathrm{Cb} 416$ 鋉3

娄 d 2 and White has a small edge， P．Morssink－E．Van der Bij，correspon－ dence 1990.

## 16 घac 1

 18 a 3 there is nothing but equality．
16．．．0b6


## 17 数a3！

White tries to sacrifice a pawn to get the initiative．

[^0]数d6 20 遭c5 with compensation．
19．．．显d6 20 霓xd6 cxd6 21 घged1 d5


Game 11

## D．Hergott－G．Garcia

I inares 1994

##  ゆ） 55 d 4 exd4 $60-0$



This romantic gambit does not offer White any chances for an advantage．Ac－ tually at times he needs to be careful not to be worse．
6．．．包e4
Others：
a） $6 \ldots$ ．．d5？！is very dangerous．After 7 exd5 0xd5 8 Ee1＋定e6 9 White has the advantage，e．g．9．．．0－0 10 断d3 g 6
溇xe6 14 2xe6 and White was better in Y．Estrin－S．Letic，correspondence 1967.
b） $6 . . . \mathrm{d} 3$ has been played in some re－ cent games，though mainly by players wanting to avoid main lines they were unfamiliar with．White has a slight plus after almost any move．One line could be

and Black has no easy way of improving his position，as after the possibly best 10．．．f6 11 exf6 峟xe2 12 盆xe2 0xf6 White should secure a clear edge with 13全xc7！．
c） $6 \ldots .$. dxc 3 looks risky，but after 7 e5！？ d5！Black is doing all right． 8 全b3 can be met strongly with 8．．．c2！ 9 全xc2 2 e 410
 compensation is in doubt，J．Blauert－ D．Belotelov，Budapest 1997.

## 7 cxd4 d5！

The only move．7．．．． e e7？ 8 d 5 分b89

 whirlwind initiative） $10 \%$ \＆0－0 $11 \% \mathrm{c} 3$ and White has very pleasant compensa－ tion for the pawn．

## 8 dxc 5

No choice．
8．．．dxc4


White has tried several moves in this position，but none that leads to anything better than a struggle（often successful） for equality．

## 9 数xd8＋

a） 9 装e2 4 e 7 ？？（more ambitious than



1／2－1／2 T．L．Petrosian－A．Grischuk，Internet （blitz）2004；White is certainly not better here，but probably not worse either） 10
 and Black is better－Lukacs） $10 . .0 \mathrm{Dx} 5$
 was suggested by Golod，intending $11 . .2 \mathrm{e}$ e6 12 © 3 with compensation，but here $12 \ldots . . . \frac{\omega}{6}$ b4！seems to give White prob－ lems proving this．Black is a little better．
 $0-0 \quad 12$ 挃xc4 完e6 was pleasantly equal for Black in A．Pashikian－G．Sargissian， Armenian Championship 2003．And Black can probably create more problems for White with more ambitious play．
9．．． dx d 8


 White dominated in L．Barczay－L．Karsa， Hungarian Championship 1980.

## 10 5d1＋

White has also tried 100 g5 $0 x \mathrm{xg} 11$



 opposite－coloured bishops in D．Dumi－ trache－Kr．Georgiev，Athens 1992；with accurate play and help from the oppo－ nent，Black managed to gain a full point）
 an advantage） 14 Qc3 ©d3 15 全g3 Oxc5 16 E．d4 官e6 17 Exc4 was J．Blauert－G．Von Bülow，German Bundesliga 1998，when Black has many ways to try to win with his extra pawn．
10．．． e d7
 and White is OK．

## 11 全e3

After 11 包3 3 xc5 Black is just a pawn up，while 11 2g5 $5 \times 512$ 曾xg5

 Ea6 was J．Blauert－M．Hebden，London Lloyds Bank 1991．Again Black managed to convert his extra pawn to a full point despite the opposite－coloured bishops．As in the previous example，this can be at－ tributed to the fact that Black was the stronger player，as well as to the position．


## 11．．．雪c8

Black can also play for an advantage with 11．．．te7！？and then after 12 Dbd2
 15 Ee1 总e6 and Black had a slight edge in K．Honfi－G．Sax，Hungary 1970）
 F．Ramos Suria－A．Sorin，Seville 1989） 14．．．义e5 15 f 4 包d3 16 発c3 b5 17 cxb6 axb6 18 旬x4 菁xc4 19 気xc4 c5 allows White to regain his pawn，but his pieces are very badly coordinated and his posi－ tion full of weaknesses．

## 12 펻 1

12 勾3 is weaker，when $12 . . . c 313$ b3



24xc5 gave Black a very clear edge in P．Tishin－O．Karpeshov，Samara 2002. 18．．．تd8 was even stronger，when Black is just winning．

## 12．．．\＆e6 13 気 3 c3 14 bxc3 b6

Although natural，this seems a bit too early．Instead 14 ．．．．e8e ！was a useful wait－ ing move，when White is desperately fighting for equality，and will probably be unsuccessful．

## 

16 f 3 ©xc5 was a little better for Black in N．Kopylov－M．Govbinder，correspon－ dence 1976.
16．．．モe8 17 घab1＝ab8 18 分b5 bxc5 19 勾 5 ？

19 0xc5！0xc5 20 全xc5 was neces－ sary，when the game is level after $20 \ldots$ ．．．ff

 more play，but it is still a dead draw） 22
宔xa2 25 㿾 $\mathbf{a} 7$ with a draw．


## 19．．．a6

19．．．${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{x} 55$ ！was very strong．White has no choice but to enter a ridiculous end－
 Da5 when Black＇s extra pawn should tell．


White had to play 21 Qa7＋\＄d7 22
 Black is better，but not too much．
 24 全xc5



30 䔰 3 was slightly better，but the po－ sition is very bad for White anyway．

 36 cxd5 Eh 637 全g7 Eh5！


Black has a lot of nice options，e．g． 37．．．Og5 38 あ h2 当d6 with a clear extra pawn．After the text White has no choice but to enter a bad rook endgame．



White has drawing chances，but in practical terms，it is hard to defend．


 50 Еb3＋\＆c4 51 घa3 \＆b5 52 Еb3＋
 \％ b 8 Ed7

 and Black should win．

56 字e3 c4 57 \＃̈c8＋


57．．．畠d5
Sacrificing the a－pawn seems a bit un－ necessaty．








思d7＋©
 － c 7 E E 2 2？！

Why not just accept that the position is now drawn？
 ⓐ2＋ 85 皃f1 富b2 86 芭xf4 室c3 87

 ter the text suddenly White is winning．

 షg 96 Ёe6 घg6
 bf7

## Summary

As we have seen in the five games in this chapter Black has nothing to fear from the classical lines of the Italian Game，short of a short draw that is．The various gambits， the Möller and $60-0$ ，are only dangerous for White and belong to the past．The main line is also completely harmless and the only problem Black needs to worry about is how to create winning chances．

For White，the idea of winning seems to be far away．If you want to play for a win in the Italian Game，you need to play 5 d 3 ，as presented in the next chapter．

## 

60－0 Qxe4 7 cxd4 d5－Game 11
6．．．全b4＋（D） 7 宣 $\mathbf{d} \mathbf{2}$
7 Qc3 0xe4 $80-0$ 主xc3 9 d5（D）
9．．． $2 \mathrm{e} 5-$ Game 7
9．．．\＆f6－Game 8

10．．． Dce $^{7}$－Game 9
10．．．2a5－Game 10


6．．．宣b4＋

$9 d 5$


10 曹 $b 3$

## CHAPTER FOUR

## The Italian Regretted: White Plays 5 d3



As said in the previous chapter I do not believe that there is anything dangerous to White's play after 1 e4 e5 2 f3



Black should always equalise without any real effort. Actually the line reminds me quite a bit of the 4 d 3 line in the Ruy Loper; sometimes there arc even transpositions between the two openings.

Having stated once more that the line is harmless, it is important for me to repeat the old Russian distinction between drawn positions and equal positions. There are players far stronger than me
who play this line regularly as White and with good results.

In Games 12 and 13 below we shall look at an early $5 . . . a 6$, where Black retains the idea of playing ...d7-d5 in one move. Black will always want to put his bishop on a7 in these quiet lines, so White sometimes pre-empts this early transposition with a quick 5 b4!? The resulting positions of this rapid queenside advance can be seen in Games 14 and 15 . In the next game White plays a2-a4 without any apparent plan beyond preventing Black from exchanging the white bishop with a quick ... Qa5 $^{2}$

Finally, in Games 17 and 18, we will examine positions not too different from the first two games in the chapter, where we have the Italian with 5 d 3 in its purest form.

Game 12
S.Vysochin-S.Kapnisis

Corinth 2004


## － 565 d 3 a 6

Black should not overstate the harm－ lessness of 5 d 3 with 5 ．．．d5？！，as White can then claim an advantage after 6 exd5 $4 \times \mathrm{xd} 57 \boldsymbol{\psi} \mathrm{~b}$ b3！（this is stronger then theo－ retical 7 0－0 $0-0 \quad 8$ Ee1 after which 8．．．. f 6 ！leads to an unclear game） $7 \ldots .9 \mathrm{f} 4$
 11 Eel and White is much better．



Black＇s plan is simple．He wants to play ．．．d7－d5 in one move．Therefore White should forget about his extravagant plans and just castle．

## 8 h3 d5

8．．．d6 transposes to the next game．

## 9 we2

$90-0$ leaves us with two interesting op－ tions to analyse：
a） $9 . . .5 \mathrm{e} 8$ ？！makes little sense as the ideal square for this rook is d8．Black should focus on getting it there instead of playing this kind of＇wrist－chess＇．Now after 10 Ee1 dxe4（if $10 \ldots \mathrm{~h}$ ？ 11 exd5 Dxd5 12 d 4 with a clear advantage） 11
 （not $13 \ldots h 6$ ？ 14 Wht 幽f8 and White wins after 15 佥g5！局e8 16 气ff $6+$ gxf6 17

and White is much better．
b） $9 \ldots$ dxe 410 dxe4？！（the knight on d2 is unemployed after this move，and what is more important White has already lost his social insurance；instead $10 \& x e 4$ h6 11 a4 Dxe4 12 dxe4 类f6 is just equal）
 trol the centre so the attack on the king－ side is condemned to defeat；more sensi－ ble was 11 敕c2 宣e6 12 きd1 with good chances for equality） $11 \ldots$ 灵 $\mathrm{d} 8 \quad 12$ 类 f 3

 We6 19 थ．g4 h6 20 ※xd6 $0 x d 621$ 食d2 Q b5 22 篤 c 1 ed d 8 and Black is slightly better，V．Bologan－M．Adams，German Bundesliga 1995.


## 9．．．dxe4 10 dxe4

 equality．
10．．．2d7！？
Or 10．．．We7 11 Qc4 會e6 12 名g5 金d7 $130-0$ 笪ad8 with equality，but not $11 . . . b 5$ ？！（the white knight wants to go to e3，so why provoke this？） 12 e3 3 a 13全c2 $0 \mathrm{~b} 714 \mathrm{~g} 4!$（an old idea by Wilhelm Steinitz－White has a stable centre can therefore start a kingside attack） $14 \ldots g 615$


Qxe5 with a clear advantage， V．Komliakov－M．Marin，Rumanian Team Championship 1993.
断f6 14 g 3 b 515 صe3


15．．．）g5？
Black does not benefit from this ex－

 game．

## 

Now White is slightly better．


## 17．．．溸6

After 17．．．．${ }^{\text {en e6 }}$ White puts pressure on the queenside with 18 a4．Unfortunately for Black he cannot simplify the position，

axb5 21 気xa8 芭xa8 22 幽xb5！范 b 823




This allows White to simplify the posi－ tion himself and retain his agile knight． Better was 22 上．．．b8 23 气g4 全xg4 24
 White has some plus．



## 24 f3！？

Here White could have played 24
 27 a 4 and in the endgame Black is under pressure in the centre and on the queen－ side．White has the advantage in the game as well though．
24．．．2c6 25 全d5 ※fe8 26 a 4 ！bxa4
Black has great problems organising his pieces．He could quickly go wrong with $26 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ ？ 27 axb5 axb5 28 de3 b4 29 f5 bxc3 30 bxc 3 and White is much better because 30 ．．．$\$ b$ ？does not work，i．e． 31
亘g5 宸xg5 35 金xf7 and White wins．
27 区xa4 全b6 28 気 3 a5 29 55 4d8

29．．．皿a6 is possible，but then 30 䊦 d 2


White retains the pressure．
 Ead8 33 Еxd8 $2 x \mathrm{xd} 834$ 全 e 3


34．．．g6？
Here Black misses his chance．After
 Black has counterplay．

## 

This loses directly．Better was 36 ．．．를 7
 40 dd5 and Black has some illusory chances for a draw．

##  1.0

## Game 13

## V．lordachescu－Z．Gyimesi

Rumania 2004
公 165 d 3 a 6 ？ ？ 6 皿b3 d6

Black chooses a different strategy based on a solid centre and slow devel－ opment．In many ways this can be com－ pared to the last two games of this chap－ ter，if it was not for White delaying cas－ tling．

## 7 h3 \＆ 278 分bd2 0－0

Another critical position．White has to
choose between the plan executed in the game with 0 f1，or simply transpose to Games 17 and 18 by castling．This is mat－ ter of taste as both variations are equal．


## 9 ）f

Against 9 曹e2 then $9 . . .2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ ！？looks good．Black wants to remove the white bishop from the b3－g8 diagonal and per－ haps prepare ．．．f7－f5．After 10 － 0 f1 5 11 定c2 De6 12 g 3 b5 13 De3 De7 14 h 4
生xd5 bxc3 19 分xe6 全xe6 20 bxc3 gave Black equality in Kolar－Straka，Czech Re－ public 2002．One possible continuation is $20 . . .2 x d 521$ exd5 宣xd5 22 全xh6 是xb3 （or $22 \ldots . . \mathrm{gxh} 623$ 富g6＋with equality） 23泪g6
世xa8＋Ef8 30 䊦e4 and it is White who keeps the balance．
9．．．d5！？
This is not illogical．White has played the time－consuming 2 f1 and Black wants to exploit this．
10 数e2 Ee8 11 首g5 dxe4 12 dxe 4

 $160-0 \mathrm{~h} 617$ 定xf6 慧xf6 Black has achieved equality．

14．．．食xe3 15 幽xe3 宣xb3 16 axb3䃈e6 17 全xf6
 Qf4 20 察g3 $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{h} 5 \text { Black has achieved full }}$ equality．
17．．．業xf6 18 0－0 需e6


In a position like this a draw is the natural result．
断xb3 22 2h4


22．．．島 ac 8
If Black takes another pawn with 22．．．${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{Bb}$ 2，White continues 23 （2） 5 xf5
 27 粕 xc 7 b 528 送d7 and his counterplay is good enough for a draw．


26 c4
White could also try 26 部e7！？，but af－
 29 Exd7 b6 it is White who needs to draw，which he can manage by 30 e 7 Еe8

 29 直h2

 tion．
谏f4＋


## 32 窓h1

White could have set a trap with 32
 $35 \& g 3$ ，with the idea of $35 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{Wf5}$ ？？ 36臺h4！！and White wins，a fantastic idea mentioned by Gyimesi．Instead，after

谏 $1+1 / 2-1 / 2$

包65 b4 \＆b6 6 d3 d6 7 a4


This assault should not be dangerous for Black．The attack on the a－and b－files is happening in too narrow an area to create serious problems for Black．And what is more important，White does not have full stability and control over his centre，which offers Black good chances for counterplay exactly there．
7．．．a5
5 d 3 d 66 b 4 兽b6 7 a 4 a 5 is the usual move order to reach this position．Yu－ dasin chose a slightly different sequence to avoid the possibility of 5 ．．．a6，discour－ aging b 2 － b 4 ，as the bishop can then re－ treat to a 7 in one go．

## 8 b5 0 e7

$8 . .2 \mathrm{~b} 8$ ？is less popular，though still good，e．g． 9 0－0 0－0 10 宥g5 h6 11 客h4 g5 12 安g3 䔰g4 and the position is equal according to Unzicker．

## $90-0$

Against 9 bd2，9．．．c6！achieves equal－ ity directly，based on 10 bxc6 bxc6 $110-0$
 is alright．The solid $9 \ldots 0-0$ is also fine，e．g．
 d4 exd4 14 cxd4 密b4 15 d5 意d7！（infe－
 White was slightly better in L．Psakhis－

S．Skembris，Beersheba 1993） 16 类d4 Ee8
 gxf6 20 Iffe $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}} 5$ with equality．
9．．．0－0 10 亿bd2
 fxg6！is a useful trick to remember．We learn that we should recapture towards the centre in the middlegame，but when you see an attacking chance，you should not hesitate to use it．After 13 复e3 c6 14艚e2 d5 Black has an initiative．

## 10．．． 2 g6 11 官b3

11 魅a3？would be a mistake，as Black can exploit the absence of the bishop from the kingside with $11 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~h} 512 \mathrm{~d} 4$ Qhf4 13 dxe5，and now the aggressive 13．．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} 4$ ！is strongest．


White has the following discouraging opportunities：

 and Black had an attack in V．Cordeiro－ J．Soberano，correspondence 1996.
b） 14 g 3 分xe5 15 gxf 4 宣xf3 16 分xf3
 g6 20 畨xb7 gxf5 21 c 4 客h8 22 数h1 Eae8 23 exf5 ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~g} 8$ and Black was much better in the top level game，C．Lutz－ A．Khalifman，Wijk aan Zee 1995.
c） 14 exd 6 cxd6 15 幽b 3 is probably White＇s best option，though after 15．．．wi／g $f 6$ Black has perfect compensation for the pawn．


11．．．量66？
Seemingly a harmless developing move，but in reality the position is sharper than it appears at first sight．White is coming round with his knight，causing Black real concerns，and all Black can think of is this simple automatic move， with no plan or idea behind it．Or at least that＇s what it looks like．Maybe Black was surprised by the troubles he faced later on，in the middlegame with opposite－ coloured bishops．

Instead：
a） $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？directly could be an alterna－ tive．After something like 12 黑a3 登e8 13 exd5 $0_{x}$ xd5 14 Qe4 h6 Black is alright， e．g． 15 g 3 宴g4 16 थd6 cxd6 17 是xd5宸d7 etc．
b） $11 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ is also better，when the posi－ tion after 12 bxc6 bxc6 13 d 4 定g4 14曾c2 should be equal．Then Black can try 14．．．©f4！？ 15 dxe5 dxe5 16 Dxe5 备e2 17
 when he has compensation for the pawn according to Greenfeld．I am a little sus－
picious about this，but maybe it is worth a go？

## 12 ac4 d5 13 exd5 0 xd5 14 崰c2

A simple alternative here was 14
 Qxe6 17 复a3 and White is better．But Yudasin was no doubt looking forward to skating around on the light squares．
14．．．2df4 15 宣xf4 㑒xc4 16 是xc4 0 xf 4


## 17 g 3 ？！

This is slightly inaccurate．White is still better after the text move，but more ener－ getic was 17 Efe1！そe8 18 d 4 exd4 19莫xe8＋蹧 xe8 20 酋e1 and Black is in trou－ ble．After the only move 20．．．${ }^{W} \mathrm{f} 88$（if $20 . .$. De6 21 cxd 4 粕d7 22 䊦f5 and White is much better） 21 雪f5 Qe6 22 安xe6 fxe6 23 类xe6＋幽f7 24 类e4 墨d8（not 24．．．dxc3？ 25 Qg5！粕xf2＋26 客h1 g6 27䏝e7 and White wins） 25 cxd 4 White has a clear advantage．

## 马ab1 药ab8 21 畨f5 世f6？

$21 \ldots$ ．．．d8！was necessary；after 22 bxc6 bxc6 23 箅xb8 曹xb8 24 d 4 宴f6 White is better，but Black can hold the position．

## 22 崇h5

Or 22 零xf6 gxf6 23 d 4 and White is
much better．But White wants to keep the queens and the pressure on．

##   

A forgivable blunder，but also after 28．．．皃g8 29 角g2 White has a clear advan－ tage．


## 29 类d7！金d8

 31 ©xd7 and White wins．
 1－0

Game 15
V．Nevednichy－Z．Gyimesi Miskolc 2004



This time the Italian Game has taken a short trip through the Evans Gambit De－ clined．Another move order can be seen in the previous game．By the standard route， 4 c 3 乌f6 5 d 3 d 66 b 4 宣b6 7 a 4 ， the move here would have been 7．．．ab？！， which in my opinion is weaker than 7. ．a5． Black should not allow White to occupy all this space on the queenside．


## 8 数b3

I do not like this move too much．It is hard to see what good the queen is doing on b3 this early on，and later it might very well find itself better placed somewhere else．Simpler is $80-00-09 \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{~d}$ 等 F ，when we have a branching：
 Ee8 13 E． 136 was played in C．Lutz－ P．Leko，Cap d＇Agde 1994，and now 14 De3 ©f4 15 bl gives White some ad－ vantage．
b） 10 Ee1 h6 11 Dbd2！（ 11 h 3 is only required in this structure if you want to play d3－d4；here Black can reply $11 . . .2$ h5！ 12 d 4 断f6 13 曾 3 3 04 with unclear play， G．Tímoshenko－P．Jaracz，Koszalin 1999）
 is slightly better．
8 昷g5？is weaker，as it can be strongly met by $8 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！ 9 \＆ h 4 g 5 ，where Black exploits the fact that he has not yet cas－

 much better in J．Timman－J．Smejkal，Wijk aan Zee 1975.
8．．．0－0
8．．．当e7 $90-0$ a5！？is also interesting． This seems reasonable even with a lost
tempo（．．．a7－a6－a5），as the white queen might not be too well placed on b3 here． After 10 b5 0 d 811 bbd2 $0-0$ we have an unclear game，though White can avoid it by flicking in 9 a5！？．

## 9 a5 全a7 100－0 \＆e7 11 务g5

Also after 11 宫e 3 c6！？ 12 \＆xa7 Exa7 13 宸c2 would White have no advantage．
 14 d4


14．．．exd4
Here Black can sharpen the game with 14．．．Dg6！？ 15 dxe5 $0 x+516 \mathrm{f4}$ 2xc4 17数xc4 h6 18 慗d4 気a8 19 e 5 with an un－ clear position．
15 cxd4 h6 16 e5 hxg5 17 exf6 gxf6 18 0d2 d5 19 㑒d3 气g6 20 畨c2 044 21 g3？！

White is too optimistic here，hoping his structure will prove superior．The simple 21 區fe1 䊑d7 22 登e3 was better，when the position is unclear．

## 21．．．$勹 x d 322$ Wxd3

Here it looks as if White is much bet－ ter．His main plan is to play a game of hide and seek and end up torturing Black in a gruelling ending．Black is faced with the question of how to defend the b7－ pawn and get the ${ }^{2} 7$ a into play． He
solved this with an imaginative idea．．．


## 22．．．b5！！ 23 axb6

White needs to test Black＇s idea．After

 slightly better．

## 23．．． Ze 7！

White can surely still save the game， but now it is very difficult．

## 24 登 fc 1 家g7



## 25 f1？

This is too passive．White needs some counterplay，which could be obtained with 25 b3！，even though after 25．．．$\frac{\text { U }}{\text { d }} \mathrm{d} 7$
 f3！？，with the idea of 曹f2，is probably much better and should give White some


 unpleasant．

## 25．．．${ }^{W} \mathrm{~d} 726$ 崰 c 3



 much better．
26．．．引fe8！ 27 潧xc6 斯h3


## 28 䒼c3

White cannot play 28 b5？because of 28．．．皿f5！ 29 b7（or 29 bxa6 皿e4）
 Black wins．


## 31 ̈xe2？

White is falling over，but after 31 f 3 Eibe7 Black would also be close to win－ ning．
31．．．Exxe2 32 －xa6 0－1
Since Black wins after both 32 ．．．．．Wf5 and 32．．．．． E 7 ．

Game 16

## S．Movsesian－A．Morozevich

Prague（rapid） 2002


## Qf6 5 d3 d6 6 c3 0－0

This is main position for the 5 d 3 variation．Here Black cannot really refrain from castling．The old idea of $6 \ldots a 67$全b3 金a78 ©bd2 h6？intending ．．．g7－g5 has one major defect：Black will not man－ age to create an attack，but instead will just weaken his own position，e．g． 9 登e1

 Qc2 全xe3 17 年cxe3 h5 18 a4 and White had the advantage in D．King－V．Hort， Dortmund 1988.
7 a4


This is a harmless sideline which gives Black good chances．

## 7．．．a6

7．．．a5！？is also fine，e．g． 8 bd2 賭a7 9

定e6 with equality，J．Speelman－B．Gulko， Novi Sad Olympiad 1990.
8 © Dd 2
White can exchange the strong dark－ squared bishop with 8 \＆e3，but after 8．．．．©xe3 9 fxe3 d5 Black should be OK，

 with unclear play in D．Barua－G．Milos，

Moscow Olympiad 1994.
8．．．㫣a7


## 9 ： 1

9 a5e7 is a standard plan to remem－ ber．It is a very good way to get some attacking chances as Black．Now after 10
賭e3 宽e6 the position is equal．
In the game Black finds another way to create attacking chances．
 © 41 f5！


A critical position．

## 13 㗐xh6

This is probably the soundest decision in this position．White has also tried：


曹g6 and Black is at least slightly better， D．Barua－M．Adams，Bayswater 1989.
 now L．Psakhis－J．Hector，Palma de Mal－ lorca 1989，continued 15．．．dxe4？ 16 \＆ 8 xd8 Exd8 17 Eg5 exd4 18 cxd4 苞d4 19精e2 苃f5 with an unclear game．For some strange reason Black feared taking the pieces．I have checked this position with Fritz 8 for hours，and even though we are talking about very strong players，I cannot believe that White＇s compensation is anything but an illusion after $15 \ldots .$. 娄d7





The position is more or less equal． White has a better pawn structure，while Black has the two bishops and control over two half－open files．In positions like this I usually prefer Black for practical reasons，simply because it is easier to play with the initiative than against it．

## 19 道e4？

White goes wrong straight away！Better was 19 Ee4！害h5 20 Ded2 等af8 21 b4分d8 22 b5 c6 23 bxc6 bxc6 24 息c4 a5

25 胃 b with an unclear game． 19．．．自f7


## 20 全xc6

White opens another file for Black，but this was the consequence of the previous move．
20．．．bxc6 21 d4 \＃g8
Even stronger was $21 . . . e x d 422$ cxd4


22 新2 $\operatorname{exd4} 23$ 公xd4 是xd4 24 cxd4


24．．．主d5？
Black seems to have missed 24．．．巴xxd4
 keeps the pressure on．


28 －官c4 $\mathbf{3 1} \mathrm{g} 4 \mathrm{~h} 532$ 官g3

The tables have turned．It is White who has some chances to play for the win．

A very risky idea，which gambles with life and death，and there is no middle ground to be found after it．But Moro－ zevich likes to gamble like this，especially when time trouble is approaching．

After the saner $33 \ldots \mathrm{hxg} 434 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ 蕞b3 Black has good drawing chances．

 36 f5 會b3 37 客f4

Here I think 37 e4l？was stronger． After 37．．．．exa4 38 气xa4 送xa4 39 d 5

 has some winning chances．Of course this is a very difficult line to enter if you are short of time，which I think Movsesian probably was．
37．．．c5 38 宽g5 cxd4？！

 43 跃 2 d 5 where Black has counterplay．



41 Ele2？？

This happens so often．Either White made an extra move because he was un－ sure if he had made the time control at move 40 ，or he did not take two minutes rest to let the emotions cool after time trouble．After something like 41 量e3 宣c2

 White can win，though Black has decent drawing chances as well．

##  44 Exe4 Exe4 45 Exc7

A bishop is a bishop．White has to put his head on the block now．
 48 g 5 d 449 g 6 d 350 Ёd8 Ed7 51



## Game 17

## S．Vysochin－J．Klovans <br> Cappelle la Grande 2005




The manoeuvre \＆c4－b3 is standard in this position．Now the game is more or less reminiscent of the Ruy Lopez．
7．．．宣a78 ©bd20－0


Normally we would reach this posi－ tions via the move order 3．．．．c5 4 c3 Qf6 $5 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 660-00-07$ 景b3 a6 8 包bd2 \＆${ }^{\text {a }} 7$ ．
9 h3 自e6


## 10 E． 1

Keeping the bishop with 10 全c2！？is the most dangerous idea．Black＇s light－ squared bishop has no real scope，and while the white bishop might also seem buried at the moment，it can later prove to be quite strong．Now we have the fol－ lowing practical examples：
a） $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 511$ Еّe1 dxe4 12 dxe4 ©h5 13

 White was slightly better，A．Karpov－ V．Korchnoi，Merano match 1981.
b） $10 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 611$ Ёe1 ©e7 12 d 4 Qg6 13 ©f1 c6 14 气g3 台e8！？ 15 Qf5 曹c7 16害e3 d5！ 17 exd5（if 17 分xe5 dxe4 18
 and Black is a little better，H．Hamdouchi－ F．Braga，Mancha Real 2000）17．．．©xd5！
 White has a clear advantage） 18 摁d2全xf5 19 宣xf5 exd4 20 ©xd4 息xd4 21 cxd4 with an unclear game．
10．．．Дe8

Black can also take the chance to ex－ change bishops with $10 \ldots$ 显xb3 11 U $\mathrm{W} \times 3$

気fe8 14 亿h4 d5 15 气hff dxe4 16 dxe4 Da5 and Black is at least equal，J．Hjart－ arson－A．Aleksandrov，Groningen 1997.


## 

Black wants to keep the game compli－ cated，but it is White who turns out to be better off．
 17 Qxe4


## 17．．．）f5？！

This just drops a pawn．Instead $17 . . . e x d 4!$ looks good to start with，since


是d5 21 輓h7 g5 and Black is much bet－
 White has some threats and probably the advantage too；e．g． $19 \ldots . . \mathrm{dxc} 320$ bxc3
 Qe4！with a very strong initiative for the pawn．
 dxe5 gad8 $^{2} 21$ 全e3 全xe3 22 嵝xe3

White retains the pawn and has great winning chances．
22．．．${ }^{\text {ed }}$ d5 23 a4 b4
 axb5 $26 \mathrm{f4} 5527$ Qb3 and White wins．
24 £ac1 bxc3 25 bxc3 需d7 26 c4



29 c6？
A tactical mistake．Here the elegant 29
 dxe1 would leave White close to winning．


After 30 当xd5 Eec3 White does not have not real win－ ning chances．

## 30．．．送6 31 畨g4 Exc6？

Black misses his chance．After

菑g2 the draw is certain．



Stronger was 35 粦xg6＋fxg6（if
气xd3 气xd3 39 むd1 and White wins） 36 e6 ${ }^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{d} 837$ 2 d 4 and White has great win－ ning chances．
35．．． 2 d 536 娄e4 c6 37 亿h4 1－0
White probably won on time，since af－ ter $37 .$. 演xe4 38 Exe4 2 c 3 Black is slightly better．

## Game 18 <br> R．Felgaer－J．Hector <br> Copenbagen 2002


 ©bd2 0－0 9 h3

After 9 c4 Black can play similarly to the current game with 9．．．De7 10 金g5
 fxg6！ 14 是e3 3515 d 4 with an unclear position in E．Torre－I．Rausis，Yerevan Olympiad 1996．Here I have analysed $15 . . .0 \mathrm{f} 4$ ？with the following idea： 16
 19 exd6 ${ }^{\text {maf }}$ ！ when Black has a strong attack because of 20 d 7 ？脢xd7！．


## 9．．． De $^{2}$ ？？

Black is aiming his knights towards f 4 ， which is a perfectly acceptable plan．Also


 De3 断c5 17 d4 $\begin{array}{llll} & \text { exd4 } & 18 & \text { cxd4 }\end{array}$ S．Fedorchuk－L．Vajda，Bar 2005，and now after 18．．．．${ }^{\mathbf{U}} \mathrm{d}$ d6 Black keeps the balance）
 with equality in G．Kaidanov－V．Malaniuk， Lucerne 1997.
 ©hf4 13 － g 3


## 13．．．数 56 ？

This is actually quite a common mis－ take，but then White＇s idea is quite tricky．．．

Black has naturally tried other moves here．One game went 13 ．．．．断e7？！ 14 㒻e3
 18 h2 ${ }^{\text {E }} 819$ 䊑h5 and White had an attack in V．Ktamnik－D．Campora，Mos－ cow 1989.

In my opinion the simplest option for Black is safe development with $13 \ldots .$. ．d7 14 Df5 Ee8，when I do not see how White can get an advantage．e．g． 15 dxe5 dxe5 16 眼 $e 3$ with equality．
 exf4


It is easy to compare White＇s situation here with how a donkey might feel when it has in front of it a tray with oats and another with hay．The main problem is to decide which one will taste better．

## 17 娄d2

Also strong is 17 e5t？\＆e6 18 exd6
皆xe6 㫮xb3 22 axb 3 and White has a technically winning position，E．Alekseev－

A．Mikhalevski，Tel Aviv 2001.
17．．．wif6 18 e5 dxe5 19 亘xe5 c6 20



## 22．．．${ }^{\text {Wg }}$ g

After 22．．．${ }^{\text {P }} x$ xe 5 White will not under－ take any adventures，but simply continue

 position．




## Summary

As we have seen，Black has two move orders at his disposal，and although neither of them is inferior， $5 \ldots$ ．．a6？does have the advantage of limiting White＇s options．For his part，White does not have to use the move order with 5 d 3 ，but can start with $5 \mathrm{~b} 4!$ ？． This queenside expansion does not strike me as dangerous，but as in most positions，it is possible for Black to play badly and lose．

All in all White can play these variations in different ways，but at the end of the day Black has a sound，solid position，and when White does not try to put pressure on it straight away，he fails to utilise the advantage of the first move．（If you only start to apply pressure at move 15 ，the difference between who moved first will have become so small that in practice it is virtually gone）．Black should have a comfortable life in these lines．

5 b 4 宔 b 66 d 3 d 67 a 4 （D）
7．．．．a5－Game 14
7．．．．a6－Game 15
5．．．d6

8．．．d5－Game 12
8．．．d6 9 － fl 1 d 5 －Game 13
$60-0$
6 b 4 真b6－5b4
6．．．0－0（D） 7 \＆．b3
7 a4－Game 16

9．．．．．．e6－Game 17
9．．．〇e7－Game 18

$7 a 4$


6．．．0－0


9 h3

# CHAPTER FIVE 

The Evans Gambit Declined


In the second part of this book we will look at the Evans Gambit, which arises after the moves 1 e4 e5 2 ©f3 ec6 3荲c4 金c5 4 b4!?


This romantic gambit has proven to be greatly resilient to modern technology, and while it is not generally thought of as a path to advantage in the 21 st century, it clearly has not been refuted either. The idea is to gain momentum for opening the centre, even at the cost of a pawn or two. For this reason the line has always attracted aggressive players and will probably continue to do so in the years to
come. Even Garry Kasparov found the opening worth playing a few times, and has used to beat none other than Anand. In this chapter we shall see his game against a former Dutch No.1, Jeroen Piket, who at the time of the game was continually improving, with good chances of reaching the world's elite. These days, however, he has left chess for the less demanding business of business.

The main move in this chapter is 4 ... e b6, which is seen in the first five games, while in Game 24 we will give a quick glance at the random-looking 4...d5!?.

## Game 19

## E.Sveshnikov-Kir.Georgiev

Elista 1998

## 

'This brilliant attacking opening was invented to make men understand that chess is a gift from God,' wrote Saviely Tartakower. The inventor of the gambit, William Davies Evans, was born on the

27th January 1790 in Pembrokeshire， South Wales．From 1804 he served in the navy，and in 1819 reached the rank of captain．In 1824 Evans took command of the steamer＇Oakland＇，which carried let－ ters and passengers between England and Ireland．Evans was introduced to chess in 1818，and very quickly became a strong player．In the 1820s he was one of best in London．He beat Alexander McDonnell， John Cochrane and several others among the strongest players of the day．Evans ＇invented＇his gambit on a long haul on the sea in 1824，though he did not have a chance to play it in an actual game before 1827 against McDonnell．
The first mention of the＇Evans Gam－ bit＇in print is found in Levison＇s Lessons on the Game of Chess from 1832．It is not unfair to say that the Evans Gambit was the Ruy Lopez of the 19th century．It was simply one of the most popular openings， if not the most popular．At the turn of the century，however，the Evans Gambit dis－ appeared from top chess for almost 100 years．First of all，because people became tired of it and wanted to explore new paths．Secondly，because strong defensive players，such as World Champions Wilhelm Steinitz and Emanuel Lasker， found ways for Black to get a good game against 4 b4！？

Nevertheless，in the 21st century the Evans has proven to be quite resilient to the threats presented to different roman－ tic gambits by the silicon monsters．

## 4．．．音b6！？

Black refuses the challenge and keeps his bishop well placed．Though to some extent a strategy for wimps，it cannot be automatically ignored．As far as I can see

White can achieve an opening advantage by transposing to the notes to Game 15 from Chapter 4 （see the notes to move 6 below）．

After the more passive 4．．．国e7 5 b5 Qa5 6 会 22 势 7 D 3 ，N．Minev－ Atanasov，Bulgaria 1950，White is at least slightly better because of the weak posi－ tion of the knight on a5．


## 5 a4

This is the standard move in this posi－ tion．White expands on the queenside， relying on the fact that $5 . . .0 x b 4$ does not work，since after 6 a5 酋c5 7 c 3 ©c6 8 $0-0$ White is much better；Black has great problems developing and 9 d 4 will come with great force．
5．．．a6
This is the main move．In Game 22 we will look at 5 ．．．a5，which also seems to give a slight advantage for White．The safest way to gain an edge now is 6 a 5 ， but it is hard to continue like this when playing a gambit．

## 6 金b2！？

The usual move here， 60 c 3 ，can be seen in the next two games．Besides these White has also tried：
a） $60-0 \mathrm{~d} 67 \mathrm{a} 5$ 䰟 a 78 b 5 axb 59 息xb5
 bxc6 13 曾d3 Eig6，F．Marshall－ R．Teichmann，Hamburg 1910，and now 14 thath followed by f2－f4 is equal accord－ ing to Matsukevich．However， $60-0$ can transpose to the lines below，so it is not at all stupid．
b） 6 a ${ }^{\text {昷a7 }}$ when we have two op－ tions：
b1） 7 b5 does not inspire awe．After 7．．．axb5 8 全xb5 Black has a wide range of good moves．For instance $8 . .2$ ge 7 followed by ．．．d6 looks sound．However，I have looked a little bit on sharper lines such as $8 . .$. 仓f6 9 是a3？！（White can probably still keep the balance，but obvi－ ously he will have greater ambitions
 ©f1 f5 12 c 3 （if $12 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{d} 413 \sum_{\mathrm{xd} 4}$
娄f6 and Black wins） $12 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {xa5 }} 13 \mathrm{~d} 3$
 exf5 d 6 and Black is much better．
b2） $7 \mathrm{c} 3!$ Df6 8 d 3 is the correct strat－ egy．In this kind of position White has a good chance for achieving a slight edge if he develops normally and keeps the queen away from b3（see Game 15 in the previous chapter for details）．Then 8．．．d6 9 斯 b 3 （Hey，what did I just say？！）9．．．0－0
 ©bd2 g5 14 余g3 0 h5 15 b5 axb5 16 W：Wb5 was played in E．Sveshnikov－ Z．Gyimesi，Vienna 1996，and now after 16．．．．${ }^{\text {effb }} 8$ Black keeps the balance．As I said，I dislike 9 数33 for White．
$6 . . . d 67$ b5 axb5 8 axb5 ${ }^{-1} \times \mathrm{xa} 19$ \＆xa1 気 5

Black also has some alternatives here：
a） $9 . . . \circlearrowright \mathrm{b} 8$ looks passive，e．g． 10 d 4

natural，and now White should play 13 e 5 ！ dxe5 14 分xe5 宣e6 15 客xe6 粞xe6 16
 is slightly better according to Tartakower．
b） $9 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~d} 4!$ is my preference．


White cannot prove an advantage now：
b1） 10 全 xd 4 exd4 $110-0$（if 11 c 3 ？包6 12 d 3 0－0 $130-0 \mathrm{~d} 514$ exd5 畳g 4 and Black is slightly better） 11 ．．． 2 ff 12 d 3 $0-013$ Obd2 d5 and Black is at least equal．
b2） 10 ©xd4 exd4 11 c 3 （or $110-0$ Df6 $12 \mathrm{~d} 30-013$ d 2 d 5 with equality in J．Palkovi－P．Lukacs，Budapest 1996） 11．．．⿹f6！ $120-00-013 \mathrm{cxd} 4(13 \mathrm{~d} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5!14$

 ©a4 畳a7 16 粦b3 d5 with equality， R．Nystrom－C．Hartman，Stockholm 1993. 10 金 22

This should not give White an advan－ tage．The bishop does not look well placed out here．Instead of trying for dy－ namics，White could play against the 0 a Sveshnikov is the great expert of this line and later he played 10 里e2！？，with the game E．Sveshnikov－A．Yashtylov，St．Pe－ tersburg 2000，continuing $10 . . . \circlearrowright f 611$


塭c5 15 h 3 息xf3 16 全xf3 b6 17 思b1
童c3 Qb7 21 察 e 2 and White has a slight enduring advantage which could last until the end of the world．

10.
．．．$)^{6} 6$
Black should probably prefer $10 \ldots$ ．．．g4， when after 11 d 3 包f $120-0 \quad 0-0 \quad 13 \mathrm{~h} 3$
 just fine．However，he should avoid
 （instead 15．．．． ol c5，but White still has the advantage） 16 a4！and White was much better，B．Kostic－F．Yates，Rotterdam 1921.
$110 \mathrm{c} 30-0120-0 \mathrm{c} 6$


## 13 d 4

White can also play more cautiously

 is more or less equal．

## 13．．．exd4 14 0xd4 Ee8 15 龇d3

White needs to be careful． 15 尊e1 is met strongly with $15 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！ 16 包 4 数 44 ！ when Black will have a very strong attack for the piece．White might be able to sur－ vive it，but it will not be graceful，and Black will eat enough pawns on his way to secure his retirement in an at least even ending．

## 

Winning the two bishops with 16 Qf5？\＆xf5 17 exf5 might be tempting， but after 17．．．d5！Black is slightly better as the white bishop is simply shut out． 16．．．8e6 17 直xe6 䡼xe6 18 気4！


18．．．宣d8！
Black could easily get himself into trouble．18．．．．息a7？is met strongly by 19
碞1 and White is much better as Black cannot get his bishop into play without suffering serious structural or material damage．
19 e5 dxe5 20 分xe5
Also 20 息xe5 cxb5 21 曹xb5 曹c6 22

 ity．

This leads to a slightly inferior end－
 h3 数b4 the position is more or less bal－ anced．
是xe5 24 全xe5 Exe5

Black accepts the piece，but fails to find any advantage after this．Instead 24．．． 2 xc 6 ！25．宜b2 b5 would give White a few problems．His bishop cannot really find scope and Black can possibly put some pressure on c2．
 28 （d7！


White is desperately trying to assist his b－pawn to come to greatness．
28．．．2b8！
Subtle play from Black．After
 c4！Black faces a c－pawn racing up the

 would still make the draw．
29 父xf6＋gxf6 30 c4 gg7 31 c5



 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

全b65a4a66分c3


The main move．
6．．． 2 f 6
6．．． Dxb $^{2}$ 包xe5 ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~g} 5$ looks tempting，
凹1 $\mathrm{fl} 5!$ and Black is better） $8 . . .0 \times \mathrm{cc} 2+9$


 d3 害d4 18 是f4，White has a clear advan－ tage．
7 2d5 ©xd5
7．．．色xe4 $80-0$ 2d 6 ？（but if $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 69 \mathrm{~d} 3$ Qf6 10 宜g 5 and White is slightly better， I．Kan－M．Botvinnik，Odessa 1929） 9 曾b3 e4？（a standard mistake；Black starts to attack before completing his development and the punishment comes swiftly．．．） 10 d3！0－0？（lacking in consistency；this is characteristic of correspondence games，
where you have time to realise when you are on a wrong track；but $10 \ldots 0-0$ only makes matters worse） 11 奤g5 崰e8

$12 \Delta \mathrm{f} 6+\mathrm{l}$（simple，but still nice） 12．．．gxf6 13 定xf6 h6 14 Qg5 客d4 15
 P．Feher Polgar，correspondence 1988.

Instead of $10 \ldots 0-0$ ？Black could have tried 10．．．exf3 11 発e1＋toty 12 包 12 cxb6 13 娩xf3 h6！（if $13 \ldots$ ．．．娄f6？ 14 米xf6

 th1 and White wins） 14 是a3！b5（or 14．．．粦f6 15 粠xf6 gxf6 16 b5 and wins）
 terrific attack，e．g．16．．．b5 17 貫f4 bxa4 18
 $0 \mathrm{xd5} 21$ 显d6＋De7 22 显d4 and White is close to winning．
8 exd5 0 d 4
Or 8．．．e4 9 dxc6 exf3 10 崰xf3 㟶e7＋
 13 置b2 安e6 was equal in Y．Estrin－ G．Ravinsky，Moscow 1956）11．．．dxc6 12寞b2 寞e6 13 寞xg7 Eg8 14 寞f6（if 14愠xe6 fxe6 15 兽c3 幽d7 and Black has definite compensation）14．．．量g4 15 食xe7
 more or less equal．


## $90-0$

Alternatively：
a） 9 Qxe5？0－0 $100-0 \mathrm{~d} 611$ 民f3 余g4
米f6 and Black has the initiative， J．Bednarski－N．Minev，Warsaw 1961.
b） 9 a5 畣a7 10 d $6!?$（if $100-00 x f 3+$ 11 显xf3 d6 12 d3 0－0 13 莤e3 宣xe3 14垂xe3 连e8 is equal） $10 \ldots$ ．．． $110-0$ 0－0 12 包xd4 是xd4 13 c 3 愠a7 14
 cxd6 14 真d5 and White has full compen－ sation for the pawn．
$9 . .9 \times 53+10$ 楼 $\times 53$


10．．．d6
After 10．．．峟h4！Black gets equality by keeping control over d4；e．g． 11 d3 d6 12
h． $30-0$ etc．

## 11 a5 全a7 12 金b2 0－0？！

It was last chance to stop d2－d4 with 12．．．Wh 4 ！．Now White takes over．


## 13 d 4 ！畨h4

Too late．But if 13．．．息xd4 14 息xd4
 and White is slightly better．

## 14 g3 数6？

The last chance was $14 . . . e 4$ ？ 15 精e 3
断h5 when Black has compensation．

## 15 畨xf6 gxf6

Black must go through the rest of the game asking about a draw，which is hu－ miliating，particularly when White does not hear the question．
 e7 19 c4！घae8 20 c5

Now Black has to play without the a7－ bishop．When it returns to the game， pawn structure will decide．
20．．．exd4 21 皆xd4 自＋3
If 21 ．．．f5 22 思 4 dxc 523 bxc 5 悹f3 24
 much better．

## 22 所 4 ？

Better was 22 送 3 dxc 523 bxc5 宜e4 24 Enc3 and White keeps the pressure．

 25 f 3 ＝ 4 e 6 ？！

Now Black could have made a draw




26 金c4 dxc5
$26 . . . \mathrm{h} 6$ ？is met strongly by 27 ent dxc5

 34 Exb4 and White is better．
27 bxc5 皿xc5＋ 28 敋f1！Exf6！ 29



31．．．量xa1？？
Black could still have held with

 37 恖g1 9 g and game is drawn due to the poor position of the white king．
32 exc7！
Now White wins．
32．．．むe5 33 昷d5＋臿f6 34 全xb7 h6




## Game 21 <br> R．Ponomariov－G．Giorgadze

Krasnodar 1997




An interesting gambit which，for no apparent reason，is seldom played in tournaments．Objectively White does not get any advantage here，but the play is interesting and complicated，so there are practical chances．
7．．．exd4
Probably the best．
a） $7 . . . e x d 48$ gd5 0 xd 59 exd5 断e7＋ 10 © ${ }^{6} \mathrm{f} 1$ and White has serious attacking possibilities．Here we should look at two options：

and Black is in trouble because the white rook is heading for e1．Maybe somehow Black can survive；for instance $12 \ldots .$. wh 5

 d6！d3 17 粕e4 cxd6 18 Øोf7＋家c7 19宽f4 Qxe1 20 凿d5 约b8 21 害xd6＋窭xd6 22 9xd6 and White wins．Whether White can improve his attack after 13．．．崰e7 is hard to judge．But the pres－ sure is on Black all the same，and I do not recommend it．
a2） 10 ．．．De5 11 d 6 cxd 612 息d5 㮰f6 13 Og $50-014$ むa3 h6（after 14．．．d3 15 f4！
 dxc2 19 de2 and White wins，while if 15．．．dxc2 16 Wive2 g6 17 E゙h3 with a




Now White should continue 20 ff $6+!$ ！

 25 登 3 筧e8 $26 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 50-1$ S．Conquest－ L．Winants，Amsterdam 1996）20．．．gxf6 21

 27 粦xc2 with a winning position．
b） $7 \ldots . .0 x d 4$ is so far untested，but could prove to be playable．Still，White is
able to create real compensation for the pawn after 8 定g5！（but not 8 分xe5？蹲7！ when Black is much better，e．g． 9 xf7？造f8， 9 气d3 d5！ 10 古 xd 5 c 611 全c4 Qxe4，or 9 a5 會 710 气d3 d5！ 11 ）xd5
 now we have：
 12 䉼b3！and suddenly Black cannot pro tect himself without returning the pawn in an inferior position．
b2） $9 \ldots . . c 610$ 星xf6 gxf6 11 ©xb6
 with decent compensation for the pawn． White is at least not worse．

## 8 气xd4 $0 x d 49 f 4 \mathrm{~d} 6$



## 10 0－0

The less ambitious 10 fxe5 dxe5 11安g5 has also been tried：11．．．\＆e6 12 Dd5 c6 13 包xf6＋gxf6 14 宣xe6 fxg5 15 宽c4 Qe6！，and now instead of the sharp 16
 Whe where Black is much better， R．Leyva－F．De la Paz，Cienfuegos 1997， White should play the humble 16 苃xe6 Uxd1＋ 17 Exd1 fxe6 with good drawing chances．
10．．．h6
White is quite ready for 10 ．．．e6 11
 c6 13 氖 3 d5 14 fxe5 dxc4 15 崰xe4
最b2 0－0－0 and Black is better，R．Leyva－ J．Olivera，Holguin 1999）11．．．fxe6 12 荲e3
 with the initiative．


## 11 fxe5

11 Dd5！先xd5 12 鼻xd5 0－0 13 c3 ©c6 was tried out in J．Palkovi－P．Acs， Budapest 1997，continuing 14 f5？曾f6 15比h5 © 716 g 4 ？（instead 16 \＆ e 3 and Black is only slightly better） $16 . . . Q_{x d 5} 17$ exd5 e4 18 g5 傥e5 19 gxh6 g6 $20 \mathrm{~h} 7+$
 advantage．White should prefer 14 亶xc6！ bxc6 15 fxe5 dxe5 16 門h5 峟e7 17 金e3 and White has some initiative here．

12．．． 0 xd 5 ？ 13 造xd5 gives Black some trouble，e．g． 13 ．．．eff 14 畨h5 with an at－
 when White regains the material and still has an attack．

## 13 勾x6＋gxf6 14 全d3

White should not force the play yet．



perhaps slightly better because of the powerful knight on d 4 ．
14．．．Db3


## 15 部 1

$15 \mathrm{cxb3}$ ？is met by 15 ．．．溇d $4+16$ 宣e3
 clear advantage．

Black has now escaped from the open－ ing with a pleasant equality，but the game is still going，and the two players are still comparing their abilities．



 Exd1 Ed8


26 包 1 ？

The pawn ending looks bad for White， but it is a draw！See for yourself： 26

 c6 33 didy 34 de3 and Black cannot make progress．
26．．．f6 27 （\＄g1
27．．．』d 3 would allow 28 eme（after the passive 28 部 1 did Black is much bet－

 with enough counterplay for a draw．
28 షie4 h5 29 h3 $\operatorname{gg} 530 \mathrm{~g} 4$ dd7 31
 34 e1！

If $34 \mathrm{c4}$ a5！and Black has good win－ ning chances．
 37 官e4 texc3


## 38 Exf6！

This is the beautiful idea White has planned for some moves．Remember Euwe＇s rule：when two connected passed pawns have a total of four moves com－ bined to reach the back row，the rook is no longer able to stop them on its own．

The correct defence．If 39 ．．．Еf8？ 40

go and White wins．

Black finds the draw．He is able to set up a simple fortress that cannot be bro－ ken．In the remainder of the game he needs to make one accurate move，but it would not have been unfair had White stopped playing for a win around here．
畐xa4 45 f8畨 家b3 46 类c8 b5


This is the fortress．













| Game 22 |
| :---: |
| G．Kasparov－J．Piket |
| Amsterdam 1995 |



## 是b65a4a5！？



Though this move prevents the pleas－ ant transposition into the Italian Game，it is still not an easy way to receive equality． 6 b5 4 d 4


## 7 20xd4

White has some interesting alternatives here：
 d6 10 全a3 峟e7 11 f 4 宴h3！！ 12 cxd 4
 Black has terrible attack for nothing．
 ©xf6 10 d 3 with equality．
 4f3 and White is much better according to Unzicker） $80-0$（White can die quickly

 8．．．㪇xe5 9 c3 ©e6 10 昜e1．According to Anatoly Matsukevich，White has some compensation here，but I think this is only enough for 3 minute blitz games．

幽e6 Black wins．
7．．．要xd48c3 音b6


## 9 d 4

$90-0$ has also been tried，which quite naturally continues with 9 ．．．d 610 d 4 We ${ }^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{e}^{7}$
 13．．．©f6？！ 14 f5 鼻xc4 15 包xc4 匈xe4 16匂b6 cxb6 17 Wg and White has a strong initiative）and now White has two options：
a） 14 余e2 包f 15 念f f 516 e 5 De 4 $17 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{~h} 5$ and the position is about equal，J．Nunn－H．Hecht，Buenos Aires Olympiad 1978.
b） 14 f5l？seems to be more challeng－
幽e7 17 曾 d 2 and Black has problems keeping the position equal．One example

 strong initiative．


## 9．．．exd4？！

Black should not rush to give up the centre．Here he should probably play

 B．Kantsler－V．Mikhalevsky，Ramat Aviv 1998） 10 ．．．d6 11 f 4 奤e6 12 勾 3 exd4 13 cxd4 0 f6 with an unclear game ahead．

Less reliable is 9．．．类h4 10000 ff 11


 dxe6 20 cxb6 cxb6 21 f 3 with a clear

 19 迷e3 and White was better，S．Nadyr－ hanov－I．Komissarov，Smolensk 1997.


## 10 0－0

White can also try to fight for the cen－ tre immediately with 10 cxd4？d5 11 exd5！（after 11 酉xd5 左 7 Black is proba－ bly alright）11．．．2e7 12 2c3 0－0 13 0－0
 seems to be better．

## 10．．．）e7 11 全g5 h6 12 金xe7傥xe7 13 cxd 4 数d6？

This leads to unwanted tactics．Three alternatives spring to mind：
 e5 d6 17 f 4 萛e6 18 全xe6 fxe6 19 De4 and White is better．
䠉d $30-017$ 总ae1 and White is better．

 White has a slight advantage according to Kasparov．


## 14 Cc3！金xd4

Kasparov gives the following explana－ tion behind Black＇s last move，i．e． 14．．．$\omega_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{yd}} \mathrm{x} 4$ is met with 15 d 5 ！when Black is faced with a horrible choice：
 18 全d5 White is much better．
 cxb6 17 缕d6 米e6 18 e 5 h 5 and the posi－

 White wins．
15 勾d5！全xa1 16 崰xa1


16．．．0－0？
This only makes things worse．Now the black queen gets trapped quite amus－ ingly．Sadly necessary was 16 ．．．f6 17 b 6 ！
 and White is much better according to Kasparov．

## 17 e5 畨5



## 18 घc 1 ！c6


 $246 \mathrm{~g} 6+\mathrm{gg} 825$ exd 6 and White wins．


If $19 \ldots$ 幽 $\mathrm{xc} 1+20$ 曹 xc 1 cxd 521 是xd5 d6 22 exd6 嵒d8 23 雱c5 and White wins，
 exd6 $\dot{\text { e }} \mathrm{f5} 23$ We5 and Black＇s position is very poor．
20 分b6


The threat of 21 全xf7＋decides the game．
 23 h3

 and wins．
23．．．Ed8 24 bxc6 bxc6 25 5c3 滣b4 26 ＝xc6雪a3 29 童c4 1－0

| Game 23 |
| :---: |
| J．Bademian Orchanian－R．Servat |
| Mar del Plata 1992 |

1 e4 e5 2 乌f3 凤c6 3 㑒c4 定c5 4 b4皿b65 b5？！

White should calm down a bit；it is too early for an attack．This game is a classic example of why you should mobilise your forces before attacking．
5．．．） a ！
5．．．2d4 6 公xd4 害xd4 7 c 3 全b6 with
equality is also possible，but Black wants more．


6 分x 5
Well，this is why White has played 5 b5．Instead，after 6 全e2？！ $55!7$ c3 dxe4

 has a clear advantage，R．Spielmann－ A．Burn，Carlsbad 1911，while after 6 Da3
 100 e 3 wh 4 Black is at least slightly bet－ ter．
6．．．）h6！
The simplest and most definitely the coolest．Of course Black has a high num－ ber of likeable alternatives here．However， we will focus on the main move．
7 d4 d6 8 全xh6 dxe5！
8．．．gxh6？！would allow White to unleash his idea： 9 亶xf7＋！（not 9 0xf7？
 $0-0-0$ and Black is better，or 10 断h5？0－0



 Exd1＋ 18 新xd1 c6 and the position is pleasantly unclear．


Black could also play 9．．．敞xd4 10峟xd4 会xd4 11 是xh8 是xa1 12 是d3晏e7 with equality．



According to some old analysis by D．N．Pavlov，White is almost equal after 12 Dd2．Four pawns can be more than enough to compensate for the piece． However，the weak coordination of his pieces is the lasting minus of White＇s po－ sition．

## 12 畨 $4+$

If 12 ©d2 曹xg2 13 精 $\mathrm{h} 5+$ 官f8 14
 Black is just better．
12．．．寝8 13 \＆ d 2


13．．．全g4

Black needs to play energetically．If in－ stead 13．．．数xg2？ 14 娄xg2 堅x2 15 c 4 c 5 16 d 5 and White is better，because of the weak position of the knight on a5．

## 14 畨f6 临h5

気417 0 xc 4 宣xc4 18 c 3 and White is at least equal．

## $150-0$ ？？

This plays straight into Black＇s hands． Better was 15 f 3 盆d716 c4（not 16 g 4 ？断3 17 c4楼xf3 and Black wins） $16 . . . c 617 \mathrm{~g} 4$ 断h3

 h4 with an unclear game）20．．．tise8 21


## 15．．． $5 d 8$



 Black wins．
16 f3 量h3 17 \＃f2


17．．．ed7？？
Black returns the favour．After

 21 gxh 3 当xb5 Black is winning．
18 g 4 Eg6
 21 e5 h5 22 包e4 hag4 23 f4 2 c4 24 f 5 and White is much better．

## 19 数 f 5

19 巒h8＋！？is a cute trap：19．．．se7？ 20念f6＋！总f7 21 登e1 吉d6 22 e5 and White wins．Black should reply 19 ．．．s．8．f7 20 c 3 c5 with unclear play．

 h5 24 a 4 c 5 with an unclear game．



23 苗 66 ？
After the exchange of queens by 23
 Dc4，it looks as if White is a little better．

## 23．．．巴xf5 24 全xe7 Ëxb5 25 全f6

White loses a tempo compared with 23断f6。

## 25．．．c5！ 26 c4？

Suddenly White is collapsing com－ pletely．Instead，after 26 d5 c4 27 蕞d4


 drawing chances．
26．．．．＂b4 27 d5


After 31 \＄f2 Black is much better，but

White is still fighting．


## 31．．．$x^{x d 5}$ ！

Now everything becomes clear． 32 gide1



## Game 24

## S．Asker－K．Miettinen

Correspondence 1998
 d5！？


This move looks dangerous，but one should not be lead astray by appearances． In my opinion contemporary theory un－ derestimates this move．All the same，it is
probably not strong enough to equalise．
5 exd5
 7 迷e2 0－0 $80-0$ 㮰 g 4 gives Black the bet－ ter chances．
 （e7！ 9 戠b3
The best option is 9 c3！ Qbxd5 $^{2} 10$
 Eel and White has some advantage．
9．．． $\mathrm{Cbxd5} 10 \mathrm{c} 4$ 分b6 11 金b2


11．．．c5！
A prepared improvement over 11 ．．．c 6 ？！

 d 5 ！and White was better，R．Felgaer－

J．Pierrot，Argentine Championship 2000.
峟c7

Now White must start to play carefully in order to keep the balance．


全e4 23 曹xa7 㑒xf3 24 部xe8＋曹xe8 25 gxf3


25．．．${ }^{\text {Eg }} 6+$

 that $28 . .$. 膤xb2？！ 29 䒼a8 +5 gives White has some attacking chances．


## Summary

If the Evans Gambit can be challenged，it is not by declining the gambit．After 4．．．要b6
5 a4！a6 6 a5！White should be a little better，as demonstrated in Chapter 4．The alterna－ tive 5．．．a5，as in Kasparov－Piket，does not seem to equalise either．Finally， $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！？can－ not be completely disregarded，but White should still find a way to keep the pressure there，as seen on move 9 in Game 24.

## 

4．．．d5－Game 24
5 a4（D）
5 b5－Game 23
5．．．a6
5．．．a5－Game 22
6 公c3
6 （b2－Game 19
6 c 3 㱜 7 d 3 d 6 －Game 15
6．．．2） 6 （D）
7 D d 5 －Game 20
7 d 4 －Game 21

$4 b 4$

$5 a 4$


6．．．$\triangle f 6$

## CHAPTER SIX

## The Evans Gambit with 5．．．寊e7



## 㑒xb45c3 全e7

In this chapter we shall examine a slightly passive－looking bishop retreat， which nevertheless holds great prospects for counter－strikes in the centre．The key idea is to answer White＇s logical follow－up 6 d 4 with $6 . . . D \mathrm{D} 5$ ，in order to strip White of the two bishops and，more impor－ tantly，to gain control over the d 5 －square and thereby prepare ．．．d7－d5．This is seen after the logical moves 7 盲e2 exd4 8 cxd4？！d5！and Black is doing absolutely fine．It is for this reason that Kasparov introduced（at the top level） 8 嶪xd4！， which is the subject of the first three games in this chapter．

In Game 28，we will look at 7 Dxe5， the move preferred before 1995，which allows Black to obtain the two bishops and strike in the centre．However，White regains his pawn and also has a large cen－ tral presence．Finally，in Game 29 we will see the ancient idea 6 断b3！？，which de－ serves mentioning，though is hardly criti－ cal．

Game 25

## G．Kasparov－V．Anand

Riga 1995
全xb4

The principled reply．
5 c 3 贈e7
Again a logical response．The bishop tries to get out of harm＇s way and return to a more modest accommodation，from where it can assist with the protection of the king． 6 d4 0 a 5


This has long been the main idea be－ hind Black＇s previous move．Instead of trying to cling on to the extra pawn，Black is aiming for the dangerous white bishop． Black has some alternatives，but none that deserves too much attention．
a） $6 \ldots \operatorname{exd} 47 \mathrm{cxd} 4 母 \mathrm{a} 5$ is just not the same！After 8 㫫d3 d5 9 exd5 㞱xd5 10
 White has enough compensation for the pawn．
b）6．．．d6？！also makes little sense，as White retains the pawn without becoming more peaceful．R．Fischer－O．Celle，Davis （simul）1964，continued 7 dxe5 0 xe5 8 Exe5 dxe5 9 黄h5 g6 10 粪xe5 Qf6 11

 White had a strong attack．

## 7 会 $e 2$

The standard alternative 7 Qxe5 is considered below in Game 28．Apart from the text move，White has also tried：
a） 7 宴xf7＋！？（risky，but interesting） 7．．．txf78 8 xe5＋ 8 e8！（the most testing；
 11 g5 dxe5 12 gxf6 害xf6 13 dxe5 ©c4 14 exf6 曾xf6 15 宸xf6 $6+$ gxf6 with equality） 9
 e5 气e4 13 䊦d5 气g 514 Qd2 d6 15 f4 c6
 19 凿c2 and White has some compensa－ tion，D．Sakellarakis－J．Carr，correspon－ dence 1998.
b） 7 定d3！？does not appear to have been much tested．Here is one practical exam－ ple： $7 \ldots$ exd4 8 cxd4 d5 9 e 5 c5 10 dxc5

逃xe2 when White has enough compen－ sation for the pawn，V．Vakulienko－

V．Smirnov，Minsk 1976，though Black can keep the balance with $16 \ldots . .{ }^{\text {U }}$ c 7 ac－ cording to Matsukevich．However，a lot of moves from both sides might be dis－ cussed，so please do not take this as a recommendation．I will only say that there is nothing definitely wrong with 7全d3．


## 7．．．exd4

7．．．d6 is a less well－known alternative， when after 8 晋a4＋c6 9 dxe5 dxe5 10包xe5 Ef6 $110-0$ b5 12 宏c2 0－0 13 a4 b4 14 cxb4 貪xb4 we have an unclear game，T．Bullockus－M．Melts，correspon－ dence 1983.

## 8 需xd4！？



This was Kasparov＇s way of breathing
new life into this line．
8．．．$勹 \mathbf{f} 6$
$8 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ and $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ are investigated in Games 26 and 27 respectively． 8 ．．．tbe8 has also been tried，but it looks as if White should have enough compensation for the pawn after most normal moves．The quality of the games has not been high enough to give any practical and conclu－ sive evaluation，so I will leave it with just this brief mention．

## 



## 11．．．g6

Black does not have enough compen－ sation for the exchange after $11 \ldots 0-012$


 White is better．

## 

客h6 当e8 16 e6 鼻f6 17 exf7＋\＆xf7 18
 White has a powerful attack．

## 13 c 4 d 614 Еd1 $\mathrm{S}_{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 7$

$14 . .$. 宽 d 7 ？？was tried in the same year． White continues with 15 突h6 dxe5（if 15．．．仓xe5 16 切5 dxe5 17 湅xe5 f6 18 we 3 and White is much better） 16 en 3
f6 17 e4，and here we should look at：
a）17．．．2a4？ 18 学d5 and now after 18．．．थb4？Black was sunk by 19 当xe5！ with a decisive attack：19．．．fxe5 20 婳xe5



 White was winning in R．Borngaesser－ M．Henk，Düsseldorf 1995．Instead 18．． gg 8 is more solid，but even then White can play 19 \＃ad1 with a strong attack．
b） $17 \ldots$ 复f8！？is playable，though after 18 食xf8 Exf8 19 c5 色c8 and now 20 aimb1！？， 20 兑d2！？or 20 h 4 ！？，White has compensation in all cases． 15 㑒 $h 6$ ！


White cannot allow Black to castle．Af－ ter 15 量 44 dxe5 16 包xe5 惫h4！ 17 峟e3 Quxe5 18 全xe5 0－0 Black is consolidat－ ing．
15．．．2cxe5
Here Black should have considered 15 ．．．dxe5，when White has the following options：



 White has only enough compensation to draw） $22 \ldots$ ．．． with an attack．

 Qe7 22 d5！and White has an attack once again．

These lines shows the potential of White＇s position，but should not be un－ derstood as conclusive in any way；they are more illustrations to the dangers Black is facing．I do not want to come with any binding evaluation after $15 \ldots .$. dxe5，as I simply cannot think of a suitable one．



## 18．．．ゆf7？

Now it goes wrong．Still，after 18．．．©e6 $19{ }^{2}$ ab1 White keeps the pressure．

## 19 cxd6 cxd6

After $19 . .$. 全xd6 20 全b5＋！Black can－ not hold，e．g．20．．．密d7 21 思 $1+$ 禺e5 22

 and White wins，or $20 \ldots . . c 621$ 鼻f4 cxb5
旬f7 25 気xf6

20 富e3 敃xh6

If 20 응d7？ 21 昷g7 ${ }^{\text {Eg }} 822$ 是xf6 with a strong attack．

## 

22 ．．．曾 7 is answered by 23 包4 粪e5
 Black is in difficulties．

## 

 was perhaps the last chance．Instead，after


 wins） 27 财 4 萝f8 28 数b4 White has a big advantage．

## 24 包4 畨e7

 dg 270 d 5 and White wins．
25 皆e1 1－0


White wins in all lines，e．g． $25 . .$. ed7 26


 27 宸xe6＋象xe6 28 全b5＋etc．

Game 26
A．Shirov－J．Timman
Biel 1995

 exd4 8 数xd4 d6

Timman thinks it is more important for Black to have some influence in the centre than to retain the extra pawn．

## 

$10 .$. Qe 7 is answered strongly by 11息g5！気ec6 12 需 4 with an attack．


## 11 0－0？！

Recently，an improvement was found on this game．Better here is $11 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{~h} 612$


 N．Short－Kir．Georgiev，Warsaw（rapid） 2004.

## 11．．．臬d7？

11．．．噛xe4！was more testing，when White needs to play precisely： 12 Eel！（if
 better）12．．．©

 White has nothing for the pawn） 13 ．．．宜e5
 $16 \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{} \mathrm{~d} 2$ and White has great compensa－
 has compensation for the pawn，e．g．he is threatening b 5 ．

## 12 （2） 4 ？

Or 12 Og5 h6 13 Dh7！0－0－0（not 13．．．类xe4？ 14 当xg8＋Exg8 15 分xf6＋
 White is slightly better．

## 12．．．0－0－0


 16 Qe4 White has compensation．

## 

After 13．．．h5 14 mb1 h4 15 豐e3 h3 16 g3 White＇s attack looks much more dan－ gerous．

## 14 数e3 h5 15 哭b1



## 16 瀵d3！？

True to his style Shirov is more inter－ ested in attacking than in grabbing mate－ rial．After the long line 16 0xc6 宣xc6 17

金f3 安xf3 24 Df3 ©h6 Black has com－ pensation for the pawn．

## 16．．．b6

With this move Black gives White a point to attack，so although it seems ＇normal＇， $16 . . \mathrm{b} 6$ might be questionable． Instead，16．．．Qe5？ 17 䐏a6！and White wins is a trick worth remembering，but 16．．．Idg8 is a logical move，setting an
elegant trap at the same time： 17 煦xb7？
 Exa7＋\＄8 8 Black and wins．Better is 17 $\triangleq 2 f 3$ with a continuing struggle．

## 17 a4！象b8

Now after 17．．．．⿷dg8 White has 18 a 5


 ops gives him the better chances．

## 

This is a fatal error．Black should play
迷b5，when White has compensation for the material according to Shirov．

## 20 e5！



Opening the long diagonal for White＇s light－squared bishop and starting one of those classical all－destructive Shirov at－ tacks．
20．．．喽xe5
In this kind of positions words can only tell so much．We need a few varia－ tions to understand what is really going on here．．．
a） $20 \ldots$ ．．dxe5 21 気f3＋c6（if $21 \ldots .$.

包b） 23 幽xa5！数b7 24 类 a 4 and wins．

雷xc6＋©xc6 26 2 $2 \mathrm{f3}$ wins．Instead $21 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！might have been Black＇s best chance，though after 22 蕞xd5＋c6 23
 \＄b8 26 畨xc4 White still has a clear ad－ vantage．

## 21 全f3＋d5 22 分c4！全c8

$22 . .2 \mathrm{xc} 4$ is strongly met by $23{ }^{\circ}$ al！


 White is much better according to Shirov． 23 粠xa5！粈xd4
 wins．

## 24 曹 22



## 24．．．噛xc3

White wins after $24 . . . \frac{U_{6}}{} \mathrm{~h} 425 \mathrm{~g} 3$ 数h3 26 ©xb6＋cxb6 27 Exb6，or similarly
 Black＇s last chance to stay in the game
 bb is less clear，White has strong at－ tack，but Black is still alive） 25 ．．．wiwd $x+26$ Exd1 dxc4，although White maintains a clear advantage after 27 要e 2 or 27 要f4．
25 全e3！全b7

Or 25 ．．．．febs 26 包xb6！cxb6 27 是xb6
 wab and White wins．
公xd5 1－0

## Game 27

## J．Gunnarsson－K．Sasikiran

Elista Obympiad 1998

 exd4 8 嵝xd4 d5！？


This aggressive counter－blow in the centre is very typical of the young In－ dian＇s style．

## 9 exd5 5f6 10 崰44＋？

This only helps Black．White should go for natural development with $10 \mathrm{c4}$ ，when Black has two options：
a） $10 \ldots$ ．．c6 11 Elc $30-0 \quad 120-0$ E． 813金b2 是f8 14 营fd1 and White is slightly better L．Winants－M．Kremer，Amsterdam 1996.
b） $10 \ldots 0-0 \quad 110-0 \mathrm{~b} 5$ ？ 12 cxb 50 mx 5 13 亿c3 寓b7 14 分xd5 害xd5 15 曹a4 c6
 （18．．．昜e4 was agreed drawn in W．Lumley－ J．Soberano，correspondence 1995，though

White is better after 19 We 2 ！） 19 宽 f4断b6 20 bxc6 㯰xc6 21 幽a3 シxe2！？ 22遏x66 axb6 with sufficient compensation to draw．
10．．．c6


## 11 c 4 ？！

White has more chances of equalising
断b6 14 见bd2．
11．．．包e4！12．全d2

 Black is at least slightly better．
12．．． 0 xd 213 2bxd2 0－0 14 dxc 6包x6 $150-0$ 㤟c7


Black has the better pawn structure and the two bishops as well．At grand－
master level White is in a lot of trouble．
16 登fe1 是f5 17 Qf1 会c5 18 2g3亘g6 19 a3 區ad8 20 䊉b5 曹b6 21曹xb6 axb6！？

This is rather too＇deep＇for my taste．I prefer the standard $21 \ldots$ ．．． eb 6 when Black is just better．However，Sasikiran is probably targeting the white a－pawn and certainly has some ideas behind his recap－ ture．
22 ：ed1 \＆ C （2


## 23 辟 1 ？


 with good drawing chances，though
 winning chances too．
23．．． 2 d 424 定d1 全xd1 25 Exd1
 Qd4 ${ }^{\text {Effe8 }} 29$ f3
 Exd1 0xc4 and Black wins．

全c5 35 』d8＋官f7 36 did3 b5 37
 40 －d5 © $2 x$ b

Now everything is clear．Black is win－ ning．


41 Exe5 Qd6 42 घd5 Qf5 43 g 3






## Game 28

## E．Sveshnikov－A．Kharitonov

Russian Ch．，Krasnoyarsk 2003



This was the usual move before Kas－ parov played 8 曹 $x d 4!$ ，thereby elevating 7 Q． 2 to main line status．
7．．． 0 xc4 8 合x4


The outcome of the opening is already quite clear．The position is relatively bal－ anced，with White having a strong centre and Black having the two bishops．Now Black needs to strike in the centre before White takes complete control．

## 

Others：
a） $10 \ldots$ ．．崰d8 $110-0$ 分f6 12 c4 0－0 13

宣c8 19 d 5 ！and White has some plus here，G．Kasparov－N．Short，London （rapid）1993） 18 气g3 安xg3 19 fxg 3 思 7
 23 金xc7㫜xf1 +24 宣xf1 with equality．
b） $10 \ldots . . .{ }^{\underline{W}} \mathrm{~d} 7110-0$ 仓f6 $12 \mathrm{c} 40-013$ ©c3（if 13 罟b2？b5！ 14 ©c3 bxc4 15 0xc4 ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{b} 8$ and Black is at least slightly


 is unclear，S．Ganguly－K．Sundararajan， Indian Championship 2004.

## $110-0$－f6 12 c 4 c 613 d 5



## 13．．．砉d8？！

It is not really clear what the queen is supposed to do from d8．Some alterna－ tives needed consideration：
a） 13 ．．．cxd5 is quite a risky move： 14

宣b6 21 Q 3 g 4 and White has a strong attack．M．Rybak－Z．Necesany，correspon－ dence 2000，continued $21 . . .0 \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？（instead
 chances for a defence，but not 22 ．．． 人c $^{\text {c } 5 \text { ？}}$








真f6 and White wins．
b） $13 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathbf{G}$ c7！is the simplest．After 14全b2 0－0 15 每c3 a6 16 当d4 c5 17 幽d3
岛e5 21 当d3 ae8 Black is alright， O．Rajala－R．Pomell，correspondence 1977.
14 wf3 cxd5 15 cxd5 0－0 16 包 3


16．．．乌e8？
Black is fighting for control of d6，but he has only two minor pieces that can help to cover，whereas White has three． The coming exchanges only aid White．

## Instead：

a） $16 \ldots$ ．．．ٍ E 8 ？ 17 送 d 1 b 5 has the minus of weakening c6．After 18 Ebb1 a6 19
 much better．
 is a better defence，and while the position might appear bad for Black after 19 Ead1，he can use tactics to keep the bal－



 with counterplay．
 2xc4 宣f6

This move does not look good，but Black is getting quite desperate in his de－ fensive efforts．
20 全xf6 茈xf6 21 曹xf6 gxf6


This ending should be more or less lost for Black．
 25 sf1＝a6 26 म̈c1 全d7 27 ష̈c7 b4


White fails to control his opponent＇s only possible counterplay，the advance of the b－pawn．The precise move was 29 then d 2 ！when the king comes to
side，allowing the rooks to go to the sev－ enth row；while after $29 \ldots$ ．．．＂b6 30 Ac4 and White wins．


## 

Or 31 我d2 b3 32 axb3 axb3 33 家c1

 good drawing chances．

## 31．．．b3 32 axb3 axb3 33 かc4

 2c4 \＆b5！and Black is defending with－ out risks．


## 33．．．Eb4？

Now Black is starting to drift．Instead， the clever 33 ．．．．es！would have solved most of his problems．After 34 \＃̈cb7

only a spiritual advantage．
34 Ёab7 Ёxb7 35 Ёxb7 全a4？？
35．．．会f5 36 2e3 㑒c2 was necessary as it＇s the only way Black stays alive，though after 37 気d2 White still has good winning chances．


范b4 莫g4＋45 舁g2 1－0

| Game 29 |
| :---: |
| D．Pirrot－F．Jenni |
| Cappelle la Grande 2002 |




This was Labourdonnais＇novelty in 1835．It is interesting that it was almost another 100 years before 6 断 b 3 was tried again in a tournament game．
6．．．2h6 7 d 4 气a5


## 8 wib5

8 橎4 is strongly met by $8 . . .2 \times 49$档xc4 d5！not（9．．．exd4？！ 10 音xh6 gxh6 11 cxd 4 d 512 exd5 $\mathrm{Eg}_{\mathrm{g}} 13 \mathrm{~g} 3$ 安h3 14
 Ue8＋ 18 \＆ 92 and White is better） 10


 game was more or less equal in V．Aronson－M．Umansky，correspondence 1978.

8．．．0xc4 9 全xh6 gxh6
 ©g8 12 数xe4（120－0 d5 $130 \mathrm{fd} 2 \mathrm{a} 5!$ is good for Black，who threatens the manoeuvre ．．．．．．．．a6－g 6 in some lines： 14
 gxh3 皆e6 18 喽xa5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ex} 7+$ and Black was much better，G．Binder－M．Rocius， correspondence 2001）12．．．巴xg7 13 0－0

 with a mess in A．Morozevich－E．Bacrot， Sarajevo 2000 10 wiwx4 exd4 11 cxd4 c6！

This is much better than $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d}$ ？！ 12 $0-00-013$－c3 c6 14 自ab1，which gives White the superior game．


## 12 d5！

White has no choice here．This is chess，and often you have to prevent your opponent＇s ideas with simple moves．In－ stead after $120-0 \mathrm{~d} 5!13$ exd5 譄xd5 14
 Black is slightly better，R．Zelcic－ D．Sermek，Pula 2001.

```
12...直f6 13 e5 直g7
```



## 14 d6？

White needs to fight for control of the centre and after this move he loses all his flexibility．Probably he was afraid of something like $140-0$ ？！d6 15 dxc 6 dxe5

 the advantage．

However，White had a stronger option in 14 Dc3 f6（if $14 . . .0-0$ ？ $150-0$ d 616 dxc6 dxe5 17 c7 溇f6 $18 \quad \mathbf{m a c h}_{\text {ach }}$ or 16．．．bxc6 17 ※fd1 全e6 18 紧a4 d 519 Eac1 and White is at least slightly better）



 （if 18 ．．．tisf $19{ }^{2}$ ell and White retains the
 21 号 e with fine compensation for the
two pawns．I do not want to give a more conclusive evaluation than this，though it seems likely to me that White could be a little better．

## 14．．．b5！ 15 雷4 0－0 16 公bd2

Also after $160-0$ f61 17 a4 fxe5 18 axb5
 clear advantage．

## 16．．．f6！ 170.0 fxe5

White does not have compensation for the material．It is as simple as that．






Black is winning．
27 ©b3 d6 28 －ff 気d7 29 Exa5
乌b3 害a4 33 \＃d3 全b5 34 \＃d2 d3 35
 0.1

## Summary

5．．．愠e7 is a rather solid－looking move，but should not be disregarded for that．Rather it is an attempt to return the pawn and fight for the centre．White can choose between different ways of contesting this strategy，all leading to interesting play，but no clear
 line，though it all depends on the White player＇s style and mood on the day．
 6 澋b3－Game 29
6．．．ゆa5（D） 7 正e2
7 Dxe5－Game 28
7．．．exd4 8 wid4（D）
8．．． Df6－Game 25
8．．．d6－Game 26
8．．．d5－Game 27


5．．．色e7


6．．．$\unrhd a 5$


8 数 $x d 4$

## CHAPTER SEVEN

## The Evans Gambit with 5．．．鼻c5


全xb4 5 c3 全c5

The black bishop returns to its place of origin，which somehow seems counter－ intuitive．Now White will be able to ad－ vance rapidly in the centre，gaining time for his attack．Black has some ideas of his own，of course；nevertheless，the coun－ terplay against the centre does not seem sufficient to prefer this move to the more flexible 5．．．．${ }^{\text {最 }}$ ．5，which is the subject of the next two chapters．

After 5．．．． A c 5 play normally continues 6 d 4 exd4 $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 68 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 寊b6，reaching a standard position seen in all the games in this chapter．Deviations from this se－ quence are covered in the notes to Game 30 below．

Game 30
G．Gielge－E．Poscher
Correspondence 1992
祭xb45c3血c56d4

This is more accurate than $60-0 \mathrm{~d} 67$
d 4 ，which gives Black the extra option of 7．．．）害b6，transposing to one of the lines after 5．．．\＆ 5 （see Game 41）．


## 6．．．exd4

$6 . .$. 定 b 6 ？！is inferior，transposing to 5．．．© a 5 d 4 最b6！（see the notes to Game 42）．
$70-0$
Instead， 70 g 5 ？ is a violation of just about all existing attacking principles．The following variation is simply good for




14 c 4 斯h4 15 d 2 数h5 and Black wins because of 16 f 3 金h3！．

However， 7 cxd4！？is possible，and then
 $9 \sum_{x d 2}$ ，since after 8 插f1 Black must be careful about the b4－bishop），

when we could imagine play continu－ ing like this：
 $10 \ldots . .0-011 \mathrm{e} 5$ 年 812 \＆ d 3 and White is much better，due to the threat of $\frac{44}{}{ }^{24}$ ） 11
 Og8 15 等3 and White has compensa－ tion for the pawn．
b） $8 . .$. 要e7 9 d 5 害f6 10 dxc 6 宣xa1 11峎d5 ©h6 12 \＆xh6 $0-0$ was played in N．Doghri－N．Stevanovic，Yerevan Olym－ piad 1996．Now White can keep the ad－ vantage with 13 cxd 7 食xd7 14 全g5 曹e8
 © b 3 ．

## 7．．．d6

This is the best．Other moves are sim－ ply weaker：
a） $7 .$. ． ge7？ 8 cxd 4 実b6 9 亿g5 d5 10 exd5 ©a5 11 d 6 ©xc4 12 曾a4＋c6 13
 White stands much better．
b） 7 ．．．d3？！ 8 gg5！is a completely dif－
ferent situation from on the previous move．Now Black has an unpleasant choice：


包d2 and White was much better in E．Moser－ P．Dumancic，Aschach 1999.






 was better in V．Ragozin－A．Ilyin Zhenev－ sky，Moscow 1930.
8 cxd 4 皿b6


This could be called the＇standard posi－ tion＇in the Evans Gambit．Standard，that is，for chess games played in the 19th cen－ tury．In the 20th century it has been lim－ ited more to correspondence games， probably because these kind of romantic openings were especially popular in the－ matic tournaments before the introduc－ tion of strong chess playing programs．

Now White has two main options： 9
d 5 and $9{ }^{2}$ c3．The first we shall look at now，while the second will be covered in Games 33－35．

## 9 d5

Adolf Anderssen，one of the strongest players in the 19 th century，has the copy－ right of this move．Unfortunately for his family，chess players do not like to pay for intellectual rights．．．
9．．． 0 a5
Other moves are weaker（see the anno－ tations to move 9 in Game 31）．

## 10 虫b2 2e7

That we are dealing with really old stuff can be seen from the next note：
a） $10 \ldots \mathrm{f} 611$ \＆ d 3 Qe7 12 \＆c3 c5 13 e5 dxe5 14 むxe5 0－0 15 喽h5 f5 16 墨ad1 and White is better according to Bilguer＇s Handbuch．

More interesting is：
b） $10 .$. ．Df6 11 是d3 0－0 12 Qc3 c6 13 Qe2 Sig4，when White can try：
b1） 14 豊 d 2 cxd5 15 exd5 是xf3 16

 has some compensation for the material．
b2） $14 \hat{\theta} 3$ ！is probably stronger though，and after $14 \ldots$ ．．．xd5 15 exd5 h6！（if $15 . . .20 \mathrm{c} 816 \mathrm{~h} 3$ 金d717 2g5！and White is better） 16 h 3 葢d7 17 国e1 White has good compensation for the pawn．Basi－ cally it is hard to think up a situation where Black＇s extra b7－pawn will be a real asset before move 40 ．
11 良d3
Pawn grabbing can be bad for your health： 11 塭xg7？登g8 12 宣f6 0 xc4 13

 Black wins，as given by Anderssen．
11．．．0－0 12 亿c3 异g 6

Another chess legend，Johannes Zukertort，gave the line $12 \ldots . . c 5$ ？！ 13 e5！ dxe5 14 Qxe5 Qg6 15 籴h5 娄d6 16展ae1 宽c7 17 De4 with a deadly attack． 13 ©e2c5


After 13．．．f6 14 Qfd4 c5 15 Øf5 宣xf5 16 exf5 De5 17 Df4 White is better ac－ cording to Matsukevich．

The idea of the text move is simple： Black wants to keep control over the d4－ square．Now White has two equally good possibilities： $14 \Xi \mathrm{E} 1$ as in the next game， and 14 wid 2 as below．
14 曹d2 f6 15 客h1 㑒c7 16 ⿷ac1 घb8 17 气g3 b5 18 亿f5

Typical for this kind of position，White has good play for the pawn，if nothing more．

## 

In this structure the dark－squared bishop is very useful．It can attack the g7－ pawn and the knight on a5 at the same time．Better therefore was 21 xe5 fxe5 $22 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{c} 423$ 宴e2 and White would have had full compensation．

## 

Violating the old rule of not advancing pawns where you are defending，which seems to give White a helping hand here．

## 

Black still has problems with his two passive pieces： 2 D 5 and 曾b8 $^{2}$
25．．． 0 c4？
25．．．c4 26 酉e2 a6！was much stronger， with the obvious plan of getting the bishop back into play after something like 27 Eg1 暑a7 28 是d1 b4 etc．Generally after $25 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ，Black should be better．
26 点xc4 bxc4 27 Ëg1？！
Why not just take the pawn？After the
 Eg3 White has the advantage． 27．．．We8


## 28 0xh6＋！

White has to time to lose and need to act now．If 28 g ？h5 29 解 4 g 630
 and Black is close to winning．
28．．．数h7？
Black takes his opponent at his word and declines the sacrifice．Actually，accep－ tance by $28 \ldots . . \mathrm{gxh} 6$ was forced，and then Black can put up an amazing defence to keep the position unclear： 29 数xh6（not


 is a draw by repetition） 32 ．．．要xh4 33

Exh4 Exh8 34 島h8 全d7 35 h 4 c 336
 $\pm \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{E}$ b4 $40{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g} 5+}$ and Black should probably allow the draw by 40 ．．． $\mathbf{S}^{8}$ h 41 ggt etc．，rather than take a lot of chances by running with the king．
29 （ 0 f5＋ 1－0

After 31．．．${ }^{\boldsymbol{U}} \mathrm{d} 8$ White wins by 32 斯 h 5 etc．

Game 31
A．Salygo－Boshoer
Correspondence 1971
全xb45c3 皿c5 6 d 4 exd4 $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$ 8 cxd 4 皿b6 9 d 5


## 9．．．乌a5

As promised in the previous game，we will give a large number of alternatives here，though none of them seems espe－ cially appealing for Black：
a） $9 . . . \mathrm{Qb} 810$ 是b2 0 ff 11 e 5 dxe 512
选2 0 c 516 gg3 with good attacking chances，A．Anderssen－C．Mayet，Berlin match 1865.
b）9．．．De5？ 10 包 5 dxe5 11 县a

婁d4 12 包d2 是xa1 13 数xa1 f6 14 f 4 gave White a strong attack in Gon－ charenko－Osipjenko，Kiev 1956，e．g． $14 \ldots$ exf4 15 e5 f5 16 e6 Df6 17 Exf4 a6

c） $9 . . .9 \mathrm{ce} 710 \mathrm{e} 5$


Here again Black has a long list of un－ pleasant alternatives，probably making him wish he had played $9 . . . \mathrm{D}_{2}$ instead：

 $16 \mathrm{cxb} 7+\dot{W}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x} 717 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d} 2}$ with a decisive attack for White，A．Anderssen－J．Kipping， Manchester match 1857.
c2） $10 . . .0 \mathrm{~g} 611 \mathrm{e} 6$ fxe6 12 dxe6 08 e 7 $130 \mathrm{~g} 50-0140 \mathrm{c} 3$ and White has a strong attack according to Matsukevich．
 13 e6！葛xe6（or 13．．．fxe6 14 慧xg4 cxb5
鼻 d 3 and White is much better．
c4） $10 \ldots$ Qh6 is an old Steinitz idea．In my opinion this gives White excellent chances after 11 Qc3 0－0 12 㑒xh6 gxh6

 19 ggxf6 0 g 7 and now，rather than 20 g3？数h 21 品 1 类f5 22 食e2 h5 23

f3 告f5（when Black kept the balance in G．Neumann－W．Steinitz，Paris 1867）， White should play 20 最 1 ！（the white rook wants to enter the game as soon as possible！）20．．．档f4 21 品e3 with a strong attack．

 



Instead of 14 W d 2 as in Game 30.

## 

White can always return to the plan seen in the previous game，i．e． 15 蒌d2 f6
腮 1 客b6 20 g 4 with an attack in A．Anderssen－J．Zukertort，Barmen 1869. 15．．．金c7

Black has options all over the place， but they will hardly change the general （and possibly slightly vague）evaluation，
 18 h 3 and White has compensation．
16 解3 a6 17 包 4 ！
White can also try 17 曾xg6l？（at some levels seemingly anti－positional，but at others quite attractive）which forces Black into $17 \ldots$ ．．．fxg6（if 17．．．hxg6？！ 18 exd6 兽xd6 19 Ee4 and White regains the material while retaining a better position） 18 e6 b5

unclear game．Nevertheless，the text move seems to set Black sufficient prob－ lems．

## 17．．．b6 18 Øfg5



18．．．h6？
18．．．dxe5 was necessary，when White can try to develop an initiative in various ways：
a） $19 \times \mathrm{xh} 7$ ？！is probably questionable

 two pawns could be too big a price for
 Exd6 Black even wins） $20 \ldots$ ．．． ff 42 g 3
 Ulyd7 25 h 3 and White has some practical compensation，though Black is for pref－ erence．



 0 xb2 and Black wins）22．．．gxh6 23 世木x6

 29 ©e4 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{ff} 30 \mathrm{~g} 4$ and White remains better，keeping some initiative．

## 19 © $66+$ ！gxf6 20 exf6！

Stronger than 20 精h5 fxg5（or

20．．．dxe5 21 畨xh6 fxg5 22 鼻xg6 fxg6 23
数xg6＋with equality．


20．．． 2 e5
$20 .$. ． 4 ！was a stronger defence， though White can still go for it with 21 De6！fxe6（if $21 . .$. exe6 22 畒d2！wins） 22

 goes nowhere） 23 ．．．e5 24 崾e4 凂xf6 25 f4 with a close to winning attack．

## 21 全xe5 dxe5 22 数f3



## 23 包？

 h3！wins comfortably．Black is unable to bring any of his extra pieces to the de－ fence of the king． 23 畨g3 also wins，
though it requires a little technique．
 ge8 26 dxe6 食xe6 27 ²fd1

White is still better here，but Black re－ tains some chances．
27．．．金d6 28 全xa6 0 c6 29 全b5 象d7
 c4？

Black had drawing chances after

官c6．
33 类xh6 c3 34 ※̈d3 b5 35 玉xc3＋
Now the smoke has cleared．


## Game 32 <br> G．Coleman－N．Hawkins <br> Correspondence 1993


 8 cxd4 亶b6 9 d5 勾a5 10 e5！？


A risky and also somewhat underesti－ mated move．I do not find life easy for Black in these lines．
10．．． 0 xc 4
It is hard to resist taking the bishop （what else was the idea behind 9．．．©a5）．

And after 10．．．De7 11 気e1 Black took the bishop anyway：11．．． 0 xc4 12 雪a4＋楼d7 13 宸xc4 0－0 14 elc3（weak is 14 㓪g5？
 better，I．Kolisch－A．Anderssen，Paris match 1860）14．．．dxe5 15 财xe5 粕f5 16
 $19{ }^{2}{ }^{2} 7$ and White retains some initiative．

绵xd7 looks awkward，and this appears to be the deeper truth as well，e．g． 15 曹g4＋

 De4 Wg6 22 th1 h5 23 f 4 and White was much better in P．Morphy－H．Bird， London match 1858.

## 13 皆 1

Interesting is 13 e6！？fxe6 14 dxe6 蒖c6

 much better according to Geza Maróczy）

and now we should have a look at：
 $18 \Xi \mathrm{fe} 1$ was played in H．Montgomery－ W．Allison，New York 1857．It does not look as if Black can escape from suffer－ ing．The game continued 18．．．hxg5 19


22 e7＋${ }^{3} \mathrm{xe} 723$ 臤7 and White won， while if $18 . . . \mathrm{d} 519$ 金xh6 gxh6 20 溇xh6
 \＆ $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{xg} 6} 24$ 溇 $\mathrm{xg} 6+$ White is still much bet－ ter．
b） 15 ．．．${ }^{\text {exf }} 316 \mathrm{gxf} 3 \mathrm{~d} 5$ is more sound，
 $\pm 5520$ d2 with an unclear position．

## 13．．．0－0 14 窅g5 f6

The most radical defence．Instead 14．．．dxe5 15 Qxe5 gives Black problems with the knight on e 7 ，while after $14 \ldots$ ．．．e8 15 e6 fxe6 16 dxe6 定c6 17 Obd2 Black has problems with the safety of his king． 15 exf6 gxf6 16 立h6 me8 17 © c 3

 Q． 65


Black is defending quite well．If instead 22．．．a6 23 a 4 ，then White can follow with 24 En 3 swinging the rook across into the attack．

畨g6 28 楼xg6＋hxg6 29 全xb6 cxb6
億x 733 h4 b5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

A draw cannot be avoided as each king will have to keep watch on the opposing
pawns，with no time for aggression．

## Game 33

## Y．Estrin－P．Angelov

Correspondence 1970

 8 cxd 4 全b6 9 c 3

This more elastic option is probably also the strongest．I must admit that I am quite comfortable sharing this opinion with our great grandfathers Paul Morphy and Mikhail Chigorin．


9．．．）g4
Besides this move and $9 . . . \mathrm{E}_{2} 5$（see the next two games），Black also has the fol－ lowing options：
a）9．．．定d7 is perfectly possible．One could easily imagine play continuing 10 e 5
安x5 13 dxe5 全e6 14 全xe6 fxe6 15断33 2 d 516 宴 3 with an unclear posi－ tion）12．．．0－0 13 数h5 盆f5 14 会xf7＋（not 14 dxe5？宣xf2＋ 15 寧xf2 炭d4＋and Black wins） $14 \ldots$ ．．．



an unclear endgame．
b） $9 . . .2 \mathrm{f} 6$ ？looks dangerous because of 10 e5 dxe5 11 害a3！

when we can imagine the following lines：
b1）11．．．Da5（Unzicker＇s recommenda－ tion） 12 宽b5t c6 13 dxe5 䊦xd1 14

 tains some pressure．

 150xd4 exd4 16 fe1 0 fg 817 d 5 and White won in the blindfold game， P．Morphy－C．Stanley，New York 1857） 13 ©xd4 $0 \times 1414$ 暟b2 with a strong attack． 10 金b5
Weaker is 10 曹 24 ？是d7 when White has no really good options．After 11
 White has no compensation for the piece，
 dxe5 17 dxe5 㯰e6 18 島adt 啙e8 19 d4 Ec6 20 2xe6 断xe6 and Black won step by step，A．Dambacher－M．Bock，corre－ spondence 2000．White should probably retreat again with $11 \| \mathrm{d} 1$ and then if


compensation，though the position is not desirable．
10．．．dif8


This is the best way．Instead 10．．．\＆xf3
 14 tish1 leaves White much better，while


 x xb 5 White has good compensation．It is not easy to see how Black is going to get his pieces to work together．

## 11 全e3

White only got equality out of 11 櫯xc6 bxc6 12 真a3 寞xf3 13 gxf3 Wg5＋ 14
歯d3 皃g8 18 害c1 h6 in J．Blackburne－ W．Steinitz，London match 1862.

## 11．．．0） 7

This is the right knight．Black never got his pieces to work after 11．．．2ce7？！ 12


梀a3 and White had excellent compensa－ tion in C．Schlechter－Mainter，Vienna 1898.

## 12 a4 a5 13 昷c4 数e8？

This move contains a deep strategic
idea．Black is attempting to give mate down the h－file．However，in the process he will have to open up in front of his king，which seems rather dubious．Better
 16 食xb6 cxb6 17 Ex when the game remains unclear．

## 14 6b5 55？

Black is following his plan consistently， but it was still better to play $14 \ldots$ ．．． W d7． 15 h3 h5


If the Romans had known chess，they would characterise a position like this as ＇panta rei＇（everything flows）．It is not yet too late to play 15．．．． e 5 H 16 d 5 鼻xf3 17
 20 exf5 ©xf5 21 含d3 包xd3 22 数xd3， though White is much better now．

## 16 hxg 4

16 邑 e 1 ！was even stronger，e．g．
 hxg4 18 Eg5 d5 19 exd5 Why 20 Ee6t
 $\theta_{\mathrm{xc}} 7$ 楍 d 824 － b 1 and White wins．

Over the following moves White has so many wins available that there is no reason to give them．Until suddenly White suffers from a sensational break－ down．

16．．．hxg4 17 匂5 d5 18 exd5




## 24 2b5？

Here a number of moves would still lead to a full point．The clearest line is 24

 Qc7



 37 罢xg3 with a winning endgame． 24．．． 2 b 625 金b3？

A complete meltdown．Some alterna－ tives need investigation：
a） 25 ష̈c1 ${ }_{\text {®ae }} 8$ leaves White defence－ less as well．
 very bad for White．After 27 寞xg4 畨g3＋

新 h 2 號 8 Black is close to winning．
 the best chance，but Black still takes the initiative．After $26 \ldots$ ．．．．ae8 27 分 7 分xc7 28 是xf5！白xf5 29 dxc 7 the position is ＇equal＇according to my computer，but in
reality it continues to be very compli－ cated．At least I have not found a clear win for Black here．
25．．．2d3 26 当xd3 g3 0－1
Now there was nothing to do but re－ sign．

## Game 34

## M．Chigorin－W．Steinitz

I ondon 1883
1 e4 e5 2 匂3宣xb45 c3 皿a5 $60-0 \mathrm{~d} 67 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 8 cxd4 全b6 9 包 3 気 5

The knight attacks the most active white piece，though the price for this is pretty high：White retains an advantage in time．

## 10 㑒g5

The so－called Göring Attack．Another interesting strategic idea is 10 军d3！？ 0 11 Ød5 0－0 12 公xb6 axb6 13 d 5 in order to dominate the black knight on a5．After
 White had fair compensation for the pawn in H．Bird－M．Chigorin，London 1899.


10．．．f6
As time is an important part of the play
in these lines，Black can hardly find a bet－ ter move than this．Of course，this would not stop people from trying，would it？
禺h4 気7 13 e5 fxe5 14 dxe5 0－0 15 e6！ with better play for White） 12 曾h4 De7



 White maintained the initiative，J．Von Minckwitz－W．Steinitz，Baden Baden 1870.
b） $10 . .$. De7 leads to very violent play

 \＄dh6．Now White has to choose between a draw with 17 樽h5＋and different ways to continue the attack．The direct 17


旡xe4 seems to leave Black better．But White might try 17 光ac1！and if $17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$
 strong attack．
11 苃 4


11．．． $0 \times 4$
After this move Black must play very
carefully to keep the balance． $11 \ldots$ ．．． 7 is seen in the next game．

## 

Black is losing time with this move，so I have looked a bit at the alternatives：
a） $13 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！？ 14 宴g3 h5 15 h 4 曹g7 16
䓝fe1 g 4 looked unclear，but these kinds of position usually seem very dangerous for Black．And here White can start a winning attack with 20 e 5 ！．
b） $13 . . . Q \mathrm{e} 7$ is the natural developing move，and is what Black probably should play here．I think unclear is the appropri－ ate evaluation．
14 थd5


14．．．g5
14．．．．${ }^{\text {en } 6 ~ l e a v e s ~ W h i t e ~ w i t h ~ t w o ~ w a y s ~}$ to keep up the pressure：
是a5 18 Efbl and White had a strong initiative in the game M．Chigorin－Dorrer， correspondence 1884 ．
 and White is much better．Black cannot really improve on this．If $16 \ldots, d_{8} 17$ Ee6！g5 18 曾xd6！with a clear advantage， or 16．．．t를d7 17 a4 a6 18 a5 显a7 19 号ab1 wins，while after $16 \ldots$ ．．．t． f 8 （as in

M．Vidmar－Poljanec，Ljubljana 1901） 17 a4 寔a5 18 島eb1 and White is much bet－ ter．




## 20 2d 2

Here it was interesting to sacrifice a piece with 20 e5！？．After 20．．．gxf3 21
幽xf3 0 e 724 道d6 0 d 5 and Black seems to be out of the woods） 23 ．．．fxg2 $24{ }^{\text {ªe1 }}$
 very strong initiative．
20．．．\＆ 6 ？！
$20 . .0 \mathrm{e} 7$ and $\ldots 0-0$ was stronger， though White continues to have compen－ sation．


## 21 f4！gxf3 22 0）xf3 e7 23 e5？

Stronger was 23 d 5 兽g4 24 2d4 0－0 25 W7！？and White is better．
23．．fxe5 24 dxe5 d5 25 8f1 26
 29 \％f3 寝d7？

Black is losing precious time here and gives White the chances to recapture the
 Black should not complain．

## 



 31 シg3 㟽6


32 含 f 6 ！金e6？
Black could offer more resistance with 32．．．安e4，but after 33 豊a4＋sc7 34 e6

 40 酋 7 White wins．


Game 35
M．Havulinna－J．Nissi
Correspondence 1992


余xb45 c3 余c5 6 d 4 exd4 $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$
 11 \＆f4 包 712 h3


12．．．c6
Also interesting is $12 . . .2 \mathrm{yc} 413$ 獘a4＋ c6 14 xc4 d5 15 exd5，when we could imagine lines like：
a） $15 . .$. ©xd5 16 登fe1＋皃f7 17 Qe4
 White retains pressure） 18 崽xc 7 临xc7 19
 gives White a strong attack，though the outcome is rather unclear．
b） 15 ．．．cxd5 16 糟b3 0－0 17 吾fe1 鼻 a 5
 Ec7 Og6 22 ． E 2 with full compensation in E．Schiffers－N．Kalinsky，correspon－ dence 1890 ，but even stronger was 21幽a3！包6 22 黑c7 幽d7 23 全xb6 and White retains the positive aspects of his position，while regaining his pawn．
13 苗b3 0 g6？
This is not a good square for the knight，and what is more important，Black cannot find a safe square for his king． Necessary was $13 \ldots . . \mathrm{g} 5$ ？ 14 \＆g3 g4 15
 with an unclear game．

 axb6 18 昱xe6 㫮xe6 was better，when Black would at least get a chance to castle．




20．．．0－0－0？
Making things worse．20．．．${ }^{\text {eb }} 6$ was

 advantage．
21 台ab1 宣b8 22 当ec1

This position is winning for White．
22．．．蔧d7 23 d5 c5 24 d4 合de8 25




30 Qxc5！dxc5 31 全xc5 ${ }^{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{d} 8$
Or 31．．．そd7 $32 \mathrm{axb6} \mathrm{axb6} 33$ 莫xb6 Otg6 34 U4 and White wins．
 \＆e8 35 axb6 axb6 36 吡a4
 1－0

## Summary

The＇standard position＇covered in this chapter after 5．．．． $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{c} 5} 6 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 68 \mathrm{cxd} 4$宏b6 seems to be rather dangerous for Black．White can generate a real initiative with 9 Dc3！，while also 9 d 5 seems to hold some venom．Eventually the theoretical conclusion might settle with Black being OK，but for the practical player it is more important to know that Black will always have to play very accurately to survive，while White＇s initia－ tive seems pretty natural．Not surprisingly Black scores a record low $37 \%$ with this line， compared to the more average $44 \%$ with 5 ．．．${ }^{\text {e }}$ a 5 and $45 \%$ with $5 . .$. ． e e ．


```
cxd4 㐌b6 (D) 9 &)c3
    9d5 #a5
        10 e5 - Game 32
```



```
                        14 龧d2 - Game 30
                        14 "c1 - Game 31
```

9... 2 a5
9.... ${ }^{\text {eg } 4-G a m e ~} 33$

11...9xc4 - Game 34
11... Qe $^{2}$ - Game 35


8．．．全b6


13．．．c5


11 是 4

## CHAPTER EIGHT

## The Evans Gambit： Introducing 5．．．寘a5



## 

是xb4 5c3 \＆ 5The retreat with 5 ．．． 05 is perhaps the most natural answer to the Evans Gam－ bit．The bishop remains on the e1－a5 di－ agonal，pinning the c 3 and d 2 pawns for the moment and，more importantly，is not in any kind of trouble on a5．On the mi－ nus side White will be able to put pres－ sure on the black position with 全a3 later on．Black is clearly planning to meet this with ．．．d7－d6．Black will establish a strong point on e5 and try to keep the centre closed for as long as is reasonably possi－ ble．
The retreat 5 ．．．全 5 was apparently first played in a not very correct correspon－ dence game back in 1826 between Evans and McDonnell．This entertaining game resulted in a win for White after the fol－ lowing：
全xb45c3 余a5 $60-0 \mathrm{~d} 67 \mathrm{~d} 4$ 安g4 8

蕞h5 14 Ed1 燔c8


官c7 20 国d6 mate．

Instead the alternative 15 橎 $\mathrm{b} 5+$ would have won very quickly for White，while after the much better defence $16 . . . \frac{\omega^{\sigma}}{} \mathrm{f} 6$ ！ Black would have survived and been in the game．

In this chapter we shall look at 5 ．．．宣 5 lines where White refrains from 6 d 4 ， which is the subject of Chapter 9．Gener－ ally this means $60-0$ which is covered in Games 37－41），or 6 Wivbl？as in the first game below．

Game 36

## B．Jobava－L．Aronian

European Championship，Antalya 2004



A sideline，but an interesting one．The main lines here are $60-0$ and 6 d 4 ．
6．．．数 77 d4


## 7．．． 0 f6

Black has tried a few other moves here：
a） 7 ．．．exd4 transposes to $6 \mathrm{~d} 4 \operatorname{exd} 47$榡b3！类 e 7 （see the notes to Game 42）．

 gives White an excellent attack） 10 （a3 c5 was played in J．Kipping－A．Anderssen， Manchester match 1857．Now after 11 cxd4 包e4 12 f 3 包d6 13 复xc5 $0-014$ \＆${ }^{\text {d }}$ 5 White would have more than enough compensation for the pawn．
c） 7 ．．．． e b6 leaves us with：
c1） 8 dxe5！？©a5 9 書b5 a6 10 畨d5 c6
娄xg2 14 部1 with unclear play，e．g．

 Qh6 20 多 5 金e3 21 Dde4b5 22 h 4 and
the position is really not easy to assess．
 needs to develop） 10 a 4 ！（after 10 0－0？！
 attack is clearly not so dangerous） 10 ．．．a6 11 a5 會c5 $120-0$ dxe5 13 至d1 是d6 （ $13 . .2$ eff would be met by 14 全xf7＋！
 initiative） 14 磈 3 and White has suffi－ cient compensation for the pawn．


## 8 dxe5？！

This is heading for a position where White has won the pawn back，but his game lost its momentum．A preferable alternative was 8 兽a3！d6 9 d5 $\triangleq d 410$ Qxd4（an improvement over 10 峟a4＋？

 was much better，B．Lundgren－T．Wastfelt， correspondence 1974）10．．．exd4 11 类a4＋
 swered with $13 \ldots$ ．．．2h5！intending ．．．Of4 and ．．．Wig5 with a deadly attack） $13 . .0$ xe4



 18 d 2 and White retains the initiative．



Here we have a position similar to that of the Traxler in the Two Knights De－ fence（i．e． $3 . .$. Øf6 4 Qg5 \＆c5！？）．There is only one small difference：Black is much better here．

## $110-0$ ？

11 f3！？was stronger，but Black can still grab the initiative with 11．．．d5！（11．．．0xe4
䒼e4＋ 15 察d1 is a draw） 12 自xd5 包xd5

 more than enough for the pawn．


11．．．むf8 12 全d5 曾b6 13 h 3 d 614


If 15 gxh3 慗g3＋ 16 sth1 嶫xh3＋ 17番g1 0 g 4 wins．

15．．．金d716 c5


16．．．0xc5
Clearer and cleaner was 16．．．）g 4 ！ 17

 Black wins．
17 ©c4 数h



 sisf8 and wins．
 21 exd6＋gid8 22 数b b5 23 处 5

断e4 29 曾g5 + e7


## 30 f3

White cannot struggle on for much longer．After 30 曹xf8＋
息 $\mathrm{c} 5+$ Black wins．






## 6．．．喽 6 ？！

Please do not show moves like this to innocent beginners or those under 16. Especially not when they have been played by our first World Champion．Ac－ tually this game is solid proof that the motion picture＇You should not＇walk＇the queen in the opening＇is based on a true story．．．

Instead 6．．．仓f6 is seen in the next game，and the main move $6 \ldots . \mathrm{d} 6$ in Games 39－41．

Also interesting is $6 . .$. Qge7 when play could develop 70 g 5 d 58 exd5 4 xd 59 d4（after 9 期 h 5 ？g g 10 䊦f3 当xg5 11鼻xd5 0－0 12 d 4 传f5 Black retains the
material without repercussions） $9 \ldots \mathrm{h6} 10$
 12 \＆a 3 looks more dangerous） $10 \ldots$ hxg 5


 retains pressure） 13 ．．．fxe6 14 复xg5 ©xe5 and the position is more or less equal according to Unzicker．
7 d4


## 

a） $7 . . . \mathrm{h} 6$ is met strongly with $8 \mathrm{dxe5}$ ！

 tive．
b） $7 . . .2$ ge7 8 d 5 分d8 9 粪 94 （stronger
 12 Da3，though here，too，White has more than enough compensation）
嵝b8 13 自xe7 家xe7 $14 \mathrm{~d} 6+$ 我f8 15 斯b4 f6 16 宜b3 was M．Chigorin－W．Steinitz， Havana match（game 17）1889．White is much better here and you sincerely won－ der why Steinitz chose to repeat the queen move in our main game．

## 8 学g5

This is the most natural，though 8 d 5 ？ has also been tried： 8 ．．．De7 9 㟶a 4 宣b6

10 ضa3 气g4 11 h3 h5 12 思b1 a6 13 Exb6 cxb6 14 蔦d1 with full compensa－ tion for the exchange，J．Timman－ B．Kurajica，Wijk aan Zee 1977.
8．．．Wd d ？


Things are only getting worse for Black．What is the queen supposed to do here？！Steinitz is playing as if he is invent－ ing the basic principles of chess as he goes along．Well he is．．．was，I mean．．． well，never mind．．．

8．．．wivg however also leads to trouble： 9 d 5 乌b8（or 9．．．2d8？ 10 宜xd8 ${ }^{6} \mathrm{xd} 8$
 14 嵝xd6 and White is better，E．Schiffers－ V．Yurevich，St．Petersburg 1892．e．g．


 13 Og4 峟g6 14 気 1 and White has the advantage according to Matsukevich．

11．．．龇g was better，though after 12是xd8 家xd8 13 它xe5 蒌xe4 14 Eae1断 415 d 6 ！White has a strong attack．
食xd6 15 年b6
Objectively speaking Black has already lost the game，but we can still enjoy how
the first Russian grandmaster puts the first world champion away．


16．．．De6 17 全c1！©g8
 19 是a3！and Black is on a lot of pain． Slightly weaker is 19 ）xc8 登xc8 20
 23 \＆ 4 4 where White has the advantage， but such stupid pieces as the c8－bishop have disappeared，giving Black some hope．
18 害 3


18．．．c5

 Wxa8 ©f6 and unexpectedly Black sur－


22 d5 and White wins．
逢d6


## 22 解4

In a position like this all road leads to Rome．Here 22 Qxff＋！？gxff 23 余xe6
䭗d3 also wins．

## 

Ot 23 exd5！？（D） 424 寘xc5 b6 25
 wins．




齿a5 d5 37 exd5 室b8 38 d6 1－0

## Game 38 M．Chigorin－M．Shabelsky

Correspondence 1884



After only six and a half moves in the one of the oldest openings，we have landed in a slightly unusual position． Normally Black plays $6 . . . \mathrm{d} 6$ to reinforce
his centre and remain more flexible．


## 7．．． $0 \times 4$

a） 7 ．．．exd4？is asking for trouble after 8曾 $\mathbf{a} 3$ d6 9 e5 We4 10 exd6 cxd6（or 10．．．0xd6 11 घe1＋©e7 12 气g5 0－0 13
 clear advantage） 11 Ee1 d5 $12 \triangleq \mathrm{bd} 2$


 13 （xe4 宜xe1，as in D．Rybak－J．Svoboda，


 easiest way to win．
b）7．．．0－0 was successful after 8 精c2



 12 g 3 䡒b6 13 死 3 d 5 and Black was bet－ ter in J．Dufresne－A．Anderssen，Berlin match 1851．But White can play more
 10 迬 3 transposing to the next note．

## 8 dxe5？！

This gives White an interesting，but in－ correct attack．The critical line runs 8






 White has excellent compensation for the pawn．
8．．．0－0


## 9 㑒d5

After 9 Wc 2 ？Black should seize the

 14 鼻e3 宦xe3 15 fxe3 0 g and Black is better，as after $16 \mathrm{De}^{2} \mathrm{~m} 3 \mathrm{H}!17 \mathrm{gxh} 3$
 ©d2 थd3 Black is completely dominant） $10 \ldots .$. Qxd6 11 馬d1 h6 12 全a3 断f6 13憲d5 De7，Z．Stojanovic－B．Pavlovic，Bor 1983．White is facing a big challenge in order to prove compensation here．

9 自a3！？d6 10 粜c2 is the same idea in a slightly different move order，and now Black must play more carefully： 10 ．．． $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{c}}$ c 11 苗bd2（or 11 䖧xc5？dxc5 12 全d3 with compensation）11．．．Ee8 12 gad1是b6 13 exd6 cxd6 14 定d5 \＆e6（the al－ ternatives do not work，e．g．14．．．踖f6？ 15

 21 Ife1 and White is much better，or

 attack in S．Ratzmann－D．Rosner，corre－ spondence 2001） 15 边4 and White has a decent initiative．
9．．．2c5
 as White has a strong attack after 110 Dg 5


 cxd6 17 食b3 and White wins．

But 9．．．． ex 3 is a serious alternative． After 10 复xe4 全xa1 11 复xh7＋we have：




 in M．Chigorin－S．Alapin，St．Petersburg
童xd8 Exd8 19 h 4 with a dangerous at－ tack．

 was better in M．Chigorin－V．Manko，cor－ respondence 1900 and 1901）

and we have reached a new branching：



 gie7 with a draw．
鼻d4＋16 客h1 0 c 417 Oh7＋Ge7 18
 Ed1 富c6 21 Exd4 with an extremely strong attack） $20 \mathrm{Dh}_{\mathrm{h}} 7$ with unclear play． 10 g 5


10．．．気e6？
Black is wasting precious time，merely to replace one attacking white piece with another．

Better was 10．．．觜e7！（10．．．©xe5 $11 \mathrm{f4}$ looks dangerous for Black） 11 W／wh（if 11

 Dc4 娄e7 and White is fighting for a
 （or 14 exd6 cxd6 15 鳖d2 ©f5 with the
 Qg4 and Black is much better here．One




and Black is winning，E．Schiffers－ A．Romashkevich，correspondence 1894.

## 



## 13 \＆f6！© 7

If 13．．．gxf6 14 定e 4 and White wins． 14 © 0 d $2 d$
Black is now out of options．If


 again．

## 15 exd6 0 xd5 16 潧xd5 gxf6 17蹋xa5 cxd6



Black has an extra pawn，but his posi－ tion is lost．



This is stronger than 22 数xf6 㓪g6 23
 clear advantage．
22．．．㑒g6 23 f4

 Exb7 1－0

## Game 39

## A．Karpatchev－C．Renner

 German Bundestiga 20031 e4 e5 2 Qf3 Dc6 3 莤c4 空c540－0 d6 5 b4 \＆ s xb4 6 c 3 全a5 7 d4


The move order with $40-0 \mathrm{~d} 65 \mathrm{~b} 4$ ？ was rather unusual，but we have trans－ posed to a more normal position now．

In the current game Black plays 7．．．害g4，while 7．．．ed7 and 7．．．eb6 are seen in Games 40 and 41 respectively． From the diagram position we should also have a look at：
a） 7 ．．．exd4 and now：
a1） 8 cxd 4 \＆f6？（ 8 ．．．． e b6！reaches the standard position of Chapter 7） 9 曹a 4
包b6 13 a4 c5 14 数b3 挡c7（Pavlov \＆ Levitsky－W．Steinitz，Moscow 1896）and


Efd2 White is much better according to Chigotin．
a2） 8 斯b3！？（the Waller Attack）



 with a huge attack in P．Morphy－Kipping， Birmingham 1858；but $10 \ldots$ ．．． e d 7 ？is also
 13 罢xe5＋垱xe5 14 类xb8＋ 0 xb8 15
 2xb8 16 xe5 真b6 with an unclear end－ game） 11 显g5 曹d6（not $11 . .$. 崰g6？ 12

 I．Kolisch－T．Barnes，London 1860） 12害xf7＋dif8 is all rather unclear，e．g． 13





 wins，L．Oms Fuentes－F．Farran Martos， Barcelona 2003.
b） 7 ．．．$仓 f 68$ 蒌a4！？and now：
 11 全d3 ©xa1 12 c4 and White is much better．
b2） $8 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ ？ 9 d 5 ？is naive after $9 \ldots$ b5 10
 J．Blackburne－H．Block，England（simul） 1878，with a grood game for Black，e．g． 13 c4（if 13 覴e1？幽b8！ 14 a4 定d3 and the white queen has landed herself in a trap）
罾c2 f5 with a huge advantage．Instead White should play 9 㑒d5！崽b6 10 dxe5 dxe5 11 寠xc6t bxc6 12 E®d1 Qd7 13曾xc6 and White is much better．
b3） $8 \ldots$ exd4！is the correct reaction， when after the continuation 9 ）xd4（or 9
 $0-011 \Theta_{\text {xec }}$ bxc6 12 全xc6 思b8 Black is at least equal．
7．．．畣g4


## 8 䊦 44 ？

The queenside action does not seem to be too dangerous．
a） 8 wh？is also dubious，when after 8．．．茵xf3！ 9 倝xf7＋象f8 10 gxf3 客b6 11
 is much better according to Sokolsky．
b） 8 莫b5！？is objectively the best move．After $8 \ldots \operatorname{exd} 49 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 会d7 10 龟b2 Black has two important lines to consider：


 White was much better in M．Chigorin－ W．Steinitz，Havana match（game 1） 1892.
b2） $10 \ldots$ ）．．． 611 Da3 $9 x \mathrm{xe} 4$（ $11 \ldots 0$－0 led to draws in games 3 and 5 of the match） 12 d 5 2e7 13 歯a4？（13 是 xg 7 is better）
 16 畵d4 0－0 and Black was two pawns up in M．Chigorin－W．Steinitz，Havana match （game 13）1892．As you can see Steinitz gave up upon attacking with the queen later on in his career，which was probably quite wise．


## 8．．．a6！

This puts the most pressure on White to perform．
a） $8 \ldots$ ．．．exd4 9 cxd4 a6（ $9 \ldots$ ．．．8xf3 10 gxf 3 is the next note） 10 ． d 5 宣b6 11 会xc6＋ bxc6 12 需xc6＋余d7 13 崰c3 Qe7 14 Ea3 0－0 15 Ec4 d5 16 exd5 $0 x d 5$ and Black achieved equality；M．Chigorin－ W．Steinitz，Havana match（game 15） 1892．But maybe he wants more？
b） $8 \ldots \mathrm{~A}$ 酉xf 9 gxf 3 exd 410 cxd 4 a 6 ？

 Wiv4＋with a draw－Chigorin） 11 宴d5 Q）ge7 12 宽xc6＋ 0 xc6 13 d5 b5 14 彩a3

 th3！Mg 20 龂b3 and White is better

 $0-019$ 金b2 断h6 20 金xa1 with advan－ tage to White，M．Chigorin－W．Steinitz， Havana match（game 17） 1892.

## 905

Incorrect is 9 d 5 ？ b 510 悤xb5 axb5 11 U455 0 ge 712 dxc $60-0$ and Black is just better．

## 9．．． 2 h 610 全d5

10 d 5 ？is even worse this time around． After $10 \ldots$ ．．．b5 11 量xb5 axb5 12 断xb5
斷b3 昷xf1 and Black is much better． 10．．．宣b6 11 dxe5


## 11．．．0－0？

An understandable decision，but there was little reason not to recapture immedi－ ately．After $11 \ldots$ dxe5！ 12 全xc6＋（Black is also better after 12 h 3 金d7 13 包f3 揀f6


 with a clear advantage） 15 ．．．密b5 16 Eel Ee8 the two bishops give Black an excel－ lent game．
12 全xc6 bxc6 13 h 3 盁e2

This also looks a bit strange．Black must have had some fantasy about attack－ ing f2，but surely the rook move is to White＇s overall advantage？

## 

15 exd6 畨f6 16 崰c2 cxd6 17 e5 点g6
 21 fxg 7 家xg7 22 宣f4 is also good for White．
 Efd8 18 ©c4


18．．． 6 ？
This is just complete capitulation． White will happily use the e6－square to create mayhem around the black king． Necessary was something like 18 ．．．断xc3

苃e8 25 包e6 fxe5 26 气xd8 首xd8 27 Exc 70 f 7 and Black still has some draw－ ing chances．
 －${ }^{\text {d }} 1+$
 and wins．

After $23 \ldots .$. el 824 g 3 is another road kill．


## Game 40

M．Chigorin－S．Alapin
Vienna 1898




This might look a little passive，but at the same time it is quite solid．

## 8 敬b3

Instead，too much aggression can land you in trouble．．．
a） 8 Og5？！©h6 9 f 4 exd4 $10 \mathrm{e} 50-011$

 much better．

However，too peaceful play can also lessen your chances of winning．．．
 Oge7（after 10．．．宣xc3 11．\＃b1 是xd2

 clearly better in A．Lundqvist－S．Kjellander， correspondence 1959） 11 粞b3 0－0 12 ©c4 h6 13 a4 蕞b6 14 皿a3（or 14 a5
数b5 with equal play）14．．．〇a5 15 （xa5


（Levenfish）and Black can hardly be worse here．
8．．．罾e7
Instead 8．．．斯f6 9 dxe5 dxe5 10 苞d1 h6
 daxf 13 Exd7＋looks tempting，but after




 clear in M．Chigorin－W．Steinitz，Havana match（game 7） 1892 （another Evans Gambit between the two gentlemen！）． Now Black has to play sharply to stay

 27 dide．


## 9 dxe5

White can also try a queenside offen－ sive with 9 a 4 ！？亘b6 10 dxe5 dxe5 11 a5


畨f6 20 崰g3 宣b6 as in S．Winawer－ S．Alapin，Warsaw 1890．Now White should play 21 Dbd 2 ！with compensation for the material investment according to Matsukevich．

9 皿a3？！is too sketchy，however．After 9．．．©h6 10 dxe5 气xe5 11 थxe5 道xe5 12
 14 h 3 苃b6＋ 15 晲h1 $0-0$ allows him a crushing attack．

## 9．．．dxe5 10 Ёd1

Also interesting is 10 真a3！畨f6 11 Qbd2 थge7（or 11．．．全b6 12 宣b5 a6 13宣xc6 宴xc6 14 \＆c4 and White has fine compensation） 12 宜b5 0－0 13 2c4 金b6
 unclear game．

## 10．．．家b6

Here $10 \ldots . . . \begin{gathered}\text { end } \\ \text { 8！} \\ \text { can } \\ \text { be met in two in－}\end{gathered}$ teresting ways（at least it has in practice）：
a）The first is the most controversial：

定x 4

when we have a position that was the starting point of a quarrel between the two Russian greats Alapin and Chigorin． Alapin believed that Black has a better game，while Chigorin thought that White should come out on top．Chigorin was of course the better chess player，but on this occasion Alapin was right．Even in the


all his influence in heaven（or hell）to even make a draw．
b）More sensible is 11 巴ֻd5？全b6 12


真a5 21 金b2 with plain equality，St．Pe－ tersburg－Paris，telegraph match 1894.
断xf7＋

14．．．挡g6？ 15 多d2 0 f 6 runs into 16

 wins．


## 15 的1？！

 when $17 . . .{ }^{\text {Ead }} 8$ gives Black strong coun－
 17 Dxe5 nets a pawn．

## 15．．．巴ad8 16 Ёxd8 Ёxd8 17 分bd2

The position is more or less equal．The white c3－pawn and the black e5－pawn balance each other out．

## 17．．．2 26

Not the best position for the knight．


Better was 19．．．dig6 20 象e2 第h8 21胃 1 g5！？ 22 h 3 b6 23 是b4 h5 and Black has nice play．

 ©fxd2 g5 26 ©c4


White has a small edge here，but did not make anything of it in the game． 26．．．Vf7 27 Qe3 古e6 28 亿d5 古d7


 \＄e3 壹f6 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Game 41

V．Skotorenko－H．Ahman
Correspondence 1976



This was Emanuel Lasker＇s idea and is therefore known as the Lasker Defence． Although in that case we should be able to label all kinds of minor lines，which do not deserve names of their own．

## 8 dxe5

8 a 4 is an alternative，though not one that I can recommend．I am not supersti－ tious，but Black has won all the games I have seen from here．For example：
a） $8 \ldots . . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f} 6} 9$ 崽b5 a6 10 © $\mathrm{xc} 6+\mathrm{bxc} 611$ a5 客a7 12 電a4 exd4 13 cxd4 盆d7 14 e5
 （ 17 exd6，retaining the pressure，is prefer－ able according to Matsukevich）17．．．\＆e6

 24 幽c3 㑒xd4 and Black won in St． Petersburg－Vienna，telegraph match 1898.
b） $8 \ldots \operatorname{exd} 49 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 会g4 10 客b5 a6 11定xc6＋bxc6 12 a5 真a7 13 宽e3 ©e7 14 0c3 0－0 15 精c2 蔂xf3 16 gxf3 f5 and Black was better，M．Chigorin－Em．Lasker， St．Petersburg 1897.
8．．．dxe5 9 需b3
Others：
a） 9 㿾 $\times f 7+$ ？would only work in a blitz－game with three minutes or less．It certainly does not work in correspon－



 spondence 1989.
b） $9 \omega_{\mathrm{yx}}^{\mathrm{x}} 8+$ leads to an interesting end－ game after 9．．．Qxd8 10 亿xe5 宴e6 11 $9 \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{e}^{2} 7$ and now we have：
a） 12 奤a3？f6 13 Dd3 $\operatorname{Dg}_{6} 14 \sum_{\mathrm{ab}}$
 Qxe6 and Black is at least slightly better， M．Chigorin－H．Pillsbury，London 1899.
b） 12 a 4 ！（best） $12 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$（not $12 \ldots . . \mathrm{c} 6$ ？

 way，and White was better in S．Holzner－ K．Elison，correspondence 1997） $13 \triangleq \mathrm{xg} 6$ hxg6 14 莫a3 莫d7 15 \＆b3 2e6 16 登fe1

 In5 23 Qf3 0－0－0 with equality，J．Bohak－ S．Holzner，correspondence 1998.

## 9．．．楢 6

The normal move，though not the only one．Alternatively：
a） $9 \ldots . .{ }^{6} \mathrm{~d} 7$ ？ ？ is an unual transposition to Game 47 in the next chapter．
b） $9 \ldots$ 当e7 is also possible，e．g． 10 㑒a3
 Qa5 13 茈a4＋葛d7 14 食b5 0－0－0 and Black was more－or－less winning in D．Kilgour－S．Mannion，Scottish Champi－ onship 1985 ，or if 11 寞d5 ©a5 12 踖b4 Qh6 13 bbd 2 c 6 and White＇s initiative is gone）11．．．Oge7 12 \＆d5 念g4（or

 and Black was just a pawn up，J．Galiana Salom－R．Calvo Minguez，Palma de Mal－ lorca 1991.
10 全g5 米g6 11 㑒d5


This indirect pressure on e5 is often a very important tool for White in the Ev－ ans Gambit．

After the slower $11 \triangleq \mathrm{bd} 2$ ？$\triangleq \mathrm{f} 612$
全xb6 $2 x \mathrm{xb} 16$ 龟b3 2 a 5 Black just kept the pawn in V．Ciocaltea－G．Alexandrescu， Bucharest 1954.
11．．．9ge7 12 㑒xe7 客xe7 13 食xc6


If 15 㞱 3 3＋粕d 6 and Black will keep his position together．
15．．．禺c5
15．．．䈓d8 16 气bd2 家f8 17 客h1 念c5 transposes to the game，while after 16
 equal according to Yakov Estrin．



Or 19．．．a6 20 』ae1 b5 21 Ece5 葸e6 22 速 c 2 息d6 with an unclear position．
 Qce5


## 23．．．8g8？

The most important thing in this posi－ tion is to keep control of the sixth rank．
 correct，with an unclear game．

$25 . . \mathrm{h} 5$ ？would be even worse after 26
 and White wins，but with $25 \ldots . . c 526$ 毞g3
 creating counterplay．

## 26 粦g3 㯰h7

If 26．．． $6 \mathrm{~b} 827 \mathrm{f6}$ c5 28 迷h4 and White is much better．
27 f6 全c8 28 h3！c5 29 糟h4 雪c7 30 Qg5 +

White is also on top after 30 e5 显xg4 31 䒼xg4． 30．．．宵g6


## 31 ह）$x 7$ ？

A real showing off move，which is quite empty too．In our age the use of computers excludes this kind of mistake from correspondence games．Instead simply 31 2f3 32 e5 gives White everything． 31．．．$x$ f7

The point was $31 \ldots$ 䖝xg4 32 公xd8

32 fxg 7 \＆ $\mathbf{~} x g 4$ ？？
This is very questionable．Here
 look dangerous，but what about the main


 a draw．

Whereas now White just wins．
33 gxf8需 + ※xf8 34 歯xg4 畨e5 35
歯e6 38 誛g3 1－0

## Summary

The games presented in this chapter indicate that Black has several sound ways of meeting $60-0$ ，one of them being the＇Lasker Defence＇with $6 \ldots . . \mathrm{d} 67 \mathrm{~d} 4$ 显b6．I believe that the main reason for this is that the advantages for White of having castled are slightly more long term than those of an immediate attack on the black centre with 6 d 4 as in the next chapter）．Therefore I do not feel that the lines with $60-0$ are truly dan－ gerous for Black．

6 数b3（D）－Game 36
6 d 4 －Chapter 9
6．．．d6
6．．．曾f6－Game 37
6．．．〇f6－Game 38
7 d4
7．．．exd4 8 cxd4 寔b6－Chapter 7
7．．．．g4－Game 39
7．．．金d7－Game 40
7．．．． O b6（D）－Game 41

$60-0$


6 謷 $b 3$


7．．．全b6

## CHAPTER NINE

## The Evans Gambit： The Main Line with 5．．．鼻a5



In this chapter we shall examine the posi－ tions arising after 1 e4 e5 2 Qf3 Qc6 3


This is Howard Staunton＇s idea，which has the advantage that White can avoid Lasker＇s Defence（as seen in Chapter 8） by answering 6 ．．．d6 with something other than $70-0$ ．Basically White is putting time over material，which is of course a risky strategy．But as they say．．．he who risks nothing gains nothing．

| Game 42 |
| :---: |
| A．Anderssen－J．Dufresne |
| Berlin 1852 |

This is one of the most famous games in chess history．It is known as the Ever－ green Game．
塭xb45 c3 全a5 6 d4 exd4

The main alternative $6 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ is seen in Games 46－48．Black has also tried：
a） $6 \ldots$ 䰟b6，with the idea of $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$ and Black welcomes himself to the lounge of the Lasker Defence，is ineffec－
tive as White plays more strongly with 7 dxe5，and then：




 $0-0-0$ and White had a strong initiative in S．Tartakower－O．Chajes，Carlsbad 1923.
a2） $7 \ldots$ ．．． $\operatorname{Vge} 78$ \＆f40－0 $90-0$ Qg6 10㫣g3 崰e7 11 类d5 with a bind，e．g．





速f2 曾c7 24 断d4＋1－0 W．Muir－ R．Peeples，correspondence 1983.
b） $6 \ldots . . \frac{\operatorname{deg}}{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{e} 7$ ？！does not seem to work ei－ ther．After $70-0$ 全b6 8 金a3 凿f6（or 8．．．d6 9 鼻b5 息d7 10 鼻xc6 晋xc6 11
昷b2 a5 15 Dbd2 䊦d7 16 c 4 and White was much better in E．Sveshnikov－ A．Sofieva，Cappelle la Grande 1995） 9

 V．Ragozin－G．Levenfish，USSR Champi－ onship 1949，and now 15 窗xh6 gxh6 16


c） $6 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ？is a strange counter－gambit． After 7 自xb5 0xd4 8 合d4 exd4 9
 White is better according to Matsukevitch －and he really is！

## $70-0$

7 W W 3！？is an interesting alternative， and then：

a） $7 . .$. 数f6！ $80-0$ 余b6（ $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 3$ would be the Evergreen Game again， $8 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 3$ is Game 43，while $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 6$ transposes to the Waller Attack in the notes to Game 39） 9 e5 迷g6 10 cxd 4 a 5 （improving on

©h6，H．Bird－M．Chigorin，Hastings 1895， when 13 宣a3！would give White good compensation for the pawn） 11 wa4




 N．Short－J．Piket，Zürich 2001，and now after 24 昷c5！White would have main－ tained a dangcrous initiative according to Lukacs．
b）7．．．整e7（the main line，but not nec－ essarily stronger） $80-0$ 息b6 9 cxd 4 and then：


全c7 15 d 5 with compensation） 14 a 5
 b5 18 断b3 食b6 19 e5！d5 20 exf6 gxf6 21 Qxb5 $0-0$（not $21 \ldots$ axb5？when 22宔b4 wins） 22 金b4 曷f5？（unnecessary； $22 . . .{ }^{\text {g f }} 723$ Qd 6 gig would have been unclear） 23 置xf8 凿xf8 24 气c3 and White was clearly much better in E．Sutovsky－S．Smagin，Essen 2001.
b2） $9 \ldots$ Oxd4 10 气xd4 害xd4 11 合3 （after 11 宴 b 2 ？ d 612 ct 3 \＆f6 13 当 ad 1旡xc3 14 精xc3 精e5！and Black has no
problems）11．．．Df6 12 匂5！d5（if 12．．．\＆e5 13 是a3 gives White good at－ tacking chances，e．g．13．．．d6 14 Eac1 c6 15 f 4 ！or $13 \ldots . . \mathrm{c} 514$ घ゙ac1 a6 15 定xc5 d6
 and White wins－Lukacs） 13 exd5 曾xa1

 dd7 20 是xb3（White has excellent com－ pensation even without the queens on） $20 \ldots c 621$ d6 b6 22 宣xf7 c5？（22．．．乌d5

 Ee3！intending ${ }^{\mathrm{g}} 3$ 3 and xg 7 when Black has hardly any defence） 26 ．．．h5 27
 30 \＆ $\mathrm{d} 5+\$$ with a draw by perpetual check，N．Short－P．H．Nielsen，Skanderborg 2003.


## 7．．．d3？！


 merous times and，according to Garry Kasparov，Black is in trouble（see the next game）．The more prudent $7 . .$. Qge7 is considered in Games 44 and 45 below．

## 8 数b3

White should build up his attack． 8

was also strong in L．Prins－A．Fuderer， Rogaska Slatina 1948．But 8 Dg5？Dh6 9 e5 ©xe5！ 10 Ex（as in A．Anderssen－ C．Mayet，Berlin match 1851）is shown to be too hasty after 10．．．d6！ $11 \mathrm{f4}$ Ohg4 12 Qh3 0－0 13 fxe5 0xe5 and Black is at least slightly better here．

## 8．．．粯6



## 9 e5

Also interesting is 9 Ee1！？Ege7 10
 （better is $12 . . . \mathrm{E}$ e8 with an unclear posi－
䊦a3＋de8 16 ＠f6 gxf6 17 exf6＋1－0 A．Anderssen－S．Rosenthal，Vienna 1873. Black could have played more strongly with $14 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ ，but after 15 寛xd5 息g4 16 Olg5 White still enjoys a wonderful at－ tack．

## 

This is better then 10 Qbd2？！Qge7 11 Ee1 0－0 12 De4 d5 13 exd6 cxd6 14


 Wivf and Black had a big advantage in S．Conquest－M．Narciso Dublan，Pam－ plona 2001．Now why would anybody try to improve on one of the greatest games
ever？Well，if you did not look，would you remember the exact moves of this game？ 10．．．थge7

After 10．．．是b6 White responds 11
 initiative according to Kasparov．

## 11 全a3 b5？

The extra move does little good for Black－in the coming play the white queen seems better placed at a 4 anyway． Instead，after 11．．．0－0 12 霛d1 White would also have a strong initiative，but $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！is interesting： 12 exd6 cxd6 13
 unclear game ahead．

## 



## 唪h5 17 ゆf6＋！？？

The beginning of one of the most beautiful combinations in chess history． Nevertheless，it is also entering com－ pletely unnecessary complications．White could do much better with simple play： 17
 Qg5 $\sum_{x c} 3$（or 20．．．装g4 21 気e4 and

 $260 \times f 7$ and White wins easily．
17．．．gxf6 18 exf6 ${ }^{[g} \mathrm{g} 819$ \＃ad1！？

19 真e4！was Emanuel Lasker＇s rec－ ommendation，after which the position is rather unclear．Again Anderssen seems to be playing towards his combination，and Black helpfully takes whatever is on offer． Some people do not know that a lot of these famous old games were friendly games，played between the rounds of a tournament，and that the defence was therefore less proactive，more willing to see whatever the sacrificing player was up to on the board，than in advance in the head．

## 19．．．蒋xf3？


 should hold the position according to Kasparov．

Now White plays his famous combina－ tion：


## 20 Ёx $7+1$ xe7


 mate as in the game） 23 定e $2+Q_{0} \mathrm{~d} 424$
 White has a winning endgame．

Or 22．．．${ }^{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{G}$ c6 23 塭d7 mate．
23 㑒 $d 7$＋sab8

23．．．

## 24 囱xe7 mate



Game 43
W．De Boer－J．Van der Kooij
Correspondence 1992
园xb4 5 c3 宣a5 6 d4 exd4 700 dxc3？


Taking this pawn resembles the sin of gluttony．

## 

Black has some other experiences here， but they are not positive：


 Og6 19 Bad1（Honsor－Takacs）and White maintains the pressure．
 13 精e2 数h5 14 鼻a3 with a strong attack in I．Kolisch－A．Anderssen，London 1861.
c） $10 . . .0 \mathrm{~h} 611$ Q $\mathrm{d} 50-012$ 复 d 3 继e6
 White was much better in F．Lee－ J．Blackburne，London 1906.
d） $10 . .$. 息xc3？！makes things even worse．After 11 票xc3 we could look at：
d1） $11 \ldots$ ．．b6 12 全d3 毗4 13 e6！and White is much better．



d3）11．．．もge7 12 亿g5 0 d 813 关e1 h6
 exf6 0 de6 18 fxe 7 with a clear advantage． 11 金a3！


This is a critical position for under－ standing the Evans Gambit．Black is in serious trouble．

## 

After the sharp $12 \ldots . . \mathrm{b} 513$ 䀂d3 畨g4 White has to occupy h3，a great square for the queen，with a pawn．But there are other ways to make life miserable for
 Qd5 f6（or 16．．．b4 17 \＆ $\mathrm{c} 1 ~ \sum \mathrm{xd} 5 \quad 18$

吉g8 24 Wey white is better） 17
 axb3 bxa3 21 宽d5＋苗f7 22 金xc6 區 b 823 e6 dxe6 24 䔍d8＋占h7 25 食e4 f5 26 Qfh4 1－0 N．Urusov－A．Romashkevich， correspondence 1893.



If instead 17．．．密b6 18 数h5 h6 19 Qg5


巽fe1 with a very strong attack．

## 18 謴h5 2 g 6


曾 d 4 and wins．

## 

21 娅f5＋is less clear after $21 \ldots$ 皃e7！ 22客xg7d5．


21．．．c5
After 21．．．We6 22 莫f5 誛c6 23 总d3 or
 much better．


If 23．．．d5 24 骂xd5 酉e6 $25^{\text {骂d7＋旬g8 }}$ 26 実d4 wins．


## Game 44

## A．Morozevich－M．Adams

Wijk aan Zee 2001
1 e4e5 2 包3 0 c6 3 全c4 全c5 4 b4全xb4 5 c3 首a5 6 d4 exd4 7 0－0 9 ge7


Normally we would expect the knight to be at f 6 in the Evans Gambit，but here White is threatening e4－e5 and Black would very much like to castle．So in comes $7 . .$. Ege $7!$ ．
8905
This kind of single horse action seems a little naïve in most positions we have covered，but here it is actually completely prudent．The main point is that $8 . .0-0$ is now out of the question because of the double threat to h 7 and f 7 after 9 数 h 5 ！

Instead：
a） 8 擞b3？is weaker： $8 . . .0-09 \mathrm{cxd} 4$旬g 10 \＆ e 3 奄b6．Here White should play 11 when ，when he has some com－ pensation for the pawn；in some varia－ tions he is threatening whb－h5 and $\Delta \mathrm{g} 5$
with an attack．Compared with something
 Olge7 14 黑 d 3 g 6 where Black is much better，White should count himself lucky．
b） 8 cxd 4 seems unnatural because of the reply $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 5!9$ exd5 $0 x d 5$ ，and then：

b1） 10 斯 b 3 皿e6 11 曹xb7 0 db 412


 Eb6 16 Wiw $\mathrm{d} 30-0$ and Black was better in the encounter K．Arakhamia Grant－ S．Mannion，Grangemouth 2000.
b2） 10 愠a3 㑒e6 11 憲b5（now if 11断3 精d7！is strong，D．Markosian－ V．L．Ivanov，Moscow 1995）11．．．． e b4 12㫫xc6＋bxc6 13 是xb4 苞xb4 14 㥩 4
 is equal according to Levenfish．
8．．．d5
Instead：
a） $8 . . .0-0$ ？was bad，as you probably

宜b3） 12 cxd4 and White is just winning，

额 18 粪 xh 6 mate，M．Jolowicz－Glunz， Hamburg 1971.
b） 8 ．．．乌e5！？could be met by 9 包xf7？

臤d3 澧e7 with equality．However，after the more dangerous 9 \＆b3，we have some things to ponder over：
b1）9．．．0－0？ $10 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \mathrm{~m}_{5} 5 \mathrm{~g} 611$ 断5 h6
 and White is winning．
b2）9．．．f6？！ 10 cxd 4 fxg5 $11 \mathrm{dxe5}$ ©c6
 \＆．g7 and White was winning in M．Jaros－ P．Hubner，Svetla nad Sazavou 1999） 12
 clear advantage．
b3） $9 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！is correct，and if 10 cxd 4 Og4！？with unclear play．
9 exd5 有5 10 官b3


 \＆ \＆$^{2} 6+$ bxc6 19 em 0－0－0 and Black is slightly better，J．Novosak－J．Sosna，Czech Team Championship 1996．White needs to keep some kind of momentum．
10．．．0－0
For the greedy $10 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 3$ ？！see the next game．


11 cxd4

After 11 公xh7 ${ }^{6}$ xh7 12 数 $5+88$ 13 喽xe5 White probably has enough compensation to draw，but no more than that，e．g． 13 ．．．$勹 \mathrm{f} 514$ 全d2 c5 15 dxc 6
 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ N．Short－M．Adams，Sarajevo 2000. 11．．． 094


## 12 数 3

Maybe with 11 cxd4 White has already lost the initiative？At least you should know that 12 余 3 can be met by

 with a pawn for the exchange and a fully mobilised army，Black is doing quite well in A．Anderssen－S．Mieses，Breslau match 1867.

12．．．包6！？
In D．Bronstein－A．Ivanov，Maidstone 1994，play continued 12．．．䊦d6 13 \＆．f4当f6 140 c 3 when White offered a draw －possibly before his opponent had the chance to notice $144 . . \circlearrowright x h 2$ and Black is much better after both 15 宴xh2 是xc3 16 あac1 㝠xd4 and 15 娄h5 宣f5．Instead 14 De4！with unclear play was the way to continue for White，though I am uncer－ tain whether there is any real chance for an advantage here．

Anyway，the text move would appear to present White with even more prob－ lems．
13 配 a h 6


## 14 公e4



 better．
14．．．0xe4 15 数xe4 Ee8 16 㑒b2 勾5 17 数f4


##  20 g 3 㓥 321 Qc4 b5！

Better than 21．．． $\mathrm{Dh}^{2} 22 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g}^{4} 23$
 26 e 6 ff 27 घe1 when White would have strong counterplay．

## 22 2e5？

A bad mistake．After the line 22 0xd6
 White should be able to hold the posi－ tion．
22．．．全b7 23 気ae1 a5！ 24 a3 b4！ 25 axb4？
The alternative 25 f 3 would have of－ fered more resistance，though Black is still much better after 25 ．．．bxa3 26 寞c3 © ${ }^{\text {s．a6 }}$

25．．．昷xb4 26 金c3 㤅xc3 27 都xc3


27．．． 2 h 4 ！0－1

## Game 45

D．Bronstein－Comp．Heuristic Alpha The Hague 1992
盒xb4 5 c3 全a5 6 d4 exd4 7 0－0
 dxc3？！

Taking the pawn is the most principled continuation，but an extremely risky one． It might be compared to dancing through a minefield with your eyes closed．It can be successful，of course，but only in the－ ory．In practice，you can expect to see fireworks erupt all over your position．


## 11 类e2 f6

After 11．．．h6 12 瀵xe5（not 12 包 6 ？
 Wxh6 exd5 16 断xd6 cxd6 and Black was much better in B．Blankenberg－W．Hort， correspondence 2001）12．．．f6（if $12 \ldots$. hxg5
 Qa3 with a clear advantage） 13 徭 g 3 hxg 5
 initiative．
12 分 4
12 A． 3 ？？is also interesting，when we could imagine：

 fence） 16 f4 05 g 617 d 6 cxd 618 全a4 b5
 Ec8 22 De4 with a clear advantage to White in R．Ovetchkin－A．Lastin，Russian Championship 2003.


崰xe1＋20数xe1＋断c3 h6 23 h 4 and White has some initia－ tive，though a draw is the most logic re－ sult．

## 12．．． $0 x d 5$



Qbxc3 昷xc3 16 xx3 White has excel－ lent play for the pawn．
13 金．a3c6
Another game saw 13．．．c2 14 矰xc2


 much better in B．Boschma－C．Van Wier－ ingen，correspondence 1999.
White should have preferred 15 会xb4， when we could imagine play continuing



and now 20 会xf6＋！gxf6 21 包
 Qd7＋！憲8 24 曹xe5＋and White wins）

 Exd6 ${ }^{5} x d 628$ 啮 $\mathrm{e} 7+$ and White wins，or


 also promising） $29 . . . c x d 530$ 断xh8 罢f8 31 壂xh7＋峟xh7 32 金xh7 and White has good winning chances despite the oppo－ site－coloured bishops．

## 14 d $6+?$

I am ready to bet here that the knight is singing the pop hit＇No Limit＇in a very
dubious falsetto（just imagine a horse singing！）．

 Exb1 with a clear advantage） 15 䫜e1 c2
戠d7 19 W 3 and White＇s attack is pretty strong．

Not 16．．．cxd5？ 17 湅b＋and White wins．

## 17 家 $\mathrm{h} 1 \mathrm{cxd5}$



## 18 分xc3！

White rightly puts time before material； there are limits to how much you can hesitate when it comes to sacrificing a inactive little pony．

## 18．．．全xc3 19 島ab1 断6



㛧xa5 White＇s superiority is overwhelm－ ing．

## 20 数d3 d4

 23 Qxd4 and White wins according to Bronstein．




## 24．．．Eँh8？？

This is a typical mistake for the older generation of computers．Straight talk would be to say that their circuits melt down from calculating too many varia－ tions．The move itself has no real idea（a purely human concept of course）behind it（other than to vacate d 8 for the king）， and White wins without any problems．

Some further comments on this posi－ tion are，however，in order．
a） $24 \ldots \ldots$ ？loses fairly straightfor－



 should give Black a draw．Now White can continue：




幽xg7＋定d7 38 溇xh6 with advantage to White，although Black still has good counterplay） 28 fxg6 hxg6 29 岂bc1（or 29

 attack is over and Black is clearly better）
断d5 and Black has defended successfully． Now the hunter and the prey will change seats．
b2） 25 数b4！is much stronger，e．g．

 and White＇s attack is gone）27．．．䊦e6 28数b5＋with a draw by repetition． Black cannot sidestep with 28 ．．．．．t．c7？as 29 䍖c1＋


 33 是xf6 曹xd1 34 Exxd gxf6 35


And White is winning．





袘5 1－0

## Game 46 N．Short－R．Hübner <br> Dortmund 1997

全xb45c3 全a56d4d6

The Alapin Variation，named after the famous Russian master，who published his analysis in the German magazine Schachfreund in 1898．Alapin was the foun－ der of a fair amount of modern chess theory，including the Alapin Opening 1 e4 e5 2 e2，which has more－or－less van－ ished from tournament play（even 2数h5！？enjoys grandmaster support in 2005），while 1 e4 c5 2 c 3 is usually un－
fairly referred to as the c3－Sicilian，when again it should carry his name．


## 7 擞b3

Instead $70-0$ would transpose to $60-0$ d6 7 d 4 in the previous chapter（see Games 39－41）．In particular，7．．．． e b6 leads to the Lasker Defence（Game 41），which White＇s 6 d 4 move order was designed to avoid．To that end 7 喽b3 is the most usual continuation here，though White has tried other moves as well：
断b3 is not too impressive after $10 \ldots . . \mathrm{f6}$ ！ （E．Trumpy－H．Grob，correspondence
 xixg $140-0$ and，according to Matsuke－ vitch，White has no real compensation for the pawn．
当d7！ 10 安b5（if 10 囱xe7 $0 x d 411$
㥩xe4＋ 14 䙾e2 数e5 with a clear advan－ tage－Maroczy）10．．．a6 11 害xc6 Oxc6 $^{2} 12$
道xf5 16 exf5 0－0 and Black is much bet－ ter，G．Breyer－R．Reti，Baden 1914.
c） 7 dxe5 dxe5 8 数3（if 8 䒼xd8＋ Qxd8 9 xe5 显e6 and Black is at least

in Games 47 and 48） 9 左g5？（instead 9 $0-0$ 俥b6 transposes to 9．．．嚖e7 in the notes to Game 41）9．．．f6 10 曾h4（if 10


 close to winning already，Leita－ A．Carrettoni，correspondence 1987.
d） 7 \＆ $\mathbf{8} 5$ ？ is more interesting，and then：


 pensation for the pawn．


 14．．．fxe4？！ 15 ©d4！．
d3） 7 ．．．f6 8 里e3！（not 8 数b3？！fxg5！ 9賭xg8 幽f6 10 dxe5 dxe5 $110-0$ 全b6 and Black is better） 8 ．．．Oge7 $90-0$ and White has compensation for the pawn．


## 7．．．噃d7

Here Black has many ways to make a fool of himself：
溇a4＋and White wins a piece．
b）7．．．等e7？ 8 d 5 d 49 xd 4 （9余b5＋！？d d is not so clear） 9 ．．．exd4 10

 strong initiative．
䓀f8 10 dxe5 歯e7 11 宴d5 Exe5 12
 White is better，V．Ragozin－D．Bronstein， USSR Championship 1945.
d） $7 \ldots .2 \mathrm{xd} 4: 18$ Exd4 exd4 9 是xf7＋

 attack，A．R．Thomas－W．Unzicker，Hast－ ings 1950／51．
e） $7 \ldots$ exd4？！ 8 酉xf7＋ not so easily refuted） 9 e5！dxe5 $100-0$䵡g4 11 Eel with a crushing attack， C．Alexander－F．Yates，Cambridge 1932.


## 8 dxe 5

Almost always played，since the alter－ natives are only dangerous for White：
 11 dxe5 hh6！and Black is certainly not worse．
b）80－0 复b6！9 8 b 5 （ 9 dxe5 trans－ poses below）9．．．a6 10 点a4（or 10 定xc6
 11 d 5 b 512 dxc6 电ixc6） 11 ．．．5b8！ 12 d 5 b5 13 Qxb5 axb5 14 念xb5 Exb5 15 Uxb5 Exe7 when Black is better，

L．Ribeiro－C．Leite，Lisbon 1999.

## 8．．．実b6！

The most testing move，planning ．．．$)$ a5 to remove the dangerous light－ squared bishop．The alternative，8．．．dxe5， is seen in the next two games．


## 9 Qbd2

Other moves seem weaker：
a） 9 wis2！（just misplacing the queen） 9．．．dxe5！ 10 葢a3 Qge7 11 Qbd2 0－0 12念b3 sh8 $130-0 \mathrm{f} 6$ and Black is better， V．Ragozin－V．Mikenas，Leningrad 1956.
b） $90-0$ ？$Q$ a5！（ 9 ．．．dxe 5 would trans－ pose to Game 47） 10 缕b4 气xc4 11娄xc4 dxe5 12 包xe5 娄e6 13 畨a4＋c6 with a slight advantage to Black， K．Kalashnikov－A．I．unev，St．Petersburg 2000.
c） 9 exd6？©a5 10 雄5 0 xc 411曹xc4 曹xd6 12 客a3 冥e6 and Black is better again，E．Mnatsakanian－A．Korelov， USSR Championship 1962.
d） 9 金b5！？is playable，but rather dull，
 10．．．8c5 11 c 4 Qge7 12 Qc3 0－0 $130-0$
 a level position in J．Brenninkmeijer－ M．Kuijf，Groningen 1993.
So，after 9 在d2 we have the key to posi－
tion in the 8 ．．．鬼b6 variation．


## 9．．． 0 a5

The only consistent move．Instead 9．．．dxe5 10 要 3 3 transposes to Game 48， while after $9 . . .0$ h 6 ？！ $100-00-0 \quad 11$ exd6

 White was better in E．Sveshnikov－ Y．Meister，Russian Championship 2000.

## 10 数b4

10 迷 c 2 is the main alternative：
a） $10 . . .0 \mathrm{~h} 6110-000-012 \mathrm{~h} 3$（ 12 exd $6!$ cxd6 13 h 3 was more accurate） $12 \ldots$ Ee8 13 dx dxe5（leading to an interesting drawing variation；if $13 . .$. ©c6？ 14 全b5！ a6 15 兾a4 宣a7 16 exd6 cxd6 17 2c4 b5
 gxh6 $21 \omega^{W} \mathrm{xa} 4$ and White is much better）


 22 fxe 3 总d8 and the fortress cannot be breached，I．Kurnosov－A．Lastin，Russian Championship 2003.
b） $10 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{xc} 411 \sum_{\mathrm{xc} 4} \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！is the stan－ dard equaliser；for example，after 12
 ©．d7 14 公xb6 cxb6 and 12 㫣g5！？h6 13亶 $\mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{g} 4140-0-0 \mathrm{~g} 5$ are pretty much

 f4 c5 White is the only one with prob－ lems，Y．Estrin－V．Palciauskas，correspon－ dence 1978.
10．．．0xc4 11 xxc4 亚c5 12 配3 Se7
 15 exd6 cxd6 16 en with an unclear game．



17．．． 分 $^{6}$ 6？
 equality．

Now White has the chance to create problems for Black by 18 Dab5 wb6 19鹿d5．Instead he played．．．
 a6 21 Dac2 全e6 22 包 3 b5 23 （4） 5 ？

 with equality．
23．．．exd5？
Black plays to White＇s tune．Instead af－




Now it is White who is slightly better． 26．．．g6 27 h3 h5 28 exe5 \＆xe5 29趷c6 We7 30 f3


30．．．g5？！
Black was apparently running short of time．Here $30 \ldots$ ．．f5！was better，and after 31

 Black should be able to save the game according to Short．
31 比b4 Ed8 32 Еxa6 5533 wa5
 fxe4 g4 37 hxg4 hxg4 38 谏c3？
 Black is in trouble．e．g． $39 \ldots$ ．．．wivg（or

精xd5 44 䂞xg4＋with good winning chances．

This is a time trouble mistake for cer－ tain．Black could have taken the pawn：



## 40 렐 3

The rook endgame is winning for White．The black rook is not very well placed，so White has time to bring his king to the best square f4．Note that

Black cannot go to e5 with his king，as Ef2 with the idea of ${ }^{\text {Ef }} 5$ mate would be decisive．




 1－0

## Game 47

## S．B．Hansen－H．Stefansson

Copenbagen 1994

 8 dxe5 dxe5 900

This move is more popular than 9 © ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ 3，which we will look at in the next game．
9．．．eb6 10 \＃̈d1
The most obvious and best move．The alternatives are not dangerous：
a） $10 \$$ b 5 regains the pawn，but noth－

 15 气d2 f6 16 气ef3 0－0－0 Black＇s game was preferable in R．Duhrssen－P．Keres， correspondence 1935.

axb3 黄e6（not 12．．．需d8？ 13 是xf7 mate） 13 宣xe6 盢xe6 14 2d2 a6 is just equal according to Euwe．
10．．．数e7


## 11 a4？！

Black now has time to bring the dark－ squared bishop back into the game． Therefore some alternatives seem to be required：
a） 11 Ed5？！is no help after 11 ．．．定e6！ 12
 White＇s attack has gone；if 14 \＆a4 Oge7
 18 did 0－0 and Black is clearly better） 12．．．今d7 13 比b3 Wa5（13．．．\＆e6 repeats）

 Qh6 and only Black has chances to win，

 0 g 4 and Black is better．
b） 11 全a3！曾f6 12 全b5 seems to be strongest here，e．g． $12 \ldots$ ge7 13 Dbd2真e6 14 Qc4 0－0 15 害xc6 bxc6 16 所a4
 Ed3 and White has an edge，despite the pawn minus．

## 11．．．臬c5！

The bishop cleverly returns to fight for
the a3－f8 diagonal．Other moves have been problematic for Black：
a）11．．．Da5？ 12 全xf7＋粕xf7？ （12．．．．${ }^{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{f} 813$ 曾a2 was forced） 13 発 $\mathrm{d} 8+$
 Exh8 won the exchange in M．Zulfugarli－ S．Dovliatov，Minsk 2000.
 14 皿b5 愠d7 15 c 4 and White has more than enough compensation for the pawns according to Keres，mainly because of the poor position of the bishop on a5．
c） $11 \ldots$ a 5 seems natural，but gives White the tempo back，e．g． 12 皿d5 ${ }^{\text {i g }} 4$


 （G．Tiedt－A．Sickfeld，correspondence 1990）and now after $21 \stackrel{y}{\mathrm{E}}$ ab1 White has a terrific attack．
d） $11 \ldots$ a6 12 亶 a 3 糟f6 13 a 5 （or 13皿d5！Oge7 14 Dbd2 intending ©c4， keeping up the pressure）13．．．${ }^{\text {\＆}}$ a 14
 ad2 with good compensation for the pawn according to Matsukevitch．

## 12 a 5 a

If $12 . .$. ． 5 f 613 a6！bxa6 14 宜d5 with compensation．


13 金d5



气c4 萋e6）17．．．8g4 18 密d3 气d7 and Black＇s position is preferable．
13．．． 2 f 614 合 g 5 ？
Better was the unattractive 14 真 3 0－0
 transposing to the previous note．Now White is quickly getting into deep trouble．
14．．．0－0 15 ＠bd2？！
Or 15 全xc6 bxc6 16 Obd2 h6 and Black is at least slightly better．Now Black retains the knight and brings it to the very useful f4－square．
 18 含c4 0 g 19 全g3 2 h 5


White＇s initiative is dead and buried， while the extra black pawn is still alive and kicking．
恝f6 23 包e3 b5 24 axb6 cxb6 25

数xe6 was simpler，when Black is just technically winning．

 e5？！
包 f 畨e6 35 远4 and White has serious drawing chances．
32．．．h6 33 乌f3
 35 断e4 Efe8 36 Oxf4 包xe5 Black is close to winning．
33．．．眻6


## 34 数e4？

34 蒌a 2 was a better try，though after

 end of ends．
34．．．2e7
Winning a piece．
 シxd1 38 玉xd1 豊e6 39 exf6 Ea1 数xf60－1

## Game 48

## A．Grosar－D．Gross <br> Buekfuerdo 1995

1 e4e5 2 勾f3 公c6 3 宣c4 宣c5 4 b4 exb4 5 c 3 \＆a5 6 d 4 d 67 䒼b3 数d7 8 dxe5 dxe5 9 㮰a3！？

An intrusive move．


## 9．．．宣b6 10 亿bd2 公a5

The alternative $10 . . .0 \mathrm{~h} \sigma$ ？！would make Dr．Tarrasch turn in his grave．．．at least a little bit．Now White can consider：

 Oxc4 宜g4 and Black is better． H．Hoeksema－J．Brenninkmeijer，Gronin－ gen 1993.


 c 4 and White wins） 15 Ød5 c5（if
 and Black＇s position looks awful）

象xd8 $22 . c 4$ 包 723 ． 0 c 3 and White was clearly better，P．Rodriguez－L．Valdes， Cuba 1990.
c） 11 全b5！？f6 $120-0-0$ ！，followed by 13 ©c4，is also very dangerous for Black．

## 11 新b4

An interesting option is 11 Dxe5！？ Qxb3 12 axb 3 ！（the black queen is not a hare，she will not run away） 12 ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }}$ xf2 + （12．．．©f6 is possibly better，but not



Df3 with compensation） 14 Ddf3 害f6
 18 Exa3 官e7 19 b4 with excellent compensation for the pawn in Y．Estrin－ M．Skrovina，correspondence 1960


## 11．．．c5！？

Black can defend his colours more eas－

 cxb4 真e6） $12 . .$. 全d7 13 昷xe7 鼻xb5 14显xb5＋

## 12 数b2 0 xc4 13 化4



## 13．．．豊e6？

The beginning of a truly horrible game for Black．13．．．Whe w？would be even
糆d5 16 数b3 and Black is in big trouble．

But after simply 13．．．f6 14 覴 d 1 畨 c 6 15

 E． d 5 \＃̈hd8 White has nothing much to show for the pawn，LChristiansen－ H．Gretarsson，Yerevan Olympiad 1996.




17．．． 类d5＋



 to the game，and is much better for White，because of his superior mobilisa－ tion．After 23．．．曹g 4 he would just cash in with 24 整 $\times$ xa
全xc5＋全xc5 21 数xc5＋\＆g8 22 E．ad1 諸a4＋

Compared with the 17．．．䍣f4＋line above，Black has this extra check avail
－able，but it does not really help at all．
 bxc6 26 E．E7


Material is equal，but the position is just lost for Black．

 30 dd7 and White wins－something for those who like to dominate．



32．．．．ch 7 ，followed by ．．．g7－g5 and mov－ ing the king to the centre，might have offered a faint prayer of a draw．
 36 Д̈d6

Black＇s pieces are tragicomical．It＇s al－ most as if White has played the moves for both sides．

 43 c4 dey 44 f5 1－0

## Summary

After 5．．．安a5， 6 d 4 is the most dangerous line for Black，but it does not seem to gener－ ate enough pressure to guarantee White an advantage．Black should be able to hold his own with both $6 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ and the slightly more adventurous $6 \ldots$ ．．．exd4 $70-0$ Oge $7!$ ！

Nevertheless，I believe that there is plenty of room for improvements on both sides， and that the Evans Gambit will prove a dangerous weapon into the 21st century．Espe－ cially when the opponents are not $2700+$ super－grandmasters，and have not checked everything with a computer years in advance．

So，although the Spanish gives more promise of a theoretical advantage，the Evans Gambit gives better chances of actually winning the game．It is the opening for those players who hate to compromise．

## 

6．．．d6
$70-0$－Chapter 8
7 数 b 3 崰d7 8 dxe5（D）
8．．．全b6－Game 46
8．．．dxe5
$90-0$－Game 47
9 皿 3 －Game 48
7 0－0（D）©ge7
7．．．d3－Game 42
7．．．dxc3－Game 43
8 2g5 d5 9 exd5 气e5 10 最b3（D）
10．．．0－0－Game 44
10．．．dxc3－Game 45

$8 d x e 5$

$70-0$


10 面 $b 3$

## CHAPTER TEN

## The Hungarian Defence and Other Sidelines



## 

As this is my second book on 1 e 4 e 52 Qf3 Qcb 3 \&c4, I cannot honestly pretend that no other moves than 3 .......c5 and $3 . . .0$ fo exist. Hence this hidden chapter on Black's various third move alternatives, culminating in the respectable Hungarian Defence 3....\&e7.

## Game 49 <br> C.Luciani-M.Petrovic <br> Nova Gorica 2001




This line is nothing but a stupid trap... which has, however, been successful in many junior games.

## 4 分xd4!

The trap consists of 4 xe5??, which
 $0-0$ is the best chance now, though Black is still close to winning after 6... ${ }^{W}$ xe5)
 The number of people who missed the mate is astonishing - and I do not mean on the 4th move, but on the 7th! Still, when people take the queen with $7 . . .2 \mathrm{yc} 2+$, they usually win too.
4...exd4

Now we have a position from a dubious line in the Spanish with an extra move for White. Somehow this is not good news for Black.

## 5 c3!

White has a lead in development and for this reason wants immediate confrontation.
5... ©c5?

Another mistake. It is not easy to guess that Black is rated 2210. Strongest was

5．．．dxc3，though after 6 Dxc3！c6 7 d 4 Qf6 8 㟶f3 White has a clear advantage， in space and development．


## 6 主xf7＋！鬼e7

 course．
全xd4 11 cc


## 11．．． 2 g 4 ？

Now what is this？

Another sacrifice．

And another one．
 9e8＋家6 1－0

Mate is coming．


1 e 4 e 52 ¢f3 4 c 63 酉c4 h6？！


Of course this is not very strong；at least it is not losing by force．

## 4 d4！

I will ignore other moves，as they hardly make sense．

## 4．．．exd4 5 ）$x d 4$

Again this move makes most sense． Others：
a） $5 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 360-0 \mathrm{~d} 67$ 䀂 xd 3 皿 e 78 c 4 \＆．f6 9 h3 Oge7 was P．Svidler－P．Blatny， Gausdal 1992，and I am not convinced that White is better at all here．
 Sanchez－J．Guerrero，Guayaquil 2003，was probably some kind of joke，but I don＇t get it．．．
c） $50-0 \mathrm{~d} 6(5 . . . \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{c} 56 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 3$ was also played by Blatny a few times，when White has a slight edge；to have real theory on this seems ridiculous） 6 2xd4 宣e7 7
 pen－P．Blatny，Austrian Team Champion－ ship 1995，was of course worse for Black，
though the grandmaster still made a full point out of his favourite line．

## 5．．．䊦f6

This is apparently Blatny＇s idea，but honestly．．．


## 6 \＆ 3

Natural，but missing the option to force an advantage．Here 6 bb5！looks crushing！
是b3 $0 \mathrm{e} 710 \mathrm{a} 4!$ and Black is suffering．
b） $6 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{b} 4+$ is surprisingly hard to re－ fute，but I will try all the same： 7 是d 2粪e5 8 亿1c3！（with the plan of 9 d5）
 $0-0-0$ with a clear plus for White．



I do not believe this．Instead 8 ．．．d6 is just a bit better for White．

## 90－0？

9 Qb5！again seems critical：9．．．食xe3
 12 Qxa8 transposes） $10 \triangleq \mathrm{xc} 7+\$^{\ddagger} \mathrm{d} 811$
貫a4 should favour White，although these things are never entirely simple，e．g． 14．．．©fg4 15 凿a5＋b6 16 类xa7 $0 \times 17$曹xb6＋\＆e7 18 气c7 真xd2＋19 家xd2
 has a winning attack．
9．．．2f6 10 d2
 ©xa8 \＆f4 13 党a4！？again looks very du－ bious for Black．
10．．．0－0？
Instead 10．．．d5？ 11 崽f4 定d6 12 exd5 was also good for White in M．Senff－ P．Blatny，Budapest 1999．But 10．．．d6！is probably not too bad anymore．


## 11 ef5！

White is already winning．
11．．．8d6 12 f4 ©eg4 13 茵d4！h5 14 h3 气h6 15 थxh6＋歯xh6 16 e5 थd5

 ously winning too．

19．．．畨xf4 20 食xh5 d5 21 觜e2 速xc7
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## Game 51 <br> J．Van der Wiel－U．Baumgartner <br> Holzoster am See 1981

## 

This semi－Philidor variation can also be reached with 3 ．．．d6，though there are some marginal differences，as can be seen from the notes．


## 4 d3

In this game we shall look at the more quiet options．It does not seem logical to allow Black to slowly build up his posi－ tion，as structurally he will be OK．In－ stead：
a） 4 d 4 ！is considered in the next two games．
b）40－0 seems a bit slow．I firmly be－ lieve that White＇s only chance for an ad－ vantage is to put pressure on the black centre immediately．After 4．．．㓪7 5 枵e1 d6 6 c3 0 f6（the knight belongs here；e7
is for the queen now that no knight can come to d5） 7 h 3 0－0 8 全b3 Da5 9 全c2 c5 10 d 4 蹩7 R．Basirov－S．Voitsekhovsky， Kstovo 1994 had reached a Spanish style position with approximately equal chances．
c） 4 c3 leaves us with two main lines：

c1） $4 . .$. 亶g7 $5 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 660-0$（after 6 dxe 5
 Qf3 ©f6 11 E1 0－0 Black had equalised and later won in A．Shchekachev－Ye Rongguang，Antwerp 1996）and now：
c11） 6 ．．．Df6（I cannot see any other satisfactory moves here；it is difficult for Black to develop satisfactorily） 7 dxe5
全xf7 ©xe4 11 全e3 with a slight White advantage in the endgame．Of course it is possible to play like this as Black．We all know that you need two weaknesses to win a game，and right now Black only has one．But then again it is hardly advisable to enter an endgame that is already＇half lost＇．
c12） $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ？does not make it easier for Black： 7 皿e3 Qge7 $^{(a f t e r} 7 . . .2 f 68$ dxe5！ then $8 . . .0 \mathrm{~g} 4$ is probably necessary and following 9 exd6 © $x=310$ fxe3 政xd6 11

should count for something） 8 dxe 5 dxe 5 9 宸e2 是e6？！（though if 9．．．0－0 10 第d1 We8 11 Qbd2 and White is better） 10莫xe6 fxe6 11 気d1 籴c8 $12 \triangleq \mathrm{a} 3$ with a clear advantage for White in Wu Xibin－ Ye Rongguang，Chinese Team Champi－ onship 1987.
c2） $4 . . \mathrm{d} 6$ ！？ 5 d 4 崰 e 7 ！（played like this， the variation seems like a sound version of the Three Knights with $4 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ and later ．．．g7－g6；for those wanting to avoid the－ ory，this kind of position must be very attractive） 6 dxe5（ 6 d 50 d 8 ！should give Black a perfectly playable position；the white pieces are not ideally placed，and the black knight will go to $f 7$ and support ．．．．寞g7－h6 later on）6．．． 0 xe5 7 包xe5 dxe5


and the question is whether White has any advantage at all here．I doubt it． E．Mednis－V．Korchnoi，Vienna 1986，con－ tinued 11 它d2 h6 12 苴xf6 客xf6 13

曷xd8＋鼻xd8？？（19．．．思xd8 was quite
気xf 复f6 23 殸f1 1－0．
4．．．d6

is worth trying when White might be a bit better；instead 6 h 4 हa5！is probably OK for Black，if somewhat unconventional）
 and there is no real argument to counter the claim that Black is absolutely fine， R．Greger－J．Hvenekilde，Danish Ieague 1994.

5 c 3
5 Dg5 Dh6 6 h4（again 6 a3！？）6．．．©a5 does not appear to be too dangerous for Black here either．
5．．．盖g76 h4！？


6．．．h6
6．．．Df6 7 Vg5 0－0 8 h 5 ！would give White a very strong attack，based on


## 7 h 5 g 5

This is a slight weakening of the king－ side pawn structure，of course，but there are more important things in the position． 8 ） $\mathrm{bd} 2 \mathrm{Of6}$

 play was also interesting．

## 9 勾1 d5！？ 10 exd5 ©xd5 11 数b3 Ace7

11．．．©a5 12 粕a4＋Dc6 with approxi－ mately even chances was interesting too．


12．．．害e6
12．．．c6！？was a good alternative，and if $130-0-0$ b5 14 亶xd5 酗xd5 15 c 4 bxc4 16 dxc4 精a5 with good play for Black． Probably 13 d 4 ？ ？with unclear chances would be the best way for White to re－ spond．

## 13 0－0－0 c6？！

Black is playing slowly，and sacrificing a pawn at the same time．White should just take it！

## 

Now Black is tied up and White has a clear advantage．



Picking up another little one．
19．．．0xc4 20 dxc4 勡7 21 是xe5



Black resigned as 26 断 $\mathrm{h} 8+!$ is mate in four moves．


exd4
This is pretty much forced，as $4 \ldots$ ．． g 7 5 dxe5 分xe5 6 分xe5 葢xe5 is very unfor－

 White wins．
5 嗢g5！？
5 9xd4！要g7604c6 bxc6 $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$ transposes to the next game．

Instead 5 c 3 ？？is the official ECO refu－ tation，but matters are actually less clear． $5 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 360 \mathrm{xc} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6!$ is probably the only sensible way to continue，as most decent players would see within a few minutes that $6 . . . \mathrm{d} 6$ is an absolutely necessary move

 White，but $10 \omega \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~d} 1$ does not deserve the
 just wins）and then：

a） 7 断 3 is less threatening now．After 7．．．㟶d7！ 8 气d5 串g7 I do not see a path leading to an advantage． 9 全d2 and 全c3 is probably best，to get real compensation for the pawn．The tactical line $90-0$ ？！

 White，as the two bishops should eventu－ ally tell．
b） 7 是 5 ！is the most annoying，when $7 \ldots \mathrm{f6}$ is the logical reply（actually $7 \ldots$ ．．．．e7 and $7 \ldots$ ．．． 47 d？also look playable；White surely has compensation for the pawn， but in these modern times defensive methods have been refined，and a pawn has somehow increased in value．．．） 8 宴e3 Qh6 9 h3（not the most energetic，but otherwise g 4 might prove to be a good stepping stone for the knight to go to e5）
 $0-0-0$ looks aggressive，but after 11．．．©f7 12 h 4 h 513 葛b1 0－0 Black is in the game and still has the extra pawn；also 12
 not strong：14．．．今d7！ $15 \varrho_{\mathrm{xc} 7}$ 区ac8 16
 and Black is better with the two bishops） 10．．．$勹 x d 411$ 宽xd4 c6 $120-0$ 黄e7 $13 \mathrm{f4}$ with an unclear game in M．Reinert－ J．Hvenekilde，Allerod 1984.
5．．．宣e7


## 6 䢕 44

 Qg4 9 島e1 $0-0$ seems to be OK for Black，e．g．after $10 \sum_{\mathrm{Dbd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6!}$ 8．．．0－0 9
 interesting and sound for Black） 10 xd4 was M．Kobalija－I．Polovodin，Novgorod

1999，when Black should probably equal－
 eb1 cannot go to the dream square d5．
6．．．d6
6．．．2f6！？seems perfectly playable too：
a） $7 \varrho_{x d} 4$ should probably be met by the greedy 7．．．Qxe4！？．After 8 Qb5 宽b4＋ 9 c3（ 9 Q $1 \mathrm{c} 30-0$ is fine for Black）
 White has compensation for the pawn，of course，but I still believe that the dangers for Black are not too great．
 $100-0$ 寔e6 11 合xe6 fxe6 12 Eैe1 $0-0-0$ was at least fine for Black in O．Eismont－ S．Biro，Eger 1993.

## 7 ©xd4

$70-0$ \＆ 468 c 3 with unclear play was also possible．
7．．．©xd4 8 歯xd4 定f6 9 e5！


White does not really have an alterna－

 better）．Now Black loses this game very quickly，but it is hard to believe that he is at a serious disadvantage at the moment．

## 9．．．dxe5

9．．．齿e7！？was interesting．After 10 蒌e3宴xe5 11 \＆xe5 挡xe5 12 溇xe5＋dxe5 13
©c3 c6 the position is very similar to the game．

11 ．．．h5！？was another possibility．

## 



13．．． Sh $_{\text {h }}$
Alternatively：
a） $13 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ？！ 14 宜b3 a5 15 a 4 b 4 is the idea of Fritz 8 ，but after simple moves like 16 De4 宣g7 17 织he1 f 618 f 4 the ma－ chine＇s love for the extra pawn withers away．Maybe one day the computers will understand the difference between static and dynamic features in a position－but not yet．

13．．．．${ }^{\text {e }}$ e6！was the best try．After 14
 might look pretty nasty，but maybe he can hold onl？For example： $15 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ ？ 16 h 4 （now White no longer has damaging
 although Black is worse，he has reasons to hope for a draw．
 045？

Here 16．．．』ad8 was called for，with some advantage for White after $17 \sum_{x f 6}$
宣xe5＋

## 17 ©xf6 $0 x$ xa



## 18 亿d7！1－0

Since 18 ．．．りf5 19 Exe5 is mate．

## Game 53

## H．Odeev－V．Vorotnikov

 Mascow 1999
## 

This might be the most sensible move order，as Black is not yet committed to ．．．g7－g6 and might change course to
 sacrifice a pawn with c2－c3．However， White gets the advantage all the same．
4 d 4 exd4 5 包xd4 g6 6 泡c6 bxc6 7



## 8 f4！

Here White＇s advantage should be based on a quick attack on Black＇s weak－ ened king＇s position．

Alternatively： 80 c 3 ）f6（or $8 . . .2 \mathrm{e} 79$
䍒 ff 6 was better for White in A．Hunt－ M．Houska，Witley 1999）9 宴g5 0－0？！ （ $9 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ？ ？ is the move for the future， though White should be a little better after 10 宴h4） 10 带d2 客e6 11 食xe6（11

 Wroclaw 1980，seems OK for Black） 11．．．fxe6 12 e5！（corrupting Black＇s pawn structure completely＇－Lukacs）12．．．dxe5
 better．


8．．．句 6
a） 8 ．．．Dh 6 ？ 9 f5！is very uncomfortable for Black，as $\mathrm{f5}-\mathrm{f} 6$ is a huge threat，and after 9 ．．． 㴔h4？（ 9 ．．．exf5 was necessary） 10 g3 㥪f6 11 e5！White was winning in the game J．Mestel－P．Large，London Lloyds Bank 1982.
 was played in Jor．Nielsen－J．Hvenckilde， Copenhagen 1980，and after 11 e5！again White is much better．

## 9 e5！

Here 9 f5？！0－0 10 fxg6 hxg6 11 全g5
 Black in F．Darnstaedt－A．Dreev，Berlin 1991.

9．．．Se4 10 楼f3 d5 11 金d3


## 11．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 5$ ？

11．．．f5 was forced，when Lukacs sug－

 17 ct 4 and White is only a little better． 12 昷e3 分xd3

12．．．嚐e7 13 楼f2！is not nice either；the same goes for $12 \ldots$ ．．©e 13 c4l？．

## 13 cxd 3

Now c5 and c6 are real problems for Black．

## 13．．．0－0 14 全c5 気e8 15 d 4 ！？

Here 15 d 2 ，with the idea of 0 b 3 － d 4 ，was interesting as well，but White rightly decides that he can do without it．


17．．．．a5 with a clear edge for White was probably necessary．
 21 If 2 ！

Preparing to reroute the knight to g 3 ， from where it will decide the game．

 a6 25 ）xe4 dxe4 26 気 3 just wins of course．

## 23．．．

White has won a pawn for no compen－ sation．The rest of the game is an example of futile resistance．
 a4 g5 28 fxg5 \＆oxg5 29 断e2 娄g8 30




乌f3 品g6 44 清h4＋点g8 45 曹 $\mathrm{d} 8+$


 1－0


Everybody is a smart alec these days．．． Black had had enough．

Game 54
F．Pieri－M．Chiburdanidze
Forli 1990

This move characterises the Hungarian Defence．

## 4 d4 exd4

4．．．d6 is examined in Games 56－58．
 h3


## 8．．． 0 e5！？

8．．．．d d 7 is covered in the next game． Black has also tried：
a） 8 ．．．©xd4（slightly passive，but still playable） 9 wxd4 c6（the exchange of White＇s most active piece by 9 ．．．． ．e6 gives Black problems on the light squares and therefore cannot be recommended，e．g．

 Ed7 16 d 5 with a clear edge for White in A．Krutko－S．Dzhambulatov，Dagomys 2004） 10 a 4 ©d7 11 宽 $e 3 \mathrm{~b} 612$ 䜿 ad 1数c7 $13 \mathrm{f4a6}$ and it is hard to see any advantage for White，B．Spassky－V．Hort， Reykjavik match 1977．White＇s play in this game I think was probably too slow．
b） $8 . . \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{e} 9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{e} 1$ 全d7 10 ef4（or 10
 with more or less even chances，A．Zude－ Y．Balashov，German Bundesliga 1996）
 De5 14 宣f1 Eb8 and Black had decent counterplay in J．Palkovi－J．Stocek，Czech Team Championship 1997.


## 9 \＆ 2

After 9 宣b3c5！ 10 Df3c4 11 包e5 （not 11 皿a4？a6 and Black wins） 11．．．cxb3 12 d3 bxc2 13 薮xc2 Black is at least equal，J．Arni－D．Lima，Imperatriz 2003.

9．．．${ }^{\text {en }} 8$
9．．．थg6l？ 10 ※e1 a4 a5 13 g 3 c6 14 昷g2 gave even chances in P．Kazakov－V．Shinkevich，Tomsk 2001. However，I would be surprised if White can find a more aggressive way to play the position．
10 f4 4 g 6 ？


The knight is a teaser here．It does not appear to be very active，it but is never－ theless well prepared to meet most of

White＇s advances．I am not certain that White is really better in these positions，
 b3 毋b6 14 \＆b2 d5 was equal in B．Rogulj－M．Knezevic，Yugoslav Champi－ onship 1977.
 14 要1 1 c 6

14．．．Db6！？with chances for both sides was another viable option．
15 皿e3 b5
Or $15 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{~b} 6$ ？again．

## 16 数2？

Is White manoeuvring or something？ Here 16 a3 looks slow，but then Black has to play two more moves to reinstate the threat of ．．．b5－b4．
16．．．b4！
When allowed，why not？


## 17 g6？？

Unnecessary desperation． 17 Qb1 c5
 d5！with the initiative was something White had to endure．And after 21 wg3！？ dxe4 22 2c4 the position is not that clear．

## 17．．．bxc3 18 亿xc6 wc7！？

Black could take the knight as well，


余c4＋ 7 ！it is hard to see anything for White．
19 gxh7＋安h8 20 صxe5 包xe5 21 bxc3 货b7 22 醍d3 d5 23 f6 dxe4 24


## Game 55 <br> K．Kalashnikov－J．Grachev <br> Novosibirsk 2001


 $0-08 \mathrm{~h} 3$ 迤d7


## 9 f4！？

This makes perfect sense．White needs to utilise his space advantage．Instead：
a） 9 宜e3 a6 10 a 4 登e8 11 f 4 金f8 12歯f3 all looks very neat indeed，but then 12．．．乌b4！ 13 घf2（13 थd 5 ！？was the sounder option）13．．．c5 14 毎b3 宴c6 15
显xd5 18 寝xd5 Exe3，as in M．Garcia－ A．Summerscale，Philadelphia 1999，and White has either lost a pawn，or the plot after $19{ }^{\omega} \times \mathrm{Wb} 7 \mathrm{~d} 5$ with an initiative for Black．
b） 9 b3 De5 10 首e2 c5 11 Df3 昷c6 was fine for Black in V．Malaniuk－ K．Bryzgalin，Ktasnodar 2002.
c） $9 \sum_{x c 6!? \text { is so far untried．But，in }}$ general，White should seriously consider such options in search for a solid opening advantage．

## 9．．．Ee8 10 望 3 h 6



 and White is just winning，A．Wikner－ T．Jugelt，Hamburg 1993.

## 11 e5？

This simply doesn＇t work．Instead， both 11 』el！？and 11 the 2 look natural， but I prefer 11 a3？？followed by normal development．Mainly White will feel blessed that，after 11．．．盒f8 12 崰d3，he can finally develop his pieces to sensible squares．
11．．．dxe5 12 gg5


So this was the idea．But，as Black shows，it is not too hard to refute．
12．．．hxg5 13 fxg5 全e6 14 宣xe6



And Black is just a pawn up．
19 多5
19 xxc5 wive5 20 c 3 was the last at－ tempt at resistance．
19．．．絩2


The endgame is hopeless for White． The rest is silence．
 Еd8 23 g 4 Dd4 24 c 3 Øe6 25 宽e3


 34 Qf1 登d1 35 室g1 芭b1 36 b3





全h6 54 歯d3 宙d5 55 h5 gxh5 56 gxh5 f5 57 Qe1 c4＋ 58 bxc4＋ bxc4＋ 59 宽c3 㑒g7＋ 60 家 d 4 61 舁e2 a4 62 ©c2 f4 0－1

 d6

This is the other main line of the Hun－ garian Defence．Usually，books claim that White has an advantage by entering the endgame，but a closer look shows that the
strongest players do not find any real ad－ vantage there at all，and often soon con－ cede a draw．Therefore we shall look at the more ambitious 5 d 5 in the next game，while 5 c 3 is seen in Game 58.
5 dxe5 dxe5 6 曹xd8＋㤅xd8


## 7 合d5

This game is an example of how Black can win with this line，even against a strong opponent．Ian Rogers is a famous attacking player，but clearly less danger－ ous once the queens comes off．By creat－ ing weaknesses in his own position， White slowly makes the position difficult for himself，though he could have proba－ bly made a draw all the way to the end．

Other options for White are：
a） 7 全b5 害d780－0 暑f6 9 c 3 Qge7 10真e3 Ec8 11 Qbd2 1／2－1／2 R．Kuczynski－ M．Krasenkow，Polish Championship 1996.
䔶e6 $100-0-0$ 亘xc4 11 Qxc4 f6 12 全e3 $0-0-013 \mathrm{c} 3$ Qc6 14 馬xd8＋气xd8 15 a 4 gave White a slight edge in L．Yudasin－ Y．Lapshun，New York（rapid）2004，but the a game ended in a draw．It is very hard to win such a symmetrical position．
c） 7 c3！is clearly the main line，when

Black has tried a lot of different moves：
 $0-010 \mathrm{~h} 3$ Qf6 $110-0-0$ was better for White in Y．Yakovich－A．Kovalev，Gistrup 1996；Black is not ready to face a 0 d 5

 Qb6 15 b3 White had an enjoyable ad－ vantage in E．Vasiukov－F．Gheorghiu，Ma－ nila 1974.
c2）7．．．f6 8 a3 Oge7（generally I find this way of developing dubious） 9 全e3
蜜e713 气d2 0－0－0 14 f 3 and White was better and eventually won in D．Bron－ stein－V．Kozlov，Daugavpils 1978.

 V．Stoica－V．Hort，Porz 1991，and here maybe 13 蒖g4！gives White a real plus．
c4）7．．．．\＆g4！？looks respectable，e．g． 8
 h3 鼻xf3 12 宣xd7＋1／2－1／2 A．Shirov－ D．Campora，Biel 1995.
7．．．0ge7 8 宣b3 f6 9 c 3 （2） 10


This move is rather committal and doesn＇t really achieve a lot．
12．．．0c4 13 包xc4 \＆xc4 14 \＆b3


14．．．全e6！？
Black does not want to open the a－file for the white rooks．It is clear anyway that Black is not worse．

## 

 a5！？Black does not want White to advance too far．On the minus side Black now has some pawns on dark squares．The chances are still level．

##  b6 21 f 3 g6 22 b5

Here 22 bxa5 【xa5 23 c 4 Ёha8 24 c 5
 Exbb 6 雨 77 is just a draw．

## 

24．．．．．c5！？was also possible．
25 乞b3 Exd1 26 ⓧd1 官b4


Black is ready to advance the c－pawn， and White will never be able to put a knight on d 5 ．Now White plays for a full point（for the opponent！）．

## 

Suddenly White is in trouble．

## 29 b 6 c 430 勾d2？

The white bishop is not very good and Black takes this as an invitation to ex－ change into a promising endgame．In－ stead 30 ㄹxc 4 c 5 was better for Black，
who will probably try to win the b6－pawn very slowly．
30．．．食xd2
$30 . . .2 \mathrm{~d} 6$ ？was also promising．
31 拿 $x d 2$ c5 32 f4


## 34 登 $f 1$ ？

A blunder，probably made in severe time trouble（look at when White resigns）． Black was also better after 34 \＆c3 ed6
 offer some resistance．

 c3 and wins．

 Eb4 41 食c7 0－1

Game 57

## J．Mestel－V．Smyslov

 Las Palmas Interional 1982 d6 5 d5 2 b 8

5．．．乌a5 looks a little suspect．One ex－ ample： 6 鼻d3 c5 $7 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 680-0 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ？（this also seems a bit far out） 9 ） 3 2h6 10



White was clearly better in J．Flis－ F．Borkowski，Polish Team Championship 1981.

## 6 金d3 0 f6

Black has a reasonable score from this position as well．
 been played a few times，though not enough to give a real theoretical evalua－ tion．Generally I feel that White has good chances of getting an advantage from the opening． 7 c4


7．．．0－0
The most natural．The alternatives are a little worse，I think：
a）7．．．c5！？ 8 ch 3 bd7 has been played once by Hort．This transposes to the Czech Benoni（1 d4 Qf6 2 c4 c5 3 d5 e5！？）and could prove a good idea against players unfamiliar with these closed posi－ tions．
b） $7 . . .2 \mathrm{bd} 780 \mathrm{c} 30-0$（or $8 . . .9 \mathrm{c} 59$
 Qb6 13 b 4 and White was better in I．Rogers－P．Jagstaidt，Zürich 1994） 9 ＝ Ac5 10 寊c2 a5 11 a3 c6 $120-0 \mathrm{cxd} 513$ cxd5 皿g4 14 h 3 安xf3 15 断f3 a4 16


直f6 20 宣g 2 was also somewhat better for White in Zhang Pengxiang－ M．Mancini，Cappelle la Grande 2002.
金e3 0－0 $110-0 \mathrm{~h} 612 \mathrm{~g} 4$ 金g6 13 a 3 乌h7 14 家g2 and White had the advantage in Z．Szabo－Z．Horvath，Gyongyos 1994. Black has no easy breaks on the kingside to provide him with counterplay．


## 8 c3

8 h 3 has also been played，though White has no reason to fear ．．．安g4．Then Black＇s options are：

 15 崰c2 b6 16 b4 was a little better for White in R．Hübner－P．Herb，Swiss Team Championship 1999.

全xg5 did not quite equalise either in S．Shivaii－R．De Guzman，San Francisco 2002.

界g5 b5 was quite complicated and probably absolutely fine for Black． W．Mazul－F．Borkowski，Polish Champi－ onship 1979.

## 

Or 10 定e3 a6 11 h 3 cxd5 12 cxd5

 White a clear plus in A．Ivanov－ A．Negulescu，Washington 1998；Black＇s advances on the queenside have only fur－ nished him with weaknesses） 13 数d2 g6
 $f 5$ with a very unclear game，B．Stein－ G．Scholz Solis，Hamburg 1986.




## 14 数b3

14 dxc 6 ？bxc6 15 b5 should have been inserted somewhere－just as Black should probably should have played ．．．c6xd5 sooner than he did in the game． Now after 15．．．． e b7 16 a4！？（or most other moves），White has a nice queenside initiative and the d5－square to comfort himself with．
14．．．臮h8 15 宣b2 cxd5
At last．

##  f5！？

18．．．．要d7！was probably more exact， when White should play 19 cal？with chances for both sides．

## 19 b5

Heading for e6．
19．．．fxe4 20 ＂xe4 全f5
The immediate $20 \ldots .0$ h 4 ！was much stronger．After 21 ©xh4？！崽xh4 22 g3 Q．g5 White would be forced into 23 f 4 ， which is rather uncomfortable for his king．

## 21 送ec4 5 h 422 xh4？

White could have kept some advantage
 not clear how Black should continue．



## 25 h4？！

This loses straight away，but White was in deep trouble anyway．If 25 全c1 宴xc1


柴xe3 29 fxe3 $0 f 5$ and Black has a clear advantage．
25．．．2）g4！
Now White is just lost．
26 踢dd4 食h6
26．．．exd4 was also fine，of course．





## Game 58

E．Sveshnikov－R．Kholmov
Sochi 1974
 d65 Ac3


5．．．0f6
$5 . . \operatorname{exd} 46 \quad \Delta x d 4$ Df6 $70-0$ would transpose to 4 ．．．exd4（see Games 54 and 55）．

Instead， $5 \ldots$ ．．．．．g 4 does not seem too re－

 better for White，or if $7 \ldots .0 \mathrm{~b} 88$ 嘓e3
 8 䍒b5！？（ 8 d 5 is good too）8．．．exd4 9 包e2 Qd7 10 宴xc6 bxc6 11 Qxd4 Qe5 12 We2 and White had a pleasant advantage in A．Rutman－N．Segal，Ozery 1997.

## 6 h3 0－0 7 0－0 a6

7．．． Vxe4 $^{8}$ 先xe4 d5 9 婁xd5 䊅xd5 10 Qc3 was 11 d 5 䍉d8 seems to gives Black reasonable counterplay，though after 12 Qd2！（the best test）12．．．Qb4 13

 and White is slightly better in the end－ game．

8 a 4 h 6


## 9 登e1


全xd4 宏e6 was also close to equality in D．Barua－K．Mokry，Frunze 1983.

However，I believe White can prove an advantage with．．．
 Dd2 鼻d7 gives Black good counterplay） $10 \ldots \mathrm{c} 511$ dxe6 bxc6 12 b4 Ob7 13 塈e3瀾c7 14 数d3 and White looks better or－ ganised．A future b4－b5 will take control over d5 and hopefully leave some black pawns weakened on the queenside．

## 9．．．むe8 10 b3 exd4


昷 g 7 with even chances，M．Corden－ J．Mestel，British Championship 1978.


12．．． 4 e5！？，with chances for both sides， looks more appealing．
13 数xd4 盖e6 14 曾xe6 药xe6 15 亿d5 c6
$15 . . \varrho d 7$ ！with a slight disadvantage was necessary：

## 16 年xf6＋Exf6

 dif1 gives White a very promising end－ game．But objectively，this was Black＇s best option．



## 19 － e ？？

An incredible blunder；only this move loses the tempi required to drop the game．Instead，after something like 19

 White is very close to winning．


The white queen is trapped．

## Summary

On move three Black has two notable alternatives（to $3 \ldots . .9 \mathrm{c} 5$ and $3 \ldots . \mathrm{f} 6$ ），which are 3．．．g6 and 3．．．\＆e7．（3．．．d6 will transpose to one or other，depending on where Black puts the dark－squared bishop．）Of these，3．．．g6 seems frankly dubious because of 4 d 4 exd45 $0 \times \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{Ag} 76$ xc6！and later on 8 f 4 ！with the initiative for White．Black simply cannot develop pleasantly．

The Hungarian Defence with 3．．．安e7 is another story．As can be seen above，after the theoretical 4 d 4 Black gets a reasonable game with both $4 \ldots$ exd 4 and $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ ．White might be on the verge of an edge in some lines，but this is no worse than Black can expect in other slightly passive systems． $3 \ldots$ ．．． C 5 and $3 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{f} 6$ are still the best moves，but 3．．．蒠e7 is not trailing so far behind．

```
1e4 e5 2 &f3 थc6 3 &c4 定e7
3．．．2d4－Game 49
3．．．h6－Game 50
3．．．g6
4 d 3 －Game 51
\(4 \mathrm{~d} 4 \operatorname{exd} 4\)（D）
5 今g5－Game 52
5 2xd4 会g76 6 xc6 bxc6 \(70-0 \mathrm{~d} 6\)－Game 53
```


## 4 d4 exd4

4．．．d6（D）

$$
5 \text { dxe5-Game } 56
$$

$$
5 \mathrm{~d} 5 \text { - Game } 57
$$

$$
5 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{f6} \text { - Game } 58
$$


8．．．De5－Game 54
8．．．）． d 7 －Game 55


4．．．exd4


4．．．d6

$8 h 3$
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[^0]:    
    Maybe there was more play in 19 \＆f3？

